

NORTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LIMITED



**REPLIES TO
THE OBJECTIONS / SUGGESTIONS RAISED ON ARR FOR RETAIL
SUPPLY BUSINESS FOR 5TH CP (FROM FY 2024-25 TO 2028-29),
TARIFF PROPOSALS & CSS FOR FY 2024-25**

INDEX		
Sl.No.	Name of the Objector	Page No.
Sarvasri		
1	Sri. M. Venugopal Rao, Sr Journalist & Convenor, Centre for Power Studies, Hyd 500 032	1-21
2	Sri.Suresh Kumar Singhal,The Federation of Telangana Chambers of Commerce and Industry(FTCCI),Hyderabad	22-24
3	Sri. Narendra Baldwa, Telangana and Andhra Plastic Manufacturers Association (TAAPMA),Hyderabad-500001	25
4	Sri.Vinod Kumar Agarwal, (TISMA), Ghansi Bazar, Near High Court, Hyderabad-500002	26
5	Sri. R.K.Agarwal (TSTMA), Surya Towers, 1st Floor, Sardar Patel Road, Secunderabad 500003.	27
6	S.Surya Prakasha Rao,Former Director(Commercial),erstwhile APCPDCL and Former Secretary erstwhile APERC	28-39
7	K. Thourya, Chief Electrical Distribution Engineer, South Central Railway (SCR), Secunderabad.	40-47
8	Lt. Gen. Dr. S P Kochhar,Director General, COAI(Cellular Operators Association of India)	48-49
9	P.Ravi Kumar, Director(Technical/Transmission), Hyderabad Metropolitan water supply & Sewarge board, Administrative office, Khairatabad, Hyderabad-500004	50-51
10	Bommeneni Ravinder Reddy,TELANGANA COTTON MILLER'S & TRADERS WELFARE ASSOCIATION,Hyderabad	52-54
11	Maduri Veda Prakash, Hon'ble General Secretary,WARANGAL CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY, Old Grain Market Area, WARANGAL-506002	55-57
12	M. Thimma Reddy,Convenor,People's Monitoring Group on Electricity Regulation,Attapur,Hyderabad - 48	58-75
13	Sri Shashibhushan Kache,Advocate,STATE CO-ORDINATOR, KISAN CONGRESS,Manthani,Dist:PEDDAPALLI(T.S)	76-78
14	G.R. Karunakar, Ragannaguda, Abdullapurmet, RR Dist Hyderabad-501510	79-81
15	Sri Lakshmi Kumar.V, Senior Manager - Energy.ITC Bhadrachalam House 106, Sardar Patel Road,Secunderabad	82-85
16	M. Sridhar Reddy & Others, Nallakunta, Hyderabad-500044	86-97
17	Sri Ippa Rajender,Jagitial,Vill:Dharmaram, Mondal:Korutla, Dist: Jagitial	
18	Dabba Ravi, Jagitial, Vill: Jaggasagar, Mondal : Metpally, Dist : Jagitial	
19	P.Vittal Reddy, Kamareddy,Vill : Chandapur, Mondal : Tadvai, Dist : Kamareddy,	
20	Bandi Krishna Reddy, Vill : Kallur, Mondal : Kallur,Dist : Khammam	

Sl.No.	Name of the Objector	Page No.
	Sarvasri	
21	Devireddy Vittal Reddy, Kamareddy,Vill : Errapahad, Mondal :Tadvai, Dist : Kamareddy	86-97
22	Paidi Ellareddy,Vill : Devayapally, Mondal : Tadvai, Dist : Kamareddy	
23	K.Anjanna, Vill : Lingapur, Mondal : Kamareddy, Dist : Kamareddy	
24	Komireddy Chinna Anjanna, Kamareddy.Vill : Lingapur, Mondal : Kamareddy	
25	Lanka Venkatreddy, Kupriyal, Mondal : Sadashivanagar, Dist : Kamareddy	
26	Kommireddy Anjanna Pedda, Vill : Lingapur, Mondal : Kamareddy, Dist : Kamareddy.	
27	M.Raji Reddy, Shamshabad, Dist : Rangareddy,	
28	G.Vinod Kumar, Vasanth Nagar, Kukatpally, Hyderabad - 500085	
29	Dr. A.V. Rao, Tarnaka, St.No.14, Lane 6, Nagarjuna Nagar, Hyderabad - 500017	
30	J.Sri Ranga Rao,Hyderabad - 500013.	
31	D.Ramu, Nallakunta, Hyderabad	
32	Ambira Ananda Rao, Brahmanapally, Mondal : Tadvai, Dist : Kamareddy	
33	C.V.Bhasker Rao, Moosarambagh, LB Nagar, Hyderabad - 500068.	
34	Mr.Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, Airtel, Splendid Towers, Begumpet, Hyderabad -500016	
35	I.Gopinath, Chief Executive Officer, South Indian Cement Manufacturers Association (SICMA),Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad - 500034,	116-118
36	Gavrav Maheshwari, Indian Energy Exchange (IEX), Noida, UP . 201301,	119-120
37	K.K Protiens Pvt Ltd, Village: Ponnari, Mandal: Tamsi, Dist:Adilabad- 504001	121
38	Sreekumar Nhalur (Member, Prayas Energy Group),Pune, Maharashtra.	122-137
39	Kondela Sarareddy, BKS, H.No:11-1-1815, Maruti Nagar, Nizamabad.	138-149
40	Padi Ganapathi Reedy, Raw rice mill	150
41	A. Parsharamulu, Vill:Chandrampet, M:Siricilla, Dist:Rajanna Siricilla	151
42	SSR Shastri Senior journalist, Hyderabad	152-162
43	Sai Vekata Agro Industries Pvt. Ltd, Mulkalla, Mancherial	163-165

Sl.No.	Name of the Objector	Page No.
	Sarvasri	
44	D.Devaiah, Vill: Rajannapet, M:Yellareddypet, Dist:Siricilla	166-177
45	Oraganti Rama Krishna , Vill:Siricilla, M:Sirisilla, Dist:Sirisilla	168-169
46	MPL Steel Industries Pvt Ltd, Hyderabad	170-171
47	Mahalakshmi Profiles Pvt Ltd, Hyderabad	172-173
48	Rayalaseema Industries (India) Pvt Ltd, Road No.11, BanjaraHills, Hyderabad	174
49	SCAN ENERGY POWER LIMITED, Bhubaneshwar, Khodra, Odisha	175
50	Anand ISPAT Udyog Mtd, Hyderabad	176-177
51	Dilip Re-Rolling Pvt Ltd, Hyderabad	178-179
52	Jeevaka Industies Pvt Ltd, Hyderabad	180-182
53	L. RAMANA, MLC, Local Authorities Constituency, Karimnagar, Telangana	183-184
54	Biyamkar Srinivas, Vill:Siricilla, M:Sirisilla, Dist:Sirisilla	185-187
55	A. Ramesh Yadav, Advocate, National Secretary, Shamshabad, R.R. Dist	188-190
56	Y. Chandra Shekar rao, M.com LLB,Advocate,Nalgonda- 508 001	191-193

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri M. Venugopal Rao, Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Studies, H.No.1-100/MP/101 Monarch Prestige, Journalist's Colony, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad -500032 (Set-1,23.09.2024)

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1.	<p>As per the Regulation No.2 of 2023, TGDISCOMs should have submitted the subject petitions and their petitions for distribution business for the 5th control period before 31st January, 2024. However, they have submitted the subject petitions on 18.9.2024 going by the date shown in the subject petitions. In other words, there is a delay of nearly seven months and 18 days. The reasons given by the DISCOMs for the avoidable delay are not justifiable. The DISCOMs were expected to submit their long-term load forecast, procurement plan, investment plan, etc., for the 5th and 6th control periods much before the FY 2024-25 to the Hon'ble Commission and got approval of the latter. The information required for the same should have been collected and used for the subject petitions also well in time. The need to analyse the financial impact in the DISCOMs due to Gruha Jyothi scheme of the GoTS arose only after it is notified by the latter, and, as such, that should not be a hindrance for preparing and submitting the subject petitions in time. Going by the said public notices, inviting objections, suggestions and comments from interested public on the subject petitions, etc., it is clear that the Hon'ble Commission has condoned the avoidable delay.</p>	<p>Reasons given for avoidable delay are not justifiable- The Hon'ble Commission has accorded the approval to the TGDISCOMs to file the ARR and FPT for RSB filings on annual basis for the first year of 5th Control Period i.e., FY 2024-25 after careful consideration of the submissions made by the TGDISCOMs. When the Regulation No.2 of 2023 was notified on 30.12.2023, the TGDISCOMs were seeking clarifications on certain clauses of the new MYT Regulation No.2 of 2023 as there was huge impact on the financials of the DISCOMs and also there was a change in regulatory regime because of which DISCOMs needed to collect additional data for preparing the petitions. then the TGDISCOMs have initiated the process of collecting the required data from the various wings for which it took time. Subsequently, the Gruhajyothi Scheme was announced by the GoTG which further delayed the process of Filings of ARR. Meanwhile, Modal code of conduct came into force, due to which the TGDISCOMs could not file the ARR filings. The DISCOMs have not considered the approved load forecast, procurement plan, investment plan, etc., for the 5th Control Period because due to Rapid growth in the Demand, the TGDISCOMs have already crossed the Demand forecast of FY 2024-25 (as per approved Resource Plan & Business Plan for 5th & 6th Control periods) in FY 2023-24 itself. The DISCOMs have duly submitted the condonation of delay petition before the Hon'ble Commission stating these reasons.</p>
2.	<p>Though the DISCOMs have maintained that they faced "certain difficulties," obviously, other than those they have explained, for submitting the subject petitions in time, they have not explained the same. The DISCOMs have also maintained that they "shall obtain formal approval</p>	<p>In view of the above difficulties explained above and also in obtaining the formal approval from the State Government, the TGDISCOMs could not file the petition in time.</p>

	<p>from State Government for filing of ARR & Tariff Proposals for FY 2023-24." Similarly, in obtaining "formal approval from State Government" for filing the subject petitions, etc., for the FY 2024-25 and the 5th control period, there might have been considerable delay.</p>	
3.	<p>The very fact that the TGDISCOMs have requested the Hon'ble Commission "to request the Government of Telangana to fund the proposed revenue gap" for FY 2024-25 is indicative of the kind of evasive approach they are constrained to adopt, while getting formal approval of the GoTS for filing the subject petitions. Instead of getting a commitment from the GoTS for providing required subsidy to bridge the revenue gap as may be approved by the Commission for 2024-25, as a part and parcel of the formal approval they have got from the Government, that the DISCOMs are requesting the Commission to request the GoTS to provide required subsidy is nothing but shirking their responsibility of submitting their proposals as to how they would bridge the projected revenue gap. How much subsidy is to be provided and to which categories of the consumers is the responsibility and within the purview of discretion of the GoTS, not of the Hon'ble Commission.</p>	<p>Based on the proposals of the filings of ARR of RSB by TGDISCOMs, the Hon'ble Commission will determine the revenue gap. Until the finalization of the revenue gap, the Discom could not do correspondence with the State Government seeking commitment of the subsidy. However, it is a general practice to address a letter to the State Government by the Hon'ble Commission seeking commitment of the subsidy by the GoTG or otherwise.</p>
4.	<p>Public notices are issued, calling for submission of suggestions, objections and views, on 20.9.2024, in another four petitions also – ARR and wheeling charges for distribution business of the TGDISCOMs for the 5th control period in petition Nos. OP 13 of 2024 and IA 12 of 2024 (TGNDPCL) AND op Nos. 12 of 2024 and IA No.11 of 2024 (TGSPDCL), and for transmission business of TGTRANSCO in OP No.14 of 2024 and for SLDC activity in OP No.15 of 2024 for the 5th control period. The time given for filing submissions on all the six petitions, including the subject petitions, is up to 11.10.2024, i.e., three weeks. Needless to say that the time given is not sufficient to study all the petitions, prepare meaningful and purposeful</p>	<p>The petitions for determination of ARR and FPT for approval for the remaining period of the current financial year to mitigate financial losses of the DISCOM. In view of the increase in power purchase cost from various power stations with which it has long-term PPA's</p>

submissions and submit the same to the Commission. Public hearings on these petitions are scheduled on the 23rd and 24th October, 2024. In other words, from the last date of submissions, a period of 12 days is left for the licensees to send their responses to the objectors and the latter to study them and make further submissions before and during the public hearings. The DISCOMs have requested the Hon'ble Commission to approve their submissions to come into force from the first October, 2024. In other words, after completion of public hearings, the Hon'ble Commission will be left with just one week to prepare, finalise and issue its orders. Going by the experience over the years in issuing annual tariff orders, it is impossible for any Commission to prepare, finalise and issue its orders in the said six petitions within a week from the date of completion of public hearings, unless the drafts of orders are already prepared much before the public hearings and some incorporations are made thereafter. For the avoidable delay in submitting the said petitions by the licensees, it seems that the Hon'ble Commission is constrained to speed up the entire regulatory process within an unreasonably short period. Such a hurry will have its adverse impact on the quality and comprehensiveness of submissions by objectors, replies by the licensees and preparation of orders by the Commission. Therefore, we request the Hon'ble Commission to extend time for filing objections, etc., replying to the same by the licensees and holding public hearings at least by 15 days. This is all the more important in view of the kind of crisis, financial and technical, the licensees find themselves in as has been revealed in the white paper on power sector in Telangana released by the new government, appointment of an inquiry Commission on issues pertaining to Yadadri and Bhadradi projects and PPA with Chattisgarh DISCOM for supply of power to TGDISCOMs, in addition to several other issues which are not covered in the white paper and terms of reference of the said inquiry Commission. All

	<p>such issues have and will continue to have a bearing on the tariffs to be determined by the Commission and will have to be paid by the consumers at large and subsidy to be provided by the state government. All such issues need to be articulated in submissions to be made by knowledgeable and interested objectors and considered by the Hon'ble Commission for an effective regulatory outcome.</p>	
5.	<p>Both the DISCOMs have shown a total revenue gap of Rs.14244 crore - TGSPDCL Rs.5957 crore and TGNPDCL Rs.8287 crore. They have projected additional revenue through the proposed tariffs of Rs.1221 crore – SPDCL Rs.1028 crore and NPDCL Rs.193 crore. The Hon'ble Commission should have advised the DISCOMs and through them the GoTS to shelve their move to submit the said petitions belatedly and seeking issuance of its orders within an unreasonably short time, allowing them to come into force from 1st October, 2024, for a period of six months. When the Government is expected to provide a subsidy of Rs.13023 crore, going by the projections of the DISCOMs in the subject petitions, and subject to the revenue gap to be determined by the Commission, it should not be difficult for the new government to provide an additional subsidy of Rs.1221 crore for 2024-25 or less than that subject to the revenue gap to be determined by the Hon'ble Commission. There is a precedent, though unwarranted, of the TGDISCOMs not filing their ARR and tariff proposals for three consecutive financial years, with no regulatory remedy administered by the Hon'ble Commission. For another reason, shelving of filing the said petitions just for the second half of the FY 2024-25 is desirable. Though additional revenue of Rs.1221 crore is projected on account of the proposed tariffs for a period of six months, the additional revenue for a whole FY would be double that amount, i.e., Rs.2442 crore. In other words, for the FY 2025-26, a tariff hike will be in-built in advance in the tariff order for the second half of 2024-25, if the Commission</p>	<p>The DISCOMs have proposed normal hike in the tariffs and not overburdened the consumers. To enable the DISCOMs to purchase power in order to provide reliable and quality supply, it is expected to obtain subsidy commitment from GoTG only after determination of revenue gap by the Hon'ble Commission and the Government may consider approving the subsidy commitment as per the Regulatory process.</p>

	<p>approves the proposed tariffs, i.e., the DISCOMs will get an additional revenue of Rs.1221 crore during 2025-26, without showing a hike afresh, or even more, depending on increase in demand for the categories of consumers for whom the new tariffs are proposed in the subject petitions. In other words, consumers are sought to be hoodwinked about the real financial impact of the said tariffs proposed for a period of six months.</p>	
6.	<p>For the reasons explained above, among others, I request the Hon'ble Commission to extend time for filing objections and suggestions in the said six petitions and for holding public hearings, if it is not inclined to give a piece of advice to the DISCOMs and GoTS to withdraw the petitions. If the Hon'ble Commission proceeds with the regulatory process in the said six petitions, I request it to permit me to make further submissions before the due date and during the public hearings after studying replies of the licensees to my submissions and preparing further submissions.</p>	<p>The Hon'ble Commission is requested to finalize the ARR and Tariff based on the filings of DISCOMs in order to overcome further financial burden on account of increase in power purchase cost experienced day to day by the DISCOMs.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri M. Venugopal Rao, Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Studies, H.No.1-100/MP/101 Monarch Prestige, Journalist's Colony, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad -500032 (Set-2, 27.09.2024)

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1.	<p>As per the MYT Regulation No.2 of 2023, TGDISCOMs should have filed the subject petitions for the 5th control period before 2nd January, 2024. However, they have submitted the subject petitions on 19.9.2024 going by the date shown in the subject petitions. In other words, there is a delay of nearly seven months and 17 days. The reasons given by the DISCOMs for the avoidable delay are not justifiable. We request the Hon'ble Commission to consider the following points.</p> <p>a) TG DISCOMs submitting their filings for distribution business for the 4th control period on 16.12.2019 and the Hon'ble Commission passed its orders on 29.4.2020 "after comprehensive public consultation process on the filings," it is explained in the subject petitions. Similarly, the DISCOMs should have submitted the subject petitions on or before 2.1.2024, as directed by the Hon'ble Commission in its letter dated 22.11.2023. The Hon'ble Commission rejected twice the requests of the DISCOMs to extend time for filing their petitions for the 5th control period. Even while considering, in its interim order dated 15.3.2024, on interlocutory applications filed by the DISCOMs for continuation of existing retail supply tariffs for retail supply business, wheeling tariffs and wheeling losses for distribution business as applicable on 31.3.2024 pending disposal of the petitions finally, the Hon'ble Commission directed the DISCOMs to file their regular petitions for determination of ARR and retail supply tariffs, CSS, wheeling charges and losses for the 5th</p>	<p>The Hon'ble Commission had passed the MYT Regulation No. 2 of 2023 on 31st December 2023 in which a new regulatory regime was proposed and there were changes introduced in the calculation methodology as well as introduction of new tariff components. With so many changes introduced, it would be impractical for TG Discoms to adopt to the new regulatory regime, collect the necessary data required for computation of new line items in the ARR and file for proposed tariffs within 3 days (2nd January 2024) of new regulation passed.</p> <p>TG Discoms have filed the condonation of delay petition before the Hon'ble Commission with all the reasons stated. The decision for accepting/ rejecting the condonation of delay petition rests solely with the Hon'ble Commission.</p>

control period "immediately." However, going by the said public notices, inviting objections and suggestions from interested public on the subject petitions, etc., it is clear that the Hon'ble Commission has condoned the avoidable delay in filing the subject petitions, etc.

b) Having got the approval of the Hon'ble Commission for their capital investment plan in resource plan for the 5th control period, the DISCOMs should have filed the subject petitions, etc., well in time, since they have claimed that they have filed the same "based on a comprehensive analysis of state of the existing network loading conditions and the expected future loading of the network during each year of the 5th control period based on the projected load growth on 01.04.2023."

c) Public notices given on eight petitions - four of the TGDISCOMs, including the subject petitions, two of TGTRANSCO and SLDC, one of CESS Limited, Sircilla, and another one of TGGENCO for for true-up for FY 2022-23 and MYT for the 5th control period, that the same period of three weeks for filing objections and suggestions is overlapping. These petitions and additional information submitted to the Commission run into hundreds of pages. Moreover, the DISCOMs, on the direction of the Hon'ble Commission have issued public notices with corrigendum which are published in selected newspaper on 26.9.2024. It has been the standard practice that a time of four weeks used to be given for filing objections and suggestions on ARR and tariff proposals of the DISCOMs alone. Now that just a period of three weeks is given for filing suggestions and objections in eight petitions, i.e., two and a half days per petition on an average! Needless to say that it is impossible to study the

TG Discoms have mentioned the reasons in the Condonation of Delay petition filed before the Hon'ble Commission.

The timelines for filing of objections rests solely with the Hon'ble Commission. Moreover, Hon'ble Commission has also additionally allowed admission of further objections during the time of public hearing.

petitions and related issues, analyse them and prepare submissions in a meaningful, comprehensive and purposeful manner for any informed and serious objector for filing the same within the unreasonably short period given.

- d) While the last date for filing objections and suggestions is 11.10.2024, public hearings are scheduled from 21 to 25th October, 2024. The GoTS and its DISCOMs and other utilities know full well that the Hon'ble Chairman and Members of TGERC are going to retire on the 29th October, 2024. In other words, after completing public hearings, the Hon'ble Commission is left with just four days to finalise and issue its orders on all these petitions! How did the GoTS and its power utilities expect the Hon'ble Commission to complete its due regulatory process on all these petitions within such a short period with "comprehensive public consultation process on the filings" is inexplicable and incomprehensible. MYT Regulation, 2023 says: "The Commission shall, within one hundred and twenty (120) days from admission of the Petition, and after considering all suggestions and objections received from the public:
- a) issue a Tariff Order accepting the Petition with such modifications or conditions as may be stipulated in that Order" (section 10.1(a)). This has been the position in the earlier regulations also and practice over the years. It is obvious that all these petitions are filed belatedly, deliberately, erroneously, incompletely, defying the applicable regulations and directions of the Hon'ble Commission and almost simultaneously with an intent to get the entire regulatory process stifled, without adequate time available to the objectors and the Hon'ble Commission, if orders are to be issued before retirement of the Hon'ble Chairman and Members.

2.	<p>The intent of the Hon'ble Commission might be to see that orders are given in the said petitions before retirement of the Hon'ble Chairman and Members so that new tariffs come into force, may be, from 1st November, 2004. But the reality is that, with the approvals given by the Commission permitting the DISCOMs to collect tariffs during 2024-25 as per the tariffs fixed by it for the FY 2023-24 till the petitions concerned are disposed of finally, they have been collecting tariffs accordingly so far, may be, with subsidy being released by GoTS every month, and will continue to do so till new tariffs come into force, if the present Commission gives orders required. New tariffs have to come into force with prospective effect, not with retrospective effect, as has been the standard practice over the years. In other words, if at all the Commission gives its orders in the said petitions before retirement of its present incumbents, the new tariffs will be applicable for a period of five months from 1st November, 2024. Even if orders are not given accordingly in extraordinary hurry, making the regulatory process a mere formality for the reasons explained above, the applicant utilities will have the option of claiming true-ups next year for the five-month period also, as they may do for the first seven-month period. The DISCOMs filed true-up claims accordingly for a period of seven years, including the three consecutive years for which they were not allowed by the then TRS government earlier to file their ARR and tariff proposals. Even if the Commission does not take up the eight petitions for consideration and give its orders, collection of tariffs, as already permitted by the Commission will continue till the end of 2024-25. Therefore, it is desirable that the Commission leave the regulatory process to be conducted in the said eight petitions by successors of its present incumbents. MYT regulation, 2023, says that the Commission shall "reject the Petition for reasons to be recorded in writing if such Petition is not in accordance with the provisions of the Act and the rules and Regulations</p>	<p>The Hon'ble Commission is requested to finalize the ARR and Tariff based on the filings of DISCOMs in order to overcome further financial burden on account of increase in power purchase cost experienced day to day by the DISCOMs.</p>
----	---	--

	made thereunder or any other provisions of law, after giving the Petitioner a reasonable opportunity of being heard." Here, it is not rejection of the said petitions, but postponement of the regulatory process to be taken up by the new Commission, for the reasons that the petitions are filed belatedly, defying regulations and directions of the Commission, and that time required for the regulatory process to be completed in a meaningful and fruitful manner is absolutely inadequate.	
3.	Both the TGDSCOMS have shown an estimated revenue gap of Rs.13,373 crore for the FY 2023-24 - SPDCL Rs.10,060 crore and NPDCL Rs.3313 crore – without even collecting the FSA amounts permitted by the Commission. However, they have not filed petitions for true up of the same. When the GoTS and its DISCOMs feel that there is no urgency for filing true-up petitions for the huge revenue gap estimated by the DISCOMs for the last financial year, the urgency for new tariff orders for a period of just five months during 2024-25, that, too for an additional revenue of Rs.1221 crore for the whole FY, in an extraordinary hurry giving go-by to requirements of regulatory process as per applicable regulations and making the regulatory process a formality, is questionable.	The filings of true up application would be filed separately along with Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2023-24. Moreover, any tariff changes proposed in the FY 2024-25 Tariff order would only be prospective in nature.
4.	It may be noted, in this connection, that filings for ARR and tariffs for FY 2025-26 have to be filed by 30 th November, 2024. The GoTS knows the date of retirement of the Hon'ble Chairman and Members of the Commission and need for appointing new Chairman and Members in time to facilitate functioning of TGERC as a continuous process. The process for appointment of Chairman and Members should be started six months before vacancies for these posts arise. However, there is no indication that GoTS has started such a process. If new Chairman and Members are not appointed in time, the Commission will become defunct till such appointments are made, as happened for a considerable period of time	The GoTG has appointed the new chairman for TGERC.

	during the then TRS regime.	
5.	I once again request the Hon'ble Commission to give a piece of advice to GoTS and its power utilities to withdraw the said petitions or leave the regulatory process on the petitions to be taken up by the successor Commission in all fairness to facilitate a comprehensive study and analysis of the issues both by the interested public and the Commission as a part and parcel of its regulatory process in a meaningful and fruitful manner. I request the Hon'ble Commission to consider the above-mentioned submissions in conjunction with my earlier submissions dated September 23, 2024, filed in OP No. 16 of 2024 and IA No.15 of 2024 and OP No.17 of 2024 and IA No.16 of 2024 relating to ARR and tariff proposals of the TGDISCOMs for the 5 th control period.	The TGNPDCL is continuously striving for providing the reliable and quality supply 24X7 hrs. In order to mitigate the financial losses of the Discom and to enable the Discom to procure power for supply, the Hon'ble Commission is requested to approve the petitions and pass the Tariff Order.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri M. Venugopal Rao, Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Studies, H.No.1-100/MP/101 Monarch Prestige, Journalist's Colony, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad -500032 (Set-4, 10.10.2024)

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee																																																						
1	<p>TGDISCOMs have made the following projections for 2024-25:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>DISCOM</th> <th>ARR</th> <th>Addl. Rev. through proposed tariff</th> <th>Rev/gap (Rs.crore)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TGSPDCL</td> <td align="right">40,788</td> <td align="right">1,028</td> <td align="right">4,929</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TGNPDCL</td> <td align="right">17,069</td> <td align="right">193</td> <td align="right">8,093</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td align="right">57,857</td> <td align="right">1,221</td> <td align="right">13,022</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>If the Hon'ble Commission approves the tariffs as proposed by the DISCOMs, in all probability the new tariffs will come into force from 1st November, 2024. In such a case, the DISCOMs can recover the additional revenue on account of proposed tariff for the whole FY within a span of five months. In other words, the DISCOMs can collect an additional revenue of 140% during the next financial year 2025-26 over and above the projected additional revenue for 2024-25, without showing any tariff revision afresh. For a whole FY, the additional revenue would work out to Rs.2,930.4 crore and that would be the real impact of the proposed tariff revisions. Depending on growth in demand of the consumers to whom the tariff revisions are proposed in the subject petitions, the additional revenue for 2025-26, without any fresh revision of tariffs, would be more than Rs.2,930.4 crore.</p>	DISCOM	ARR	Addl. Rev. through proposed tariff	Rev/gap (Rs.crore)	TGSPDCL	40,788	1,028	4,929	TGNPDCL	17,069	193	8,093	Total	57,857	1,221	13,022	<p>The TGNPDCL has filed petitions in accordance with the Regulation No. 2 of 2023 for determination of ARR & tariff for the Retail Supply Business & Distribution Business by the Honorable Commission to enable the Discom for purchase of power and supply to the consumers.</p>																																						
DISCOM	ARR	Addl. Rev. through proposed tariff	Rev/gap (Rs.crore)																																																					
TGSPDCL	40,788	1,028	4,929																																																					
TGNPDCL	17,069	193	8,093																																																					
Total	57,857	1,221	13,022																																																					
2	<p>Both SPDCL and NPDCL have projected requirement of power and availability of surplus power, in their ARR submissions for retail supply business for the 5th control period, as given hereunder (in MU):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2024-25</th> <th>2025-26</th> <th>2025-27</th> <th>2027-28</th> <th>2028-29</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Requirement :</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPDCL</td> <td align="right">63,036</td> <td align="right">67,021</td> <td align="right">74,542</td> <td align="right">82,647</td> <td align="right">91,541</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NPDCL</td> <td align="right">23,248</td> <td align="right">24,193</td> <td align="right">25,177</td> <td align="right">26,328</td> <td align="right">27,469</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td align="right">86,284</td> <td align="right">91,214</td> <td align="right">99,719</td> <td align="right">1,08,975</td> <td align="right">1,19,010</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Availability of surplus:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPDCL</td> <td align="right">18,232</td> <td align="right">30,635</td> <td align="right">27,106</td> <td align="right">25,195</td> <td align="right">19,315</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NPDCL</td> <td align="right">5,135</td> <td align="right">12,307</td> <td align="right">12,668</td> <td align="right">13,381</td> <td align="right">12,364</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td align="right">23,367</td> <td align="right">42,932</td> <td align="right">39,774</td> <td align="right">38,576</td> <td align="right">31,679</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2024-25	2025-26	2025-27	2027-28	2028-29	Requirement :						SPDCL	63,036	67,021	74,542	82,647	91,541	NPDCL	23,248	24,193	25,177	26,328	27,469	Total	86,284	91,214	99,719	1,08,975	1,19,010	Availability of surplus:						SPDCL	18,232	30,635	27,106	25,195	19,315	NPDCL	5,135	12,307	12,668	13,381	12,364	Total	23,367	42,932	39,774	38,576	31,679	<p>Noted.</p>
	2024-25	2025-26	2025-27	2027-28	2028-29																																																			
Requirement :																																																								
SPDCL	63,036	67,021	74,542	82,647	91,541																																																			
NPDCL	23,248	24,193	25,177	26,328	27,469																																																			
Total	86,284	91,214	99,719	1,08,975	1,19,010																																																			
Availability of surplus:																																																								
SPDCL	18,232	30,635	27,106	25,195	19,315																																																			
NPDCL	5,135	12,307	12,668	13,381	12,364																																																			
Total	23,367	42,932	39,774	38,576	31,679																																																			

	<p>As percentage of Requirement 27.08 47.06 39.88 46.40 26.62</p>	
	<p>I request the Hon'ble Commission to examine the following points: a) Availability of this abnormal quantum of surplus power is projected, without considering availability of power from Chattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited. The DISCOMs have maintained that, "A judicial committee has been appointed to investigate power procurement from CSPDCL station. Hence, power has not been scheduled from CSPDCL plant I in the current control period." The reality is that, in view of dispute on billing amounts for power supplied by CSPDCL and huge dues pending from TGDISCOMs, CSPDCL has stopped supply of power. Appointment of inquiry Commission by GoTS has not prohibited the TGDISCOMs from scheduling power from CSPDCL.</p>	<p>Energy dispatch from Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited (CSPDCL) has been suspended due to ongoing disputes from FY 2022-23 and the Licensee is currently not scheduling any dispatch from CSPDCL. Further, considering that CSPDCL has stopped supply of power to TGDISCOMs, it is prudent to consider availability / dispatch from stations where the DISCOM is reasonably certain that any scheduled energy dispatch will be promptly supplied.</p>
	<p>b) DISCOMs have also not considered availability of power from Neyveli new units I and II.</p>	<p>The energy availability for the station has not been projected in the Approved Resource Plan for the 5th Control Period. Further, the share of TGDISCOMs from Neyveli new unit I and II was relinquished vide letter dated 29.03.2022 which was accepted by M/s NLC. Hence, the same has not been considered.</p>
	<p>c) DISCOMs have projected availability of 13399 MU for 2024-25 from NCE sources against 17505 MU considered by the TGERC, based on actual energy dispatched from NCE sources for the last two financial years. In other words, if the NCE units with whom the DISCOMs had PPAs generate and supply power as per the capacity utilization factors approved therein or even more than that, availability of surplus power would increase further.</p>	<p>The availability of surplus power is intermittent due to variable demand. The power generation from the NCE sources depends widely on the weather and seasonal conditions. The projection of the power from NCE sources is based on the normative availability factor and CUF (Capacity Utilisation Factor).</p>
	<p>d) The DISCOMs have maintained that they "would put forward best endeavors to procure additional RE energy (as per CEA Resource Adequacy study) to meet the RPPO obligations." Needless to say that the DISCOMs have to follow the RPPO order in force issued by TGERC. Resource adequacy study made by the Central Electricity Authority should not be the basis for procuring "additional RE energy." In view of availability of</p>	<p>The Discoms would strive to optimize its power procurement quantum and cost so that all regulatory compliances can be met and consumers are not unduly burdened.</p>

	<p>projected surplus power during the 5th control period, GoTS, its DISCOMs and TGERC should be doubly cautious in taking decisions or giving orders for procurement of additional RE. DISCOMs have to give a factual account of fulfilling or exceeding their obligations under the RPP0 in force during the 4th control period. Having entered into long-term PPAs with RE/NCE units, and as approved by the Commission, if those units fail to generate and supply power as per the CUF approved, the fault lies with them, not with the DISCOMs. The problem of intermittence by its very definition being volatile, generation and supply of power by RE units need not and cannot be uniform for all the years. Therefore, based on trends of generation and supply of power by RE units for the last two financial years, the DISCOMs cannot project availability of RE during the 5th control period from the same RE units. Moreover, in view of the problems being, and likely to be, faced with integration of RE with the grid, as narrated by TGDISCOMS themselves in their filings on their distribution business for the 5th control period in favour of their proposal for introducing uniform wheeling charges, irrespective of voltage levels, and various other problems arising on account of RE explained in a report submitted by an expert committee appointed by the very CEA, the powers-that-be should be doubly cautious about going in for procuring additional RE. The higher the unwarranted procurement of RE, the higher the imbalance between demand curve and power mix, with adverse consequences, both technically and financially.</p>	
	<p>e) While SPDCL has projected open access sales of 406.44 MU for 2024-24, NPDCL has claimed that it does not contain any third party open access sales for 2023-24 and as such, revenue from cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge is zero. Similarly, NPDCL has not projected any open access sales and CSS and AS for 2024-25. If open access sales increase, availability of surplus power to the DISCOMs also will increase, but the latter can get cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge.</p>	<p>For FY 2023-24, NPDCL does not have any third party open access sales and has not claimed any revenue from CSS and AS. The future projections in open access sales for NPDCL is based on the historical and present scenario. Going further if open access sales increase, NPDCL can realize revenue from cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge</p>
3	<p>In their resource plans, load forecasts, distribution plans and procurement plans for the 5th control period, both the DISCOMs had submitted the following projections:</p>	<p>The availability of power plants has been determined based on existing PPAs while the Resource Plan considers availability includes other sources including</p>

	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>2024-25</td> <td>2025-26</td> <td>2026-27</td> <td>2027-28</td> <td>2028-29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Energy availability MU</td> <td>121754</td> <td>127451</td> <td>127126</td> <td>126658</td> <td>122090</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Energy require-ment MU</td> <td>84997</td> <td>89768</td> <td>94774</td> <td>100285</td> <td>105957</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Surplus/deficit</td> <td>36758</td> <td>37683</td> <td>32352</td> <td>26374</td> <td>16133</td> </tr> </table> <p>For its transmission business for the 5th control period, TGTRANSCO has submitted the following projections:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Energy availability to TGDISCOMs MU</td> <td>95,753</td> <td>106,637</td> <td>106,157</td> <td>105,506</td> <td>102,784</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Energy requirement</td> <td>83,058</td> <td>87,564</td> <td>92,365</td> <td>97,482</td> <td>102,942</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Surplus/deficit</td> <td>12,696</td> <td>19,073</td> <td>13,793</td> <td>8,024</td> <td>-158</td> </tr> </table> <p>Based on additional information submitted by the TGDISCOMs, TGTRANSCO had revised its projections for its resource plan for the 5th control period, drastically reducing the abnormal availability of surplus power shown earlier as hereunder:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>2024-25</td> <td>2025-26</td> <td>2026-27</td> <td>2027-29</td> <td>2028-29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Energy availability</td> <td>93191</td> <td>100071</td> <td>99840</td> <td>99136</td> <td>96449</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Energy requirement</td> <td>90587</td> <td>94885</td> <td>100371</td> <td>104310</td> <td>109354</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Surplus/deficit (MU)</td> <td>2604</td> <td>5186</td> <td>409</td> <td>-5174</td> <td>-12905</td> </tr> </table> <p>How these projections have been changed repeatedly is not explained by the DISCOMs and authenticity of such changes and projections becomes questionable.</p>		2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	Energy availability MU	121754	127451	127126	126658	122090	Energy require-ment MU	84997	89768	94774	100285	105957	Surplus/deficit	36758	37683	32352	26374	16133	Energy availability to TGDISCOMs MU	95,753	106,637	106,157	105,506	102,784	Energy requirement	83,058	87,564	92,365	97,482	102,942	Surplus/deficit	12,696	19,073	13,793	8,024	-158		2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-29	2028-29	Energy availability	93191	100071	99840	99136	96449	Energy requirement	90587	94885	100371	104310	109354	Surplus/deficit (MU)	2604	5186	409	-5174	-12905	<p>CSPDCL, Sembcorp etc. which causes a variation in energy availability.</p>
	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29																																																															
Energy availability MU	121754	127451	127126	126658	122090																																																															
Energy require-ment MU	84997	89768	94774	100285	105957																																																															
Surplus/deficit	36758	37683	32352	26374	16133																																																															
Energy availability to TGDISCOMs MU	95,753	106,637	106,157	105,506	102,784																																																															
Energy requirement	83,058	87,564	92,365	97,482	102,942																																																															
Surplus/deficit	12,696	19,073	13,793	8,024	-158																																																															
	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-29	2028-29																																																															
Energy availability	93191	100071	99840	99136	96449																																																															
Energy requirement	90587	94885	100371	104310	109354																																																															
Surplus/deficit (MU)	2604	5186	409	-5174	-12905																																																															
4	<p>Going by the projections of availability of surplus power during the 5th control period, as submitted in the subject petitions, how anarchic the decisions of the DISCOMs on procurement of power vis a vis requirement to meet demand, obviously, at the behest or permission of GoTS, can be understood. Even if some variations take place in the said projections, substantial surplus power will have to be backed down and hundreds of crores of Rupees will have to be paid towards fixed charges for the capacities backed down.</p>	<p>The DISCOM undertakes power purchase based on Merit Order Dispatch principles and has been undertaken considering the must-run status of RE sources, minimum thermal load for thermal plants, etc. based on requirement.</p> <p>The availability of surplus power is 3-5% of the availabilities only. No unwarranted fixed costs are paid and also the backing down of the power plants depends on the demand.</p> <p>Further, The surplus power shown is due to consideration of NAAAF & NAPLF of the power plants having long term PPAs and upcoming power plants. However, there is likely variation of availabilities and PLFs of the Plants.</p>																																																																		

5	<p>While SPDCL has projected other short-term purchases to the tune of 4,163 MU for 2024-25, NPDCL has projected the same to the tune of 881 MU. In other words, despite projection of availability of abnormal quantum of surplus power, the DISCOMs could not plan power mix to be in tune with fluctuating demand curve. As a result, to meet peak deficit, they have proposed short-term purchases.</p>	<p>The short term power procurement has been determined on the basis of Hourly demand and available energy source to meet that demand for each hour. In peak hours and peak month, the available dispatch from tied up sources is not enough to meet demand (in MW) and hence market purchase are considered to meet demand (in MW). Similarly, during non-peak months, available dispatch from tied-up sources is higher than demand and the state will have Surplus energy.</p>
6	<p>As a result of the desultory and unrealistic planning for procurement of power and entering into long-term power purchase agreements indiscriminately, GoTS and its DISCOMs have been creating a precarious situation wherein avoidable burdens of paying hundreds of crores of Rupees for backing down thermal power and purchasing high-cost power in the market. It has been leading to claiming and imposing avoidable burdens on the consumers in the form of true-up claims. Moreover, both the DISCOMs have not proposed sale of surplus power for the FY 2024-25. In view of obligations to purchase must-run and high-cost renewable energy, on the one hand, and backing down thermal power as per the principle of merit order dispatch, on the other, experience has been proving that it is very difficult to sell surplus power, at least, on no-loss and no-profit basis. It is because surplus power is always with higher fixed costs and variable cost may also be higher. Even when surplus power could be sold by the DISCOMs, the quantum is very insignificant vis a vis its abnormal availability.</p>	<p>The power purchase is considered based on demand growth anticipated in the future and PPAs have been entered based on such anticipated demand. No power plants have been backed down due to surplus power. If power plants have been backed down due to technical reasons, the fixed charges have been paid to the generators.</p> <p>The DISCOM undertakes power purchase based on Merit Order Dispatch principles and has been undertaken considering the projected energy requirements. The decision of purchasing short term power is based on market dynamics.</p>
7	<p>GoTS, its DISCOMs and the Commission are expected to take a holistic and balanced view when entering into, or giving consents to, PPAs with new power plants, especially RE units, in order to ensure balance between demand curve and power mix to the extent technically practicable. Such an approach is imperative when targets under RPPO also are determined. Interests of the state should be important and outweigh the declarations or notifications of the GoI. It is the state which has to reap the benefits or burdens of such decisions, not the GoI. Availability of abnormal quantum of surplus power, unwarranted quantum of RE and the financial and technical problems associated with them are</p>	<p>The DISCOM will strive to ensure that the PPAs with new plants will be undertaken with the purpose of balancing demand curve to ensure stability of the grid while striving to optimize the cost of power purchase.</p>

	indicative of the failures of commission and omission in this regard on the part of the powers-that-be, as experience over the years has been proving.										
8	<p>DISCOMs have projected quantum and cost of power purchase for the last and current financial years as given hereunder:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>DISCOM</th> <th>2023-24</th> <th>2024-25</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SPDCL 61460 MU</td> <td>Rs.33395 crore</td> <td>62036 MU Rs.31023 cr.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NPDCL 25243</td> <td>13686</td> <td>23248 11679</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>While SPDCL has projected an increase of 576 MU in power purchase and of decrease of Rs.2372 crore in cost of power purchase, NPDCL has projected a decrease of 1995 MU in power purchase and of Rs.2007 crore in power purchase cost for 2024-25 over 2023-24. Going by the past trends, these projections seem unrealistic, especially based on highly reduced requirement of short-term power purchases for the current financial year compared to 2023-24. As a result, expenditures and revenue requirement of the DISCOMs, need for tariff hike and subsidy from the government tend to be lower, leading to claims under true-up for a huge amount for 2024-25 later. The purchases of power and its cost for both the DISCOMs and the amounts for which true-up claims have to be made for the first half of the current financial need to be submitted by the DISCOMs and examined by the Commission, besides making them public, to have a realistic assessment.</p>	DISCOM	2023-24	2024-25	SPDCL 61460 MU	Rs.33395 crore	62036 MU Rs.31023 cr.	NPDCL 25243	13686	23248 11679	<p>The DISCOM undertakes power purchase based on Merit Order Dispatch principles and has been undertaken considering the projected energy requirements.</p> <p>The reduction in power purchase cost is due to excess hydel generation due to good monsoon in the current financial year.</p> <p>In the filings of FY 2024-25, the licensee has considered the power availability of hydel plants due to good monsoon as compared to the last 4 years and thereby reduction of the power purchase cost. As there is increase in the generation of hydel power the discoms stopped taking power from short term purchase and reduced PP cost</p>
DISCOM	2023-24	2024-25									
SPDCL 61460 MU	Rs.33395 crore	62036 MU Rs.31023 cr.									
NPDCL 25243	13686	23248 11679									
9	<p>SPDCL has shown a reduction of intra-state transmission charges from Rs.2670 crore for 2023-24 to Rs.2095 crore for 2024-25, while NPDCL has shown a reduction from Rs.1126 crore for 2023-24 to Rs.875 crore for 2024-25, as per the projections made by TGTRANSCO. It indicates that the contracted capacity for 2024-25 must have come down from the last financial year to the current financial year based on the above-mentioned unrealistic projections. At the same time, SLDC charges are projected to increase from Rs.33 crore for 2023-24 to Rs.59 crore for 2024-25 for SPDCL and from Rs.14 crore to Rs.25 crore for NPDCL. Similarly, distribution cost is projected to increase from Rs.5168.36 crore for 2023-24 to Rs.5663 crore for 2024-25 for SPDCL and a reduction from</p>	<p>The licensees have considered Intra-State transmission charges as per the filings made by TG TRANSCO. Further, the licensees have determined ARR for 5th Control period following the principles of MYT Regulation 2 of 2023.</p>									

	Rs.4081.42 crore to Rs.3714 crore for NPDCL. As a result, SPDCL has projected a reduction in aggregate revenue requirement from Rs.43336 crore for 2023-24 to Rs.40788 crore for 2024-25 and NPDCL has shown a reduction from Rs.19732 crore to Rs.17069 crore for the same years. To the extent these projections turnout to be underestimates, the burdens under true-up on consumers would increase.	
10	TGDISCOMs have pointed out that, due to change in regime from long-term access (LTA) to general network access (GNA) the monthly inter-state transmission charges of PGCIL have increased in December, 2023. GNA is an irrational arrangement and arbitrary and the DISCOMs are expected to resort to legal recourse questioning such arbitrary decisions. On earlier occasions, we raised the issues relating to GNA and are not repeating the same now. Compared to PGCIL charges for 2023-24, which were higher, the DISCOMs have shown a decrease for 2024-25 and increases for subsequent years of the 5 th control period. SPDCL has shown PGCIL charges of Rs.1624 crore for 2024-25 against Rs.1714 crore for 2023-24. NPDCL has shown PGCIL and ULDC cost for 2024-25 of Rs.678 crore against Rs.716 crore for 2023-24. What is the basis for projection of such reductions for 2024-25? These projections also may turn out to be underestimations. The DISCOMs have considered a 5% escalation every year for projection of PGCIL charges from 2025-26 to 2028-29. We request the Hon'ble Commission not to permit such advance escalation on annual basis.	The monthly PGCIL transmission charges for DICs are being calculated by Grid Controller of India basis a pre-defined formula as per the CERC (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 and its subsequent amendments. The monthly transmission charges released by Grid controller of India got changed in the month of December-2023. Till November-2023, Telangana's monthly PGCIL charges have been computed considering an LTA demand/injection of 3,884 MW. However, from December-2023 onwards Telangana's monthly PGCIL charges have been computed considering a GNA quantum of 5,801 MW as per the order released by Grid Controller of India dated 25.11.2023. Hence, the licensee has considered average of monthly PGCIL charges from December-2023 to March-2024 for computation of PGCIL charges for FY 2024-25. For projection of PGCIL charges from FY 2025-26 onwards a nominal escalation of 5% is considered as there is no historical data for PGCIL charges under GNA regime.
11	For the FY 2023-24 the DISCOMs have shown an estimated revenue gap of Rs.13,373 crore – SDCL Rs.10,060 crore and NPDCL Rs.3,313 crore - which they would claim under true-up. Going by this trend, and unrealistic projections of various items shown in the subject petitions by the DISCOMs, claims for true-up for the FY 2024-25 may again turn out to be abnormal.	The licensee will file true-up petitions separately before the Hon'ble commission after prudence check of its costs.

12	<p>SPDCL has maintained that revenue from additional surcharge for FY 2024-25 is derived by considering the sales as 203.27 MU (assuming 50% sales would be from green energy open access consumers which are exempted from paying additional surcharge) and considering the additional surcharge rate of Rs.1.40 per unit as per approved order for H1 for 2024-25 and additional surcharge rate of Rs.1.60 per unit (as per filings for H2 for FY 2024-25). If the GoI has exempted green energy open access consumers from paying additional surcharge, GoTS and its DISCOMs should demand the GoI to reimburse the additional surcharge they have to forego because of such exemption. Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister, Sri Bhatti Vikramarka has stated that the government would go ahead with a target of generating 40,000 MW of green power by 2034 out of which 20,000 MW would be generated in the next five years. Its pros and cons need to be examined realistically. Quoting relevant clauses of Regulation No.1 of 2024 specifying the terms and conditions of open access issued by TGERC, SPDCL pointed out that the Hon'ble Commission notified the Regulation without determining the standby charges wherever applicable to the green energy open access consumers. Moreover, the Hon'ble Commission issued the order on determination of additional surcharge for H1 of 2024-25 by exempting the Green Energy Open Access consumers from the levy of additional surcharge due to which TGDISCOMs are unable to recover the stranded charges from green energy open access consumers, the DISCOM has explained. While exempting green energy open access consumers from payment of additional surcharge, the Commission did not specify who should bear the loss thereof. The submission of the DISCOM that it is inevitable to levy standby charges to the green energy open access consumers as per Regulation 1 of 2024 is justified. The Hon'ble Commission should consider the proposal of the DISCOM to recover stranded charges from green energy open access consumers so that the burden of loss that accrues on account of exempting green energy open access consumers from paying additional surcharge is not imposed on other consumers.</p>	<p>The discoms made the filings to recover its fixed cost commitments as per PPAs in phased manner and thereby avoid the losses due to stranded capacities arising due to switching of consumers to open access. As a first measure, the discoms have proposed increase in FC of high end domestic consumers and other commercial and industrial category consumers of HT and LT.</p>
13	<p>The DISCOMs have proposed to increase fixed demand charge to certain categories of consumers. However, they have not explained the reasons and justification for the same. Therefore, the proposal should be rejected by the Hon'ble Commission.</p>	<p>In the current tariff structure only 30% of the fixed cost is being recovered through fixed charges. The fixed charges constitute the fixed revenue of DISCOMs and are not reflective of the fixed costs incurred by them.</p>

		Hence the DISCOMs request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the proposed tariffs. Moreover, the projected Average Cost of Supply for FY 2024-25 for the licensee is higher than approved Average Cost of Supply for FY 2023-24. In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in tariff in light of increase of costs.
14	While proposing different rates of cross subsidy surcharge to different categories of consumers, SPDCL has pointed out, quoting consultation paper on issues pertaining to open access issued by MoP, GoI, in August, 2017, that, in case the consumer tariff is more than 120% of average cost of supply, DISCOM will not be able to recover losses through cross subsidy surcharge in case consumer opts for open access. Therefore, the DISCOM has requested the Hon'ble Commission not to restrict the cross subsidy surcharge at 20% of tariff payable by the consumer, as the tariffs are not within +/-20% average cost of supply.	No comments
15	TGDISCOMs have considered an annual escalation of 3% on actual variable costs for FY 2023-24 for the 5 th control period. Since the DISCOMs have been allowed by the Hon'ble Commission to collect @ 30 paise per unit per month under FSA, and raise true-up/true-down claims on quarterly basis, proposal of the DISCOMs for advance annual escalation in variable costs should not be allowed. The DISCOMs have not been collecting FSA as permitted by the Commission, nor are they raising true-up/true down claims in time, obviously, at the behest of the governments of the day. Such impermissible procrastination would cause problems to the DISCOMs, the consumers and the government - in the form of accumulated deficits for the DISCOMs, in the form of accumulated burdens with carrying cost, if the Commission permits, to the consumers, and need for providing subsidy or additional grant to the DISCOMs by the governments, if it chooses accordingly.	The Objectioners point has been noted. The Licensee has considered a nominal escalation rate of 3% for escalating variable cost. The DISCOMS have been regularly addressing letters to the GoTG regarding FCA charges and also seeking instructions for levy and collection of FCA charges on all categories of consumers in terms of regulation No. 1 of 2023.
16	Due to paucity of time given, submissions on some of the issues could not be prepared and the issues raised in our written submissions could not be elaborated adequately. In response to my letter dated 23.9.2024, the Hon'ble Commission, while declining to extend	The queries and objections raised during the public hearing will be replied to and incorporated in the issued order.

	<p>the last date for submission of objections, has maintained that “the stakeholders can also submit their objections/comments in the scheduled public hearings. The Commission recognizes the contribution of the submissions of knowledgeable and interested stakeholders and the same will be considered.” With public hearings scheduled from 21 to 25th of this month, and the Commission having just four days thereafter to issue its orders, to what extent it can consider the submissions made after the last date for submissions and on the dates of public hearings is anybody’s guess. Whether subsequent submissions find a place in the orders to be issued by the Commission in eight petitions is to be seen, in view of the experience so far.</p>	
17	<p>I request the Hon’ble Commission to permit me to make further submissions before and during the public hearings after studying replies of the licensees to my submissions.</p>	<p>Under the purview of Hon’ble Commission.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri Suresh Kumar Singhal, President of FTCCI Federation House, Federation Marg, # 11-6-841, Red Hills, Hyderabad-500004.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>The instant petitions have been filed by TG discoms for the determination of ARR & Wheeling Tariffs for Distribution Business for FY 2024-29 and determination of ARR for Retail Supply Business for FY 2024-29 & Tariff Proposals for FY 2024-25. The instant petition has been filed by both the discoms under the Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation, 2023 (MYT Regulations 2023).</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
2	<p>Multi-year Tariff for FY 2024-29 – Regulation 6 of the TSERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation, 2023 provides for the filing of the MYT petition by 30th November. The relevant extracts of the same are reproduced as under:</p> <p><i>“6 Procedure for filing Petition</i></p> <p><i>6.2 The petitions to be filed for each Control Period under this Regulation area under:</i></p> <p><i>b) Multi Year Tariff petition shall be filed by 30th November of the year preceding the first year of the Control Period by transmission licensee, distribution licensee (for wheeling business) and SLDC comprising:</i></p> <p><i>i. True-up of preceding year;</i></p> <p><i>ii. Aggregate Revenue Requirement for each year of the Control Period;</i></p> <p><i>iii. Proposal of Tariff and Charges for each year of the Control Period.</i></p> <p><i>c) Multi Year Tariff petition shall be filed by 30th November of the year preceding the first year of the Control Period by distribution licensee (for retail supply business) comprising:</i></p> <p><i>i. True-up of preceding year;</i></p>	<p>When the Regulation No.2 of 2023 was notified on 30.12.2023, the TGDSCOMs were seeking clarifications on certain clauses of the new MYT Regulation No.2 of 2023 as there was huge impact on the financials of the DISCOMs and also there was a change in regulatory regime because of which DISCOMs needed to collect additional data for preparing the petitions, then the TGDSCOMs have initiated the process of collecting the required data from the various wings for which it took time.</p> <p>Subsequently, the Gruhajyothi Scheme was announced by the GoTG which further delayed the process of Filings of ARR. Meanwhile, Model code of conduct came into force, due to which the TGDSCOMs could not file the ARR filings. The DISCOMs have duly submitted the condonation of delay petition before the Hon’ble Commission stating these reasons.</p> <p>The filings of true up application would be filed separately along with Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2023-24.</p>

	<p><i>ii. Aggregate Revenue Requirement for each year of the Control Period;</i></p> <p><i>iii. Revenue from retail sale of electricity at existing tariffs & charges and projected revenue gap for the first year of the Control Period;</i></p> <p><i>iv. Proposal of consumer category wise retail supply tariff and charges for first year of the Control Period:</i></p> <p>Provided that the Multi Year Tariff petitions for the Control Period commencing from 01.04.2024 shall be filed by generating entity, transmission licensee, distribution licensee and SLDC on or before 31.01.2024."</p> <p>Based on the above, it is clear that the instant petition lacks on 2 fronts:</p> <p>The Licensees have not filed the application for the True up of previous year (which is FY 2022-23) for Distribution and Retail Supply business (ref Regulation 6.2(b)(i) and 6.2(c)(i) respectively).</p> <p>The Petitioner has filed the instant Petition after a prolonged delay of ~10 months (July 2024 and September 2024 respectively for Distribution and RST business)) which in the opinion of the Objector is not appropriate. The reasoning put forth by the Licensees seems to be an afterthought to cover up for the substantial delay caused at the Licensee's end.</p> <p>On account of instant filings in non-compliance of the MYT Regulations 2023, the Petitioner's submissions merit non-consideration</p>	
3	<p>The Hon'ble Commission in the Order dated 07.06.2024 in the matter of Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2022-23 has approved a Revenue Surplus of Rs. 1736.34 crore and Rs. 2227.42 Crore for TGSPDCL and TGNPDCL respectively. The relevant extracts from such Order is as under:</p> <p><i>"4.12 RECOVERY OF REVENUE GAP/(SURPLUS)</i></p> <p><i>4.12.1 As per Clause 10.5 of Regulation 04 of 2005 the Commission directs the Applicant to include and propose the adjustment mechanism of the total approved</i></p>	<p>Clause 4.12.1 of order dated 07.06.2024 on Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business states that the adjustment mechanism of the total approved revenue surplus is to be proposed in the end of control period review petition for 4th Control Period. The last petition for 4th</p>

	<p><i>revenue surplus for TGSPDCL for Rs. 1736.34 crore (gap of Rs.20.54 crore for FY 2019-20, surplus of Rs. 253.05 crore for FY 2020-21, surplus of Rs. 1114.66 crore for FY 2021-22 and surplus of Rs. 389.17 Crore for FY 2022-23) and revenue surplus for TGNPDCL for Rs. 2227.42 Crore (surplus of Rs.384.76 Crore for FY 2019-20, surplus of Rs. 354.02, surplus ofRs. 634.03 Crore and surplus of Rs 854.62 Crore) in its end of control period review petition for 4th control period. This Order is corrected and signed on this the 7th day of June, 2024."</i></p> <p>It is mentioned that the impact of Revenue Gap pertaining to the True up of FY 2019-20 to FY 2022-23 has to be passed in the Retail supply business. The Hon'ble Commission is sincerely submitted to consider the impact of True up of Distribution business in the RST for FY 2024-25</p>	<p>Control period i.e., for FY 2023-24 is yet to be filed by TG Discoms wherein the adjustment mechanism would be provided as per directions given in the aforementioned order.</p>
4	<p>The petitioner (TGSPDCL) has claimed asset additions to the tune of Rs. 31,589 Crore for the Control period FY 2025-29 which is significantly high compared to the actual Capital Cost capitalized by the Distribution Licensees in the previous control period (FY 2019-24). Notably, such high level of projections for Capital cost is injurious to the financial health of the Distribution licensees as non-capitalization of the projected capital cost would effectively result into the revenue surplus which is against the principles of reasonable cost recovery</p>	<p>This issue is not pertains to TGNPDCL</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Distribution Business ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by Sri Narendra Baldwa, President of Telangana Andhra Plastics Manufactures Association, 914, 9th Floor, Raghava Ratna Towers, Chirag Ali Lane, Abids, Hyderabad -500001.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>TELANGANA AND ANDHRA PLASTICS MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION (TAAPMA) will be submitting the detailed comments / objections on the petitions filed by TG Genco and TG DISCOMs, at the time of public hearing on TG Genco 5th MYT petition and TGDISCOMs ARR and Wheeling charges for 5th MYT Period and FPT and CSS for FY 2024-25, as the time is very less for submitting the same.</p> <p>We request the Hon'ble Commission to accept our request give us the opportunity to appear in person at the Public Hearing on 21st and 23rd of October, 2024.</p>	Noted.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period for Distribution Business by Sri Vinod Kumar Agarwal, General Secretary of Telangana Iron and Steel Manufactures Association (TISMA), Regd. Office: Flat No. 101, 1st Floor, Satya Sarovar Apt, Ghansi Bazar, Near High Court, Hyderabad -500002. (Set-1)

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting our industry from time and again.</p> <p>With reference to the subject mentioned above, I would like to bring to the kind attention of the Hon'ble Commission that the filings made by TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL on the determination of ARR and Wheeling Tariff for the Distribution Business for the 5th Control Period (FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29) were uploaded on the websites of TSERC and TSSPDCL two days after the notice was published in the newspapers.</p> <p>Given this delay and considering the Dasara festival, which falls between October 2nd (Dasara Navaratrulu) and 11th October, the last date for submitting comments and objections coincides with the festival day. Hence, we kindly request the Hon'ble Commission to extend the deadline for submission.</p> <p>Additionally, TG Transco has also filed the ARR and proposed charges for Transmission Business and SLDC for the same period, with the deadline for comments also being 11th October 2024.</p> <p>Given the volume of data to be analyzed and the reduced number of working days due to the festival, it will be challenging to prepare and submit comprehensive comments by the current deadline. Therefore, we respectfully request that the Hon'ble Commission extend the deadline for submission of comments by 15 working days, from 11th October to 31st October 2024.</p>	<p>The Hon'ble Commission is requested to finalize the ARR and Tariff based on the filings of DISCOMs in order to overcome further financial burden on account of increase in power purchase cost experienced day to day by the DISCOMs.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period for Distribution Business by Sri R.K. Agarwal, Chairman to Telangana Spinning and Textile Mills Association, Surya Towers, 1st Floor, Sardar Patel Road, Secunderabad – 500003.

(Set-1, 25.09.2024)

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>This is to bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Commission that, they said filings were uploaded on the websites of either TGERC or on TGSPDCL with a delay of two days after the notice published in newspapers.</p> <p>Since the availability of information is delayed by two days, and keeping in view the Dasara Festival from October 2nd (Dasara Navaratrulu) and 11th October, the last date for submission of comments and objections being a festival day, we request the Hon'ble Commission to extend the last date for submission of comments.</p> <p>TG Transco has also filed the ARR and proposed charges for Transmission Business and SLDC for FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 and the last date for submission of the comments is again 11/10/2024.</p> <p>Keeping in view the enormity of the data to be analysed and the huge amount of work involved, it is difficult to complete the work by 11th October as the number of working days are also few due to festival days.</p> <p>Therefore, we request the Hon'ble Commission to extend the last date for submission of comments by 10 working days, i.e. from 11th October to 24th October-2024.</p> <p>Your positive consideration will give sufficient time to the objectors to provide meaningful objections on the filings of TG Transco and TG DISCOMs.</p>	<p>The Hon'ble Commission is requested to finalize the ARR and Tariff based on the filings of DISCOMs in order to overcome further financial burden on account of increase in power purchase cost experienced day to day by the DISCOMs.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri S. Surya Prakash Rao, Former Director (Commercial), erstwhile APCPDCL and Former Secretary, erstwhileAPER, Flat No. 105, Ashok Chandra Enclave, 11-4-660, Redhills, Hyderabad-500004.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>A. Preliminary submissions</p> <p>At the outset the Discom deserves compliments for the efforts made in compiling and providing elaborate data to facilitate objective study by stakeholders. It is a matter of consolation to consumers (except HT – 33kv and above) that no tariff hike is proposed in these filings except moderate increase in Fixed / Demand Charges.</p> <p>However, Hon'ble Commission may have to take a view whether it is desirable to allow tariff revision at this stage considering the following aspects:</p> <p>a).The filings are made after 6 months of the Financial Year. The Commission has to follow elaborate process to conduct the proceedings as required u/s 64 of the Electricity Act, for which around 90 days may be required though the Act allows 120 days.</p> <p>b). Discom didn't propose any substantial tariff revision for most of the consumer classes except HT consumers, for whom the proposed revision of energy charges is unreasonable and irrational as explained in para 2 hereinafter.</p> <p>c). The additional revenue expected from revised Tariff is mere Rs.1028 Crs (2.5%) out of the total Annual Revenue Requirement of Rs. 40,788 Crs for 2024-25.</p> <p>d). Bulk of this 1028 Crs, is expected from 33kv and 132 kv Industrial consumers whose sales forecast appears to have been overestimated as explained hereinafter.</p> <p>e). Revised Tariffs can take effect only prospectively after one week from the date of publication of the revised Tariffs as per Sec.26 (6) of the AP Electricity Reforms Act, 1998 read with the Electricity Act, 2003.</p> <p>f). If the effective date of new tariff is not synchronized with meter reading / billing cycle, it will cause additional work to Discoms and inconvenience to</p>	<p>The delay caused in filing of Tariff petition has been explained in the said petitions.</p> <p>In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in tariff in light of increase of costs.</p> <p>The category wise sales fore cast is based on the CAGR as was illustrated in the petitions.</p>

	<p>consumers.</p> <p>g). Any attempt to compress the schedule for determination of tariff may send wrong signals to the stakeholders of power sector.</p>																									
B - 1	<p>The Discom considered growth rate of 17. 68 % for 33kv Industrial-General (HT-I(A)) consumption on the basis of 3 yrs average, which was reported as follows (para 3.1.2)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>sales in MU</th> <th>growth (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2017-18</td> <td>3568</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2018-19</td> <td>4410</td> <td>19 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2019-20</td> <td>4174</td> <td>(-) 5 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020-21</td> <td>3546</td> <td>(-) 15 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2021-22</td> <td>4534</td> <td>28 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2022-23</td> <td>5442</td> <td>20 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2023-24</td> <td>5778</td> <td>6 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The negative growth in 2019-20 and 2020-21 is attributable to the slump in industrial production during Covid-19 pandemic. The 3 year growth rate with ref to such negative values gives inflated growth rates.</p> <p>Similarly in the case of 132 kv Industrial consumption, the Discom adopted a growth rate of 31% on 3 year average basis. But there was negative growth of (-)20% in 2018-19 and (-) 9 %, in 2019-20, turning to the positive growth trajectory of 2.5 % in 2020-21, 41% in 2021-22, 54% in 2022-23, but only 3 % in 2023-24.</p> <p>Suggestion : Hon'ble Commission may please make appropriate correction to the growth rates of 33kv and 132kv Industrial-General category with ref to the actual consumption in the 1st half-year of 2024-25</p>	Year	sales in MU	growth (%)	2017-18	3568		2018-19	4410	19 %	2019-20	4174	(-) 5 %	2020-21	3546	(-) 15 %	2021-22	4534	28 %	2022-23	5442	20 %	2023-24	5778	6 %	This issue not pertains to TGNPDCL
Year	sales in MU	growth (%)																								
2017-18	3568																									
2018-19	4410	19 %																								
2019-20	4174	(-) 5 %																								
2020-21	3546	(-) 15 %																								
2021-22	4534	28 %																								
2022-23	5442	20 %																								
2023-24	5778	6 %																								
B-2	<p>The main proposal of tariff revision in these filings is equating the energy charges of 33kv and above category Industrial-General consumers with that of 11 kv Industrial-General category and some other categories. This is unreasonable and irrational for the following reasons:</p> <p>a). The statutory guidelines u/s 61 of the Electricity Act mandate among others,</p> <p>(i). Safeguarding consumers' interest and at the same time recovery of cost of electricity in a reasonable manner</p> <p>(ii). Tariff progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and reduces the X-</p>	As per the clause 8.3(2) of the National Tariff policy- 2016, the Commission should notify a roadmap such that tariffs are brought within +/- 20% of Average Cost of Supply. The licensee would bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Commission that projected Average Cost of Supply for FY 2024-25 for the licensee is higher than approved Average Cost of Supply for FY 2023-24. In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in																								

<p>subsidies in the manner specified by the Appropriate Commission</p> <p>(iii). Appropriate Commission shall be guided by the National Electricity Policy and Tariff Policy</p> <p>b). National Electricity Policy observes that X-subsidies hide inefficiencies and should be reduced progressively and gradually.</p> <p>The Tariff Policy requires the Commissions to notify road map to bring tariffs within +/-20% of Average Cost of Supply.</p> <p>c). With the proposed increase of energy charges from Rs.7.15 to Rs.7.65 / unit for 33kv and from 6.65 to 7.65 / unit for 132 kv, the tariff for Industrial-General consumers will work out to Rs. 8.57 / unit including the component of about 92 paise per unit towards Demand Charge @ Rs. 500/Kva/ month at 75 % load factor.</p> <p>d). Cost of Supply as per filings (para 6.3.2)</p> <p>11kv Industrial-General : Rs.7.63/unit</p> <p>33kv Industrial-General : Rs. 5.64/unit</p> <p>132kv Industrial-General : Rs. 5.13/unit</p> <p>e). Thus these 33kv and 132 kv consumers will be contributing X-subsidy of about Rs.3 / unit and Rs.3.50 / unit respectively, which works to about 50% of COS for 33kv and 65% of COS for 132kv Industrial-General category consumers which is highly exorbitant and prohibitive.</p> <p>f). In these filings, the Discom proposed X-Subsidy Surcharge for Open Access consumers at Rs.1.68 / unit for 33kv and Rs. 1.58 / unit for 132kv consumers. It is unfair to charge tariff which contains X-subsidy component of Rs 3 to 3.5 / unit to its own consumers which is 100% higher compared to open access consumers.</p> <p>Suggestions :</p> <p>(i).In view of the circumstances explained by the Discom for the delay in the filings and in view of the position stated above, Hon'ble Commission may retain the existing tariffs across the board for all categories of consumers including HT.</p> <p>(ii). As the Revenue Deficit may increase marginally consequent to correction in growth rates of sale of energy under subsidizing categories, the Net Revenue Deficit (after accounting for the subsidy by State government u/s 65 of the Act)</p>	<p>tariff in light of increase of costs</p> <p>The licensee would also like to mention that the cross-subsidy surcharge has been computed as per clause 8.5 of the National Tariff Policy-2016.</p> <p>Further, the objector has mentioned about creation of regulatory asset. However, clause 8.2.2 of the National Tariff Policy-2016 mentions that under business-as-usual conditions, no regulatory asset shall be created. Moreover, as per clause 23 of Electricity (Amendment) Rules, 2024 there shall not be any gap between approved ARR and revenue from approved tariff except under natural calamity conditions. Hence the licensee is not in favor of creating a regulatory asset. Full recovery of costs incurred by the licensee through tariff will help improve its financial position, enabling licensee to provide quality and uninterrupted supply to its consumers</p>
---	---

	<p>may be treated as a Regulatory Asset and its recovery may be spread equally over the balance 4 year period of the 5th Control Period (2025 – 29) in the interest of consumers as well as Discom.</p>	
<p>B- 3</p>	<p>The following broad picture emerges from the filings of the Discom for 2024-25 (figures rounded off please) :</p> <p>Energysales Sales to LT consumers : 29,903 MU (48 %) Sales to HT consumers : 25,456 MU (41 %) Energy losses : 6,677 MU (11 %) Total Energy Requirement: 62,036 MU</p> <p>Revenuesatcurrenttariffs Annual Revenue Requirement: 40,788 Crs Revenue from LT sales : 12, 716 Crs (31%) Revenue from HT sales: 21, 940 Crs (54 %) Revenue from other sources : 94 Crs Revenue Gap : (-) 5,957 Crs (15 %)</p> <p>After apportioning the energy losses between HT and LT sales, the HT categories consume about 45% of total energy and contribute 54 % of total Revenues while LT categories consume about 55% of total energy and contribute only about 31 % of total Revenues leading to revenue deficit of about 15 % .</p> <p>Cost of Supply Cost of Supply at LT : Rs. 8.62 / unit Cost of Supply at HT : Rs. 5.89 / unit Average Cost of Supply : Rs.7.37 /unit</p> <p>AverageRealisationandC-R ratio LT supply : Rs 4.25 /unit 49. 30 % HT supply : Rs. 8.90 /unit 151. 00 %</p> <p>Thus it's expedient for the Discom to sustain / improve the energy sales to subsidizing categories under HT and LT with appropriate initiatives /relaxation in terms and conditions of supply if necessary. Any proposal to increase tariff of these categories will be counterproductive to the objective of improving Revenues and financial health of Discoms.</p>	<p>The sales of subsidizing categories depend on multiple factors such as nature of the industries and production cycle basis the market dynamics. Hence Discoms have no control over energy sales to Industries</p>

B-4

Electrical Accidents: (items 8 and 9 under earlier Directives and item 6 and 7 under new Directives)

The Discom stated that the **root-causeanalysis** was made as directed by the Commission and report submitted in its Lr.dt.22-6-2024. The Discom also stated that the awareness programs are being conducted and safety equipment is provided to the O&M staff to avoid Electrical Accidents. Discom stated that Rs.3.03 Crs, Rs.2.86 Crs and Rs.3.56 Crs was spent during 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24 respectively. This is appreciable.

In this context, it's necessary to refer to the CEA (Measures for Safety and Electricity Supply) Regulations 2010. Clause 5 the said Regulations requires Discoms to appoint Safety Officers to ensure observance of Safety Measures.

TGNPDCL has appointed Divisional Engineer/Technical of circles as Divisional Engineer/ Technical and Nodal safety officer to educate the employees to reduce the possibility departmental fatal/non-fatal accidents to our staff, unmanned workers and unauthorized personnel during execution of works in the field and directed to implement safety measures strictly to avoid electrical accidents and make zero accident in our TGNPDCL.

As per the directions of the Hon'ble Commission, the DISCOMs are paying the ex-gratia to the victims, however the Commission has disallowed such expenses in the DISCOMs filing.

The abstract of fatal electrical accidents occurred to humans and an animal, during past 4 Financial Years in TGNPDCL is as follows

Sl. No	Financial Year	No of Human Fatal electrical accidents	No of Animal Fatal electrical accidents	Total(Nos.)
1	2020-21	567	1001	1568
2	2021-22	539	1116	1655
3	2022-23	521	856	1377
4	2023-24	465	791	1256
5	2024-25 Upto September-2024	225	516	741
Total		2317	4280	6591

From the above table, It may be inferred that number of fatal electrical accidents has come down over a period of past 4 years.

		<p>This is achieved by taking up following measures by TGNPDCL.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conducting meeting with agricultural consumers in their fields in 'Polambata' by field Engineers and creating awareness among them to follow safety rules while operating with switch boards, starters of motors. • Conducting safety awareness programs with consumers in villages, raising height of distribution transformers where and explaining about causes of electrical accidents like substandard electrical house wiring, low quality domestic appliances, not providing earthing at houses and switch boards. • Distribution of pamphlets to consumers at more accidents prone areas. • Conducting awareness programs in schools and Colleges in Mahabubabad Circle with PPTs. Similar action is being carried out in other circles also. • Conducting PEP talk with O&M staff by Officers, AE/OP, ADE/OP, DE/OP and DE/Technical's. • All the O&M staff are provided appropriate "PPE" (Personnel Protective Equipment) i.e., Hard Hat, Gloves, Portable earthing short circuiting kits, safety shoes, insulated tools, Voltage detector, etc..
	Suggestions:	TGNPDCL has appointed Divisional Engineer/Technical of circles as

	<p>I suggest that Hon'ble Commission may issue Directions to the Discoms to appoint Safety Officer for each Discom if not for each Operation Circle, apart from improvement of Distribution Infrastructure already directed.</p> <p>Further , the Electrical Inspectorate under Chief Electrical Inspector to Government (CEIG) is the authority to investigate Electrical Accidents and to suggest rectifications under the scheme of the Electricity Act 2003, Hon'ble Commission may please hold joint meetings with CEIG , Discoms and Energy Department and advise the government to strengthen the Electrical Inspectorate to make out an effective action plan to reduce/avoid Electrical Accidents.</p>	<p>Divisional Engineer/ Technical and Nodal safety officer to educate the employees to reduce the possibility departmental fatal/non-fatal accidents to our staff, unmanned workers and unauthorized personnel during execution of works in the field and directed to implement safety measures strictly to avoid electrical accidents and make zero accident in our TGNPDCL.</p>
	<p>Pre-paid metering: (items 14 and 15)</p> <p>Hon'ble Commission directed the Discoms to replace meters of willing consumers with pre-paid metering (item 14) and also submit report on action plan for replacement of existing meters with pre-paid metering (item 15). The Discom replied that it's mandatory to replace the meters of all consumers except Agricultural by March, 2025 as per the timelines given by Ministry of Power, Government of India in its letter dated 17th August, 2021. This premise of Discom is misconceived. Apparently Discom failed to note the Commission's view in para 6.27.2 of Tariff Order for 2022-23, which is extracted hereunder:</p> <p><i>"6.27.2: The Commission has taken note of the submissions of Discoms and the objections received from various stakeholders on the proposal of mandatory pre-paid meters for all government services. As pointed by one of the stakeholders, Discoms have to obtain consent of consumer for availing supply through pre-paid meter. The relevant extract of the Act is as follows....."</i></p> <p>The Discom also stated that a draft DPR is prepared at a cost of Rs. 9,803Crs to replace meters of 81 lakhs consumers other than Agricultural, and the same is to be approved by the Distribution Reforms Committee (DRC), State Cabinet, and final approval by the MOP, Government of India.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per the GO MS No.1, Dt:03.01.2016, Energy (Budget) Department ,Govt. of Telangana, TGNPDCL purchased 18812 prepaid meters for installation of meters to Govt. Services and 15035 prepaid meters are fixed till today. • The Agreement of above project validity was up to July-2023 only. Further, the firms have not given willingness for extension of the above project. • In the reference F No:20/9/2019-IPDS.Gol/MoP, DT:20.07.2021 Govt. of India has conveyed new scheme for implementation of "Revamped Distribution Sector Scheme- A Reforms based Results linked Scheme" with the objective of improving the quality and reliability of power supply to

<p>Objections: Central Government has no authority under the Electricity Act to issue instructions to Discoms to replace existing meters with pre-payment metering. The Discoms are not bound by the instructions of MOP in the said letter dated 17-8-2021.</p> <p>Central Electricity Authority (CEA) has no power under the Electricity Act to insist installation of meters with pre-payment features for all consumers in the absence of any provision for mandatory pre-payment system in the Electricity Act. Pre-payment system is optional under the Electricity Act as of now. CEA Regulations are relevant for technical and operational specifications only.</p> <p>Hence the Discoms ought to have assessed the level of willingness of consumers to switch over to pre-payment system before preparing the DPR with huge investment of about Rs.10,000 Crs, which will be a colossal waste as most of the consumers may not opt for pre-payment system and it will become a wasteful investment.</p> <p>Further, in para 6.27.3 of the said Tariff Order it is stated that Discom has already installed 26,732 pre-paid meters for government services in terms of G.O. Ms. no.1 dt 31-1-2016 and MOP Lr.dt 17-8-2021.</p> <p>Discom may please be asked to inform the latest position and whether all these meters are operational and automatic disconnection is being done through meters for non-payment by due date. If they are not operational, Hon'ble Commission may please ensure that the cost of such wasteful investment is not loaded into the consumer tariffs in ARR / Tariff filings</p>	<p>consumer. The scheme aim is to reduce AT&C losses. Smart metering is also covered under this scheme.</p>
---	---

Andhra Jyothi daily news paper published are port with many details on Electrical Accidents in its edition of 2nd Oct 2024 which requires due attention of all concerned officials. The press clipping is being sent along with the covering email.

The abstract of fatal electrical accidents occurred to humans and an animal, during past 4 Financial Years in TGNPDCL is as follows

Sl. No	Financial Year	No of Human Fatal electrical accidents	No of Animal Fatal electrical accidents	Total(Nos.)
1	2020-21	567	1001	1568
2	2021-22	539	1116	1655
3	2022-23	521	856	1377
4	2023-24	465	791	1256
5	2024-25 Upto September-2024	225	516	741
Total		2317	4280	6591

From the above table, It may be inferred that number of fatal electrical accidents has come down over a period of past 4 years. This is achieved by taking up following measures by TGNPDCL.

- Conducting meeting with agricultural consumers in their fields in 'Polambata' by field Engineers and creating awareness among them to follow safety rules while operating with switch boards, starters of motors.
- Conducting safety awareness programs with consumers in villages, raising height of distribution transformers where and explaining about causes of electrical accidents like

		<p>substandard electrical house wiring, low quality domestic appliances, not providing earthing at houses and switch boards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distribution of pamphlets to consumers at more accidents prone areas. • Conducting awareness programs in schools and Colleges in Mahabubabad Circle with PPTs. Similar action is being carried out in other circles also. • Conducting PEP talk with O&M staff by Officers, AE/OP, ADE/OP, DE/OP and DE/Technical's. <p>All the O&M staff are provided appropriate "PPE" (Personnel Protective Equipment) i.e., Hard Hat, Gloves, Portable earthing short circuiting kits, safety shoes, insulated tools, Voltage detector, etc.</p>
	<p>Statutory Inspection of New Lines As per the procedure in vogue during our time, the State government authorized Transco/ Discom to conduct Statutory Inspections for their new lines. Electrical Inspectorate was inspecting H.T consumer installations and Generating Stations. I am of the view that it's desirable to entrust the duty of statutory inspections</p>	<p>TGNPDCL are taking up new lines as per construction standards only and work bills are released to contractors only after thorough inspection of new lines</p>

<p>to an independent agency accredited by the Government or CEA or any other competent authority. Institutions like Institution of Engineers or Engineering Staff College of India, Hyderabad (ESCI) may be considered by the State Government.</p>													
<p>Periodical Inspection and Rectification of Lines Pre- monsoon inspections Normally the Discoms conduct pre-monsoon inspections as an annual feature, which mainly covers tree clearance, replacement of flashed / damaged insulators, rectifications of loose contacts at joints at cut- points etc, to avoid/ reduce interruptions of supply during ensuring monsoon season. But re-stringing of loose spans which are likely to endanger humans animals life, is not attended during these inspections.</p>	<p>TGNPDCL are conducting Pre-monsoon inspections as per the schedules which mainly are covers tree clearance, replacement of flashed/damaged insulators, rectifications of loose contacts at joints at cut-points etc, to avoid/reduce interruption of supply during ensuring monsoon season.</p>												
<p>Special Drive for Inspection/Rectification of Lines to prevent/reduce Electrical Accidents</p> <p>Hon'ble Commission may take a view whether to direct the Discoms to undertake Special Drive for Inspection and Rectification of Lines as follows from the view point of preventing accidents: Every Operation Circle may constitute sufficient number of teams for this purpose exclusively.</p> <p>These teams will conduct inspection of specified distributions in one week, note down the defects, procure necessary resources (men and materials) and take up the rectification work in the following week itself, and repeat the process as a fortnightly program. Discom officials may try to involve local people to identify the vulnerable points and motivate them to assist the Discom in taking up rectification work. Discom may sanction area wise schemes on the basis of rational norms instead of on actual survey of each distribution to avoid starting trouble for this Special Drive. This method of inspections / rectifications was implemented in composite AP in mid 1970s as per the instructions issued by the then Chief Engineer/Operation, SriV.S.Ganapathi Ram. It's worth trying now.</p>	<p>TGNPDCL has conducted several programmes like DNRD, Palle Pragathi, Pattana Pragathi, Adopted Villages for rectification of defects in network.</p> <p>The details of works carried out for the FY 2024-25 are as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Restringing of loose lines (in spans)</th> <th>Rectification of leaned poles (Nos.)</th> <th>Laying of middle/Intermediate Poles (Nos.)</th> <th>No. Of damaged poles (Nos.)</th> <th>Rectification of DTR earthing (Nos.)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>7123</td> <td>4429</td> <td>14013</td> <td>5131</td> <td>4945</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Restringing of loose lines (in spans)	Rectification of leaned poles (Nos.)	Laying of middle/Intermediate Poles (Nos.)	No. Of damaged poles (Nos.)	Rectification of DTR earthing (Nos.)	1	7123	4429	14013	5131	4945
Sl. No.	Restringing of loose lines (in spans)	Rectification of leaned poles (Nos.)	Laying of middle/Intermediate Poles (Nos.)	No. Of damaged poles (Nos.)	Rectification of DTR earthing (Nos.)								
1	7123	4429	14013	5131	4945								

<p>Franchisee system at village level. Hon'ble Commission may please take a view whether to introduce Franchisee system for some vulnerable LT Distributions u/s 14 (7th proviso) for taking up maintenance functions on a pilot basis after completion of the rectifications under the proposed Special Drive or otherwise. Franchisees system was followed for some Distributions in Maharashtra a few years ago. I am not aware whether it is still being implemented successfully.</p>	<p>TGNPDCL is taking up maintenance functions on a pilot basis for completion of rectifications in system network instead of Franchisee system.</p>
<p>District Level Committees Sec166 (5) provides for constitution of District Level Committees by State government to review / coordinate certain aspects concerning electricity supply. I am not aware whether these committees were constituted and functional. Hon'ble Commission may advise the government to constitute the same for all districts including new districts and to coordinate with Discoms on the initiatives being taken to reduce/avoid Electrical Accidents, apart from the functions entrusted to the Committees u/s 166 (5) of the Electricity Act. Further, Hon'ble Commission may please examine whether there's need for Mandal Level / Village Level Committees and advise the State government suitably u/s 86 (2)(iii) of the Act.</p>	<p>Initiation of District level Committees in TGSDISCOMs will lead to financial burden of TGDISCOMs. Further, as per the directions of Hon'ble Commission, TGDISCOMs are conducting Inspection/ Rectification of Lines and taking measures to improve poor distribution network and also submitting the reports on planning of Programs and their Progress of rectification of Poor Distribution Infrastructure to the Hon'ble Commission. Hon'ble Commission will examine whether there's need for Mandal Level / Village Level Committees and advise the State government suitably u/s 86 (2)(iii) of the Act.</p>
<p>Protection against LT line faults Long back, LT breakers with relays for tripping the line were provided at the Distribution Transformer structure for LT feeder protection against earth faults. But these were withdrawn later perhaps due to inconvenience caused to consumers' consequent to frequent trippings as the incidence of earth fault is very high in LT lines for various reasons. Hon'ble Commission may please elicit the views of Academic Institutions, Manufacturers of LT breakers and CEA for a workable solution of protection for LT lines to avoid Electrical Accidents and without causing undue inconvenience to consumers due to frequent tripping. Submitted for consideration by the Hon'ble Commission in public interest before finalizing the ARR/Tariff filings of TG Discoms.</p>	<p>In order to avoid frequent trippings to consumers in LT lines the following measures are taken:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing of LT spacers to LT lines. • Providing proper rating fuse wire to Distribution Transformers. <p>Providing of LT Distribution Box to Distribution Transformers on trail basis and the performance is found to be satisfactory. This will be implemented on large scale basis.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri K. Thourya, Chief Electrical Distribution Engineer, South Central Railway, Electrical Branch, Secunderabad.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>Indian Railways is a vital and largest transport organization of Government of India, have vast network for surface transport accessible to all sections of society and play important roles in economic and financial growth of the country. Railways serve the public at large and being a public utility, it should be supplied with electricity at a reasonable price which would reduce its requirement for diesel. In the process there would be saving of foreign exchange. It will also prevent upward revision of fares for transportation of passengers and goods by the Railways. If the fare for passengers & Goods are increased to offset fuel (energy) cost, it will add to overall inflation.</p>	<p>It is to submit that the TGDISCOMs also serve the public at large and being a public utility, the TGDISCOMs should supply electricity at a reasonable rate to all its consumers consists of domestic, commercial, industrial, Railways & Traction Agriculture etc.</p> <p>The TGDISCOMs are providing reliable and quality power supply to all it's consumers duly enhancing the network covering all areas of the state since it's inception. As such it resulted in increase in tariff to all consumers</p>
2	<p>South Central Railway avails traction power through 28 TSSs at 132 kV Traction sub-stations in the state of Telangana. The total connected load is 396 MVA and total consumption of Railway traction is 1177 million units projected for the year 2024-25 and paying a substantial amount of Rs. 820 Crores to DISCOMs in Telangana state. The increase in proposed tariff for 2024-25 by Rs.1.34 per unit will result in to additional burden of Rs. 80 Crores from October -2024 to March-2025</p>	<p>The TGDISCOMs are having the responsibility of taking care of its all consumers including small and bulk consumers, and proposed the tariff by considering all the pros & cons of its consumers.</p> <p>Without recovery of cost incurred, the DISCOMs cannot be able to supply power to bulk consumers.</p>
3	<p>The Railways is a bulk consumer and pay major revenues to TGDISCOMs. Hence, the grievances of Railways are to be considered while fixing the tariff for HT-V (A) category</p>	
4	<p>Government of India and state governments have taken policy decisions to encourage public/private electric road vehicles for decarbonization of transport system to reduce carbon footprint and protect the environment. Government of India (Ministry of Railways) took policy decision to electrify its entire existing Railway network over Indian Railways on fast-track mode to enrich carbon free transportation.</p>	<p>The TGDISCOMs are always striving to supply power at reasonable rates to it's consumers in Telangana state. There had been no hike in the tariff for the past decade except in FY2022-23 inspite of increase in various costs of the DISCOMs</p>

	<p>The hike in electricity tariff of Railway Traction will affect the operating cost of Railways, which may result in high freight charges, commodity prices and thereby rise in inflation and burdening common man and public of all sectors. Railways is a labor-intensive department, due to rise in operating cost of Indian Railways is drastically affecting the employment generation in the organization</p>																	
5.	<p>Cost of Service for Railway Traction:</p> <p>The proposed Cost of service for Railway Traction is as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Discom</th> <th>Cost of Service Rs/KWH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TGSPDCL</td> <td>5.43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TGNPDCL</td> <td>5.24</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average</td> <td>5.335</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The comparison of cost of service and proposed tariff for Railway traction HT-V(A) category is given below</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>COS of Discoms</th> <th>Proposed Traction tariff</th> <th>% Variation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2024-25</td> <td>5.335/KWH</td> <td>8.23/KVAH</td> <td>54%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>From above, it may be seen that the traction tariff is higher by 54% over cost of service which is against the provisions of National Tariff policy.</p> <p>The COS is being calculated in terms of KWh and energy is being charged for Railway traction in terms of KVAh</p>	Discom	Cost of Service Rs/KWH	TGSPDCL	5.43	TGNPDCL	5.24	Average	5.335	Year	COS of Discoms	Proposed Traction tariff	% Variation	2024-25	5.335/KWH	8.23/KVAH	54%	<p>The consumer expected to draw only active power from the grid and avoid to inject/draw reactive power from the grid to avoid overloading of the network.</p> <p>The proposed tariff for traction service is within 20% of ACoS of state in line with national Tariff Policy 2016.</p> <p>As per the RST orders issued by the Hon'ble Commission from time to time, the fixed costs commitments of Generation, Transmission and Distribution systems are being recovered partly through Demand Charges from HT Consumers & Fixed Charges from LT Consumers to the extent of less than 30% though the consumers maintaining the contract demand with Distribution Licensee.</p> <p>The statement of NTP para 8.3(2) will be holds good after recovering entire fixed cost commitments of TGDISCOMs through demand charges fixed by the Hon'ble TGERC.</p> <p>In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in tariff in light of increase of costs.</p>
Discom	Cost of Service Rs/KWH																	
TGSPDCL	5.43																	
TGNPDCL	5.24																	
Average	5.335																	
Year	COS of Discoms	Proposed Traction tariff	% Variation															
2024-25	5.335/KWH	8.23/KVAH	54%															
6.	<p>National Tariff Policy :</p> <p>National tariff policy para 8.3 {2) states that "for achieving the objective that the tariff progressively reflects the cost of supply of electricity, the appropriate</p>																	

	<p>commission would notify a roadmap such that tariffs are brought within + 20% of the average cost of supply.</p> <p>The road map would also have intermediate milestones, based on the approach of a gradual reduction in cross subsidy."</p>																						
7.	<p>The tariffs were to be fixed within $\pm 20\%$ of the average cost of service. From table in Para 5.0, it is noted that % difference between the average cost of service of the two DISCOMs and the traction tariff proposed is 54% more, which is in contravention to the National Tariff Policy</p>																						
8.	<p>Traction Tariff Proposed for 2024-25 :</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Demand Charges Rs/ KVA</th> <th>Energy Charges Rs/ KVAh</th> <th>Average unit cos Rs/KVAH</th> <th>Amount & % of Increase</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2023-24 (Present)</td> <td>475</td> <td>5.05</td> <td>6.89</td> <td>Rs. 1.34 & 19.45%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2024-25 (Proposed)</td> <td>500 (5.26% increase)</td> <td>6.25 (23.76% increase)</td> <td>8.23 (19.45% increase)</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The increase in railway traction tariff of Rs. 1.34/Unit (19.45%) is very high, highly unreasonable and unjustified.</p> <p>Higher traction tariff slashes Rate of Return (ROR) for the new electrification projects and existing electrification projects which are under progress which are making them non- viable.</p> <p>Tariff Schedule of Hyderabad Metro Rail (HMR) and Indian Railways:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Consumer</th> <th>Existing Tariff</th> <th>Proposed Tariff</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Demand Charges Rs/ KVA	Energy Charges Rs/ KVAh	Average unit cos Rs/KVAH	Amount & % of Increase	2023-24 (Present)	475	5.05	6.89	Rs. 1.34 & 19.45%	2024-25 (Proposed)	500 (5.26% increase)	6.25 (23.76% increase)	8.23 (19.45% increase)		Consumer	Existing Tariff	Proposed Tariff				<p>The TGTRANSCO and TGDSICOMs have invested Rs.35000 cr for enhancements of electrical network to make accessible to its consumers and the recovery of such cost is inevitable to run its operations.</p>
Year	Demand Charges Rs/ KVA	Energy Charges Rs/ KVAh	Average unit cos Rs/KVAH	Amount & % of Increase																			
2023-24 (Present)	475	5.05	6.89	Rs. 1.34 & 19.45%																			
2024-25 (Proposed)	500 (5.26% increase)	6.25 (23.76% increase)	8.23 (19.45% increase)																				
Consumer	Existing Tariff	Proposed Tariff																					

	Demand Charges Rs/KVA	Energy Charge s Rs/KVA h	Average unit rate Rs/KVA h	Dema nd Charg es Rs/KV A	Energy Charge s Rs/KVA h	Averag e unit rate Rs/KV Ah
HMR-HT-V(B)	475	4.9 5	6.84	500	4.95	6.93
Railways HT-V(A)	475	5.0 5	6.93	500	6.25	8.23
% increase over HMR			1.32			18.7 6

It is evident from the preceding data that there is already a 1.32% more difference in the current tariff between HMR and Railways. Further, the railway tariff in the proposed tariff is about 18.76% higher than the HMR. The 18.76% tariff difference and biases when compared with HMR are completely discriminatory, unreasonable and unjustified. Further, it is worth to mention that SC Railways operates train services around the clock both during the day and at night, contributing to maintain and increase grid stability during off-peak load hours, whereas HMR operates only with a fixed load during the day and no load during the night.

<p>Electrification of more sections in Telangana</p> <p>By way of electrification of Railway network in Telangana additional infrastructure will be added, resulting into faster movement of goods and passenger traffic. Ultimately there is every possibility of upcoming industries in Telangana state.</p> <p>Electrification projects recently completed: Electrification Projects completed in last 3 yrs.: 953 Kms</p> <p>Peddapalli - Nizamabad: 178 Km</p> <p>II. Falaknuma- Mahbubnagar Doubling with Electrification:98 Km.</p> <p>III. Malkajgiri - Medchal doubling with Electrification: 24 Kms.</p> <p>IV. Mahbubnagar - Kurnool Town: 128 Km.</p> <p>V. Medchal - Dharmabad : 166 Km.</p> <p>VI. Devarakhadra - Krishna: 65 Km</p> <p>VII. Janakampet - Bodhan : 27 Km.</p> <p>VIII. Moulali - Ghaktkesar (Quadruppling): 24 Km.</p> <p>IX. Kazipet - Ballaharsha (Tripling): 164 Km.</p> <p>x. Vikarabad - Matakunta: 79 Km.</p> <p>8.2 Electrification projects under progress: 157 Km.</p> <p>i. Kazipet - Balharshah (Tripling): 40 Km</p> <p>ii. Kazipet - Vijayawada (Tripling): 100 Km</p> <p>iii. Akanapet - Medak: 17 Km</p>	
---	--

8.3 Electrification projects sanctioned: 76 Km.

i. Manoharabad - Siddipet: 76 RKM

8.4 Electrification projects under proposal stage and yet to be sanctioned: 696 Km.

i. Siddipet - Kothapalli: 73 Km.

ii. Sattupalli - Kovvur: 95 Km.

iii. Manugur - Ramagundam: 200 Km.

iv. Macherla - Nalgonda: 92 Km.

v. Kondapalli - Kothagudem: 125 Km.

vi. Kazipet - Ghatkesar: 110 Km.

Above New Line project sections are planned to be electrified in Telangana state. Any increase in traction tariff will affect badly and not viable the upcoming electrification projects and slow down the existing projects also in Telangana state and effects the development of infrastructure works in the state of Telangana.

Further, Railway Board have chosen Railway network work over Telangana region to upgrade the traction system from existing 1x25 KV system to 2x25 KV system to enhance existing carrying capacity to realize Mission 3000 MT master plan of PMO office by which connecting loads and demand will be increased resulting in increase in demand and energy consumption.

	<p>9.0 Incentive on Prompt/early payment:</p> <p>Railways are prompt in payment of energy bills to the DISCOMs and for these, Railways certainly deserve some rebate/incentive. Reasonable rebate/incentive for prompt payment be granted as done in Odisha state. In Odisha, Railways entitled to a rebate of 1% (one percent) of the amount of monthly bill (excluding all arrears).</p>	<p>The TGDISCOMS are grateful to have such prompt consumers like Indian Railways.</p> <p>Rebate in tariff to particular category of consumers is not in the purview of TGDISCOMs.</p>
	<p>10.0 Off peak time loads for Railway Traction:</p> <p>It is to mention that Railway traction is power intensive, and loads are for passenger & goods train services which are run round the clock. There is no distinction of peak to non-peak hours. Thus Railways are improving base loads of DISCOMs and supporting the grid stability. Apart from this, Railways is maintaining higher power factor</p>	
	<p>Railways Planning to avail traction power through open access:</p> <p>It is brought to the kind notice of the Hon'ble commission; Indian Railways are already availing power through "Open access" in 12 states. The "Deemed Distribution Licensee" status of Railways is under sub-judise at Hon'ble Supreme Court. However, Hon'ble Supreme Court in its interim order dated 06.05.2024, clarified that open access for Railways shall not be denied and cross subsidy and additional surcharges are not applicable to be paid till final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>As per Section 39, 2 (d) Indian Electricity Act-2003, STU to provide non-discriminatory open access to its transmission system for use by any consumer as and when such open access is provided by the State Commission under sub-section (2) of section 42, on payment of the transmission charges and a surcharge thereon, as may be specified by the State Commission.</p>	<p>The Deemed Distribution Licensee status for Indian Railways was withheld by Hon'ble APTEL in its judgment.</p> <p>As per the provisions in the Electricity Act 2003 under section 42(2) & 42(4) any Open Access consumer is required to pay CSS& AS determined by the Hon'ble Commission in its Tariff orders including Indian Railways.</p>

<p>12.0 Railways prayed:</p> <p>Due to kind consideration of Hon' ble commission the traction tariff has been fixed for Railway traction at par with Open access tariff in Telangana state for the last two years. In view of reasonable traction tariff most of the routes in Telangana state got sanctioned for electrification. Railway Electrification is environment friendly, energy efficient and reduces carbon footprint, which further reduces the import burden of high-speed diesel.</p> <p>Proposed increase in traction tariff for Railways will affect all the ongoing electrification projects which are under progress in the state of Telangana and slow down the existing projects. This will have a detrimental effect on the electrification projects and other infrastructure projects in the state of Telangana.</p> <p>In this circumstance, proposed tariff by TG Discerns equivalent charges of Rs 8.23 /KVAh (with average load factor 35%) is very high, unreasonable and unjustified. Higher tariff compared to "open access" and HMR tariff, over burdens the bulk consumer like Railways.</p>	<p>In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in tariff in light of increase of costs.</p>
--	---

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri Lt. Gen. Dr. SP Kochhar, Director General, COAI, 2nd & 3rd Floor, 14 Bhai Veer Singh Marg, Gole Market, New Delhi-110001.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	At the outset, we would like to introduce ourselves as Cellular Operators Association of India (COAI), a non-profit and non-governmental body with the vision to establish and sustain a world-class telecom infrastructure and facilitate affordable mobile communication services in India. COAI represents the leading Telecom Service Providers (TSPs) and telecom equipment vendors in India, in addition to other Telecom, IT and Infrastructure organizations. COAI is dedicated to the advancement of mobile telephony and broadband through development and provision of world-class infrastructure, products and services, delivering the benefits of innovative and affordable mobile communication services to the people of India. The association works closely with Ministries, Policy Makers, Regulators, Financial Institutions and Technical Bodies towards these objectives.	No Comments
2	We write to you with regard to the above reference (Public Notification by TGSPDCL, dated 19.09.2024), wherein DISCOMs in Telangana have filed petitions for determination of tariff for FY 2024-25 before the Commission. We convey our thanks to the Hon'ble Regulatory Commission for providing us with the opportunity to submit our inputs on the State electricity tariffs.	No Comments
3	As you would concur, the telecommunication sector is a foundational pillar of the nation's digital economy and acts as a backbone to the valuable IT Industry of India. This is because fast	No Comments

	internet and leased line connections provided by this critical infrastructure sector are foundational for smooth functioning and growth of the IT industry. Moreover, the sector is pivotal to the nation's 'Digital India' aspirations, providing affordable connectivity and widespread socio-economic benefits to the people at large.	
4	We would like to submit that increasing the tariff from INR 70/kW to INR 150/kW, as proposed, will pose a challenge to the industry, especially with the rapid increase in network. Any hike in fixed and variable charges will adversely impact the operational costs for this essential service. Hence, we pray to the Hon'ble Commission for reduction in fixed and variable charges, or, at best maintain at the current rate.	In the current tariff structure only 30% of the fixed cost is being recovered through fixed charges. The fixed charges constitute the fixed revenue of DISCOMs and are not reflective of the fixed costs incurred by them. Hence the DISCOMs request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the proposed tariffs. Full recovery of cost incurred by DISCOMs will enable delivery of quality, reliable and uninterrupted power supply to its consumers.
5	The industry will also take this opportunity to submit our request on the category of Electricity Tariffs. At present, the telecommunications industry is being charged with commercial rates, resulting in undue financial burden on this sector. We wish to state that progressive states like Maharashtra and Himachal Pradesh, already offer electricity under industrial tariff to telecom. It is our humble request to your High Office to provide a considered view and have the Telecom Industry electricity tariffs kindly placed under Industrial rates, rather than the commercial rates. We trust our submission will merit your kind consideration and look forward to the valued support of your good office in this important matter	Categorization of activity may differ from one state to another state based on the policies of the state government and local conditions. TG Discoms request the Hon'ble Commission to kindly retain the existing category classification.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri P. Ravi Kumar, Director(Technical/Transmission), HMWS&SB, Khairatabad, Hyderabad-500004.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
i)	Sanction: HMWSSB requests the Hon'ble TGERC to continue to sanction concessional Power Tariff at the rate of Rs. 4.95 paise per kVAH for the FY 2024-25 onwards on perpetual basis.	Without extending subvention amount from the GoTG to DISCOMs, it is not possible to provide concessional tariff to HMWSSB. The DISCOMs are not getting the subvention amount from GoTG regularly. Hence, the Commission is requested to retain the tariff for HMWSSB under industrial category.
ii)	Special Category: As was observed by the Hon'ble TSERC in its Orders passed on the earlier occasions, we request to allot a Special Category for sanction of concessional Power Tariff for HMWSSB exclusively in line with HMRL.	Alternatively, the Commission is requested to direct the HMWSSB to receive the subvention amount by themselves and pay the bills to the Licensee as per the Tariff Order to avoid any disputes.
iii)	The facility of concessional Power Tariff may also be extended to (a) all STP stations, and (b) all Office Buildings.	The concessional power tariff for STP stations and office buildings under industrial category is against the terms of the Tariff Order applicable for the relevant categories.
iv)	Subvention: Since financial year 2022-23, TG Govt has not released subvention amounts of HMWSSB payable to TS DISCOMs. In line with TGERC orders, TSSPDCL have raised power bills for HMWSSB as per Cat-I{E} (Normal) instead of Cat-V(B) (Concessional). In spite of Govt assurance and TSERC orders, HMWSSB has not been extended the Concessional Power Tariff due to non - release of subvention amount by the State Government. The Hon'ble TGERC's kind attention is drawn to the letter of GoTS, Energy Department vide letters dated 30.06.2022 & 06.03.2023, wherein GoTS has invoked Section-108 of the Electricity Act, 2003 while assuring that it would take care of payment of Subvention amount for the past and future period and compensate DISCOMs.	The subvention amount committed by the GoTG has not been received by the DISCOMs regularly and therefore, the DISCOMs face shortage of funds. Therefore, the Hon'ble Commission is requested to direct the HMWSSB to receive the subvention amount by themselves and pay the bills to the Licensee as per the Tariff Order to avoid any disputes.
	HMWSSB has already requested the GoTS for extending	The concessional power tariff for STP stations and office buildings under

v)	facility of concessional Power Tariff to HMWSSB for the FY 2024-25 onwards on perpetual basis and also extend the facility to (a) all STP stations, and (b) all office Buildings. A copy of the letter No. 219 dated.13.07.2022 is enclosed for perusal as Annexure-7.	industrial category is against the terms of the Tariff Order applicable for the relevant categories.
----	--	--

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్చార్జీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై బొమ్మినేని రవీందర్ రెడ్డి, అధ్యక్షులు, తెలంగాణ కాటన్ ట్రేడర్స్ వెల్ఫేర్ అసోసియేషన్, హైదరాబాద్ గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాధానాలు
(Cell No : 9849058032, 9849059314)

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాధానాలు
1.	1. తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్రంలోని జిల్లాలలో నెలకొల్పబడిన HT వినియోగ సంస్థలు / పరిశ్రమలు గరిష్టముగా వ్యవసాయ ఆధారిత రైతు సరుకుల ప్రాసెసింగ్ MSME పరిశ్రమలే.	—
2.	పొరుగు రాష్ట్రాలలో వ్యవసాయ ఆధారిత పరిశ్రమల స్థాపన మరియు అభివృద్ధి కొరకు వారి విద్యుత్ డిస్ట్రిబ్యూషన్ కంపెనీలు విద్యుత్ వినియోగ స్లాబ్ రేట్లు తగ్గిస్తూ మరియు సబ్సిడీలు ఇస్తూ ప్రోత్సహించడం వలన పారిశ్రామికవేత్తలు ఇతర రాష్ట్రాలలో పరిశ్రమలు నెలకొల్పుతూ వారి రాష్ట్ర పారిశ్రామిక అభివృద్ధికి పాటుపడుతున్నారు.	ఒక రాష్ట్రం లోని విద్యుత్ వినియోగ స్లాబ్ రేట్లు ఆ రాష్ట్ర ఆర్థిక, వివిధ వనరుల లభ్యత మరియు ఆ రాష్ట్రము యొక్క ప్రభుత్వ పాలసీల పై ఆధారపడి ఉంటుంది.
3.	కాలానుగుణ పర్యావరణ మార్పులతో పంట ఉత్పత్తులతో నష్టాలతో సరుకులు లేక పరిశ్రమలు పలు ఇబ్బందులను	—

	ఎదుర్కొంటున్నాయి.	
4.	వీటికి అదనంగా విద్యుత్ సరఫరా లో వోల్టేజీ/అంతరాయముల వలన రైతు సరుకు నాణ్యత ప్రమాణాలు తగ్గి సరుకుకు మార్కెట్లో సరైన ధరలు రక పరిశ్రమలు ఖాయిలా పడే పరిస్థితులకు చేరుకుంటున్నాయి.	ప్రస్తుతం విద్యుత్ సరఫరా లో వోల్టేజీ / అంతరాయ సమస్యలు లేకుండా నాణ్యమైన విద్యుత్ ను అన్ని వర్గాలకు సరఫరా చేయడం జరుగుతుంది.
5.	ఇట్టి సంక్లిష్ట పరిస్థితులలో రాష్ట్ర విద్యుత్ డిస్ట్రిబ్యూషన్ కంపెనీలు HT పరిశ్రమల వినియోగదారులపై స్థిర చార్జీలు రూ.500/- /KW/KVA/MONTH గా విద్యుత్ చార్జీలు యూనిట్ కు రూ.7-65 లుగా మరియు సర్పార్టి రూ.1-58 లుగా పెంచుతూ ప్రతిపాదించడము వ్యవసాయ పంటల ఆధారిత ప్రాసెసింగ్ పరిశ్రమలకు గొడ్డలి పెట్టుగా పరిణమిస్తుంది. అంతేకాక కేంద్ర రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వాలు వ్యవసాయ ఉత్పత్తులు పెంచటానికి రైతులకు వ్యవసాయ ఆధారిత పరిశ్రమల అభివృద్ధి కొరకు తద్వారా గ్రామీణ యువతకు ఉపాధి అవకాశములు కల్పించడానికి వివిధ రకాల ప్రోత్సాహకాలు ప్రకటిస్తున్నాయి. కానీ విద్యుత్ డిస్ట్రిబ్యూషన్ కంపెనీలు విద్యుత్ చార్జీలను ఇబ్బడి ముబ్బడిగా పెంచుతూ HT పరిశ్రమలపై విద్యుత్ చార్జీల భారాన్ని మోపుతున్నాయి.	TGNPDCL పరిధి లో మొత్తం HT (11KV, 33KV & 132KV పైన) పరిశ్రమ వినియోగదారులలో 11KV పరిశ్రమ వినియోగదారులే 97% ఉన్నారు. ఈ 11KV పరిశ్రమ వినియోగదారులకు ఎనర్జీ చార్జీలను పెంచలేదు మరియు స్థిర చార్జీలను కేవలం 5 % పెంపును అనగా రూ.475/- నుండి రూ.500/- కు పెంచడం కొరకు ప్రతిపాదనలు చేయడం జరిగినది. క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్ ఛార్జీ ఓపెన్ ఆక్సిస్ ద్వారా విద్యుత్ ను వాడుకునే వినియోగదారులకు మాత్రమే వర్తిస్తుంది.

	<p>కావున ఇట్టి స్థిర చార్జీలు, విద్యుత్ వినియోగ చార్జీలు మరియు సర్చార్జీలు పెంచడాన్ని తెలంగాణ కాటన్ మిల్లర్స్ అండ్ ట్రేడర్స్ వెల్ఫేర్ అసోసియేషన్ తమ తీవ్ర అభ్యంతరాన్ని తెలియచేస్తూ ప్రస్తుత చార్జీలనే యథావిధి గా కొనసాగించాలని కోరుతున్నాము.</p>	
--	--	--

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్టీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై మడూరి వేద ప్రకాష్ గౌరవ

ప్రధాన కార్యదర్శి, వరంగల్ ఛాంబర్ అఫ్ కామర్స్ అండ్ ఇండస్ట్రీ గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాధానాలు

(Cell No : 9866160555, 9000292250)

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాధానాలు
1.	1. తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్రంలోని జిల్లాలలో నెలకొల్పబడిన HT వినియోగ సంస్థలు / పరిశ్రమలు గరిష్టముగా వ్యవసాయ ఆధారిత రైతు సరుకుల ప్రాసెసింగ్ MSME పరిశ్రమలే.	—
2.	పొరుగు రాష్ట్రాలలో వ్యవసాయ ఆధారిత పరిశ్రమల స్థాపన మరియు అభివృద్ధి కొరకు వారి విద్యుత్ డిస్ట్రిబ్యూషన్ కంపెనీలు విద్యుత్ వినియోగ స్లాబ్ రేట్లు తగ్గిస్తూ మరియు సబ్సిడీలు ఇస్తూ ప్రోత్సహించడం వలన పారిశ్రామికవేత్తలు ఇతర రాష్ట్రాలలో పరిశ్రమలు నెలకొల్పుతూ వారి రాష్ట్ర పారిశ్రామిక అభివృద్ధికి పాటుపడుతున్నారు.	ఒక రాష్ట్రం లోని విద్యుత్ వినియోగ స్లాబ్ రేట్లు ఆ రాష్ట్ర ఆర్థిక, వివిధ వనరుల లభ్యత మరియు ఆ రాష్ట్రము యొక్క ప్రభుత్వ పాలసీల పై ఆధారపడి ఉంటుంది.
3.	కాలానుగుణ పర్యావరణ మార్పులతో పంట ఉత్పత్తులతో నష్టాలతో సరుకులు లేక పరిశ్రమలు పలు ఇబ్బందులను	—

	ఎదుర్కొంటున్నాయి.	
4.	వీటికి అదనంగా విద్యుత్ సరఫరా లో వోల్టేజీ/అంతరాయముల వలన రైతు సరుకు నాణ్యత ప్రమాణాలు తగ్గి సరుకుకు మార్కెట్లో సరైన ధరలు రక పరిశ్రమలు ఖాయిలా పడే పరిస్థితులకు చేరుకుంటున్నాయి.	ప్రస్తుతం విద్యుత్ సరఫరా లో వోల్టేజీ / అంతరాయ సమస్యలు లేకుండా నాణ్యమైన విద్యుత్ ను అన్ని వర్గాలకు సరఫరా చేయడం జరుగుతుంది.
5.	ఇట్టి సంక్లిష్ట పరిస్థితులలో రాష్ట్ర విద్యుత్ డిస్ట్రిబ్యూషన్ కంపెనీలు HT పరిశ్రమల వినియోగదారులపై స్థిర ఛార్జీలు రూ.500/- /KW/KVA/MONTH గా విద్యుత్ ఛార్జీలు యూనిట్ కు రూ.7-65 లుగా మరియు సర్చార్జి రూ.1-58 లుగా పెంచుతూ ప్రతిపాదించడము వ్యవసాయ పంటల ఆధారిత ప్రాసెసింగ్ పరిశ్రమలకు గొడ్డలి పెట్టుగా పరిణమిస్తుంది. అంతేకాక కేంద్ర రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వాలు వ్యవసాయ ఉత్పత్తులు పెంచటానికి రైతులకు వ్యవసాయ ఆధారిత పరిశ్రమల అభివృద్ధి కొరకు తద్వారా గ్రామీణ యువతకు ఉపాధి అవకాశములు కల్పించడానికి వివిధ రకాల ప్రోత్సాహకాలు ప్రకటిస్తున్నాయి. కానీ విద్యుత్ డిస్ట్రిబ్యూషన్ కంపెనీలు విద్యుత్ ఛార్జీలను ఇబ్బడి ముబ్బడిగా పెంచుతూ HT పరిశ్రమలపై విద్యుత్ ఛార్జీల భారాన్ని మోపుతున్నాయి.	TGNPDCL పరిధి లో మొత్తం HT (11KV, 33KV & 132KV పైన) పరిశ్రమ వినియోగదారులలో 11KV పరిశ్రమ వినియోగదారులే 97% ఉన్నారు. ఈ 11KV పరిశ్రమ వినియోగదారులకు ఎనర్జీ ఛార్జీలను పెంచలేదు మరియు స్థిర ఛార్జీలను కేవలం 5 % పెంపును అనగా రూ.475/- నుండి రూ.500/- కు పెంచడం కొరకు ప్రతిపాదనలు చేయడం జరిగినది. క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్ ఛార్జి ఓపెన్ ఆక్సిస్ ద్వారా విద్యుత్ ను వాడుకునే వినియోగదారులకు మాత్రమే వర్తిస్తుంది.

	<p>కావున ఇట్టి స్థిర చార్జీలు, విద్యుత్ వినియోగ చార్జీలు మరియు సర్చార్జీలు పెంచడాన్ని తెలంగాణ కాటన్ మిల్లర్స్ అండ్ ట్రేడర్స్ వెల్ఫేర్ అసోసియేషన్ తమ తీవ్ర అభ్యంతరాన్ని తెలియచేస్తూ ప్రస్తుత చార్జీలనే యథావిధి గా కొనసాగించాలని కోరుతున్నాము.</p>	
--	--	--

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri M. Thimma Reddy, Convenor, People’s Monitoring Group on Electricity Regulation, H.No. 3-4-107/1, (Plot No.39), Radha Krishna Nagar, Attapur, Hyderabad-500048

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
2.1	<p>According to Section 6.2 of Regulation 2 of 2023 Multi Year Tariff (MYT) Petition for the control period FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 has to be filed by 31-01-2024. The present MYT petition for 5th control period was filed on 18-09-2024, a delay of more than half year. The reasons attributed by TGDISCOMs for this delay are time taken in analysing the financial impact on the TGDISCOMs due to Gruha Jyothi Scheme and ‘certain difficulties faced by the TGDISCOMs’. But the TGDISCOMs did not show anywhere in these filings the financial impact of Gruha Jyothi Scheme on the finances of TGDISCOMs. Also, nowhere ‘certain difficulties’ faced by the TGDISCOMs are elaborated. This gives the impression that TGDISCOMs are only following their nearly decade old practice of delayed filing of ARR and tariff proposals with scant regard to the Electricity Act, 2003 and Rules and Regulations framed under the said Act.</p>	<p>The Hon’ble Commission has accorded the approval to the TGDISCOMs to file the ARR and FPT for RSB filings on annual basis for the first year of 5th Control Period i.e., FY 2024-25 after careful consideration of the submissions made by the TGDISCOMs. When the Regulation No.2 of 2023 was notified on 30.12.2023, the TGDISCOMs were seeking clarifications on certain clauses of the new MYT Regulation No.2 of 2023 as there was huge impact on the financials of the DISCOMs and also there was a change in regulatory regime because of which DISCOMs needed to collect additional data for preparing the petitions. then the TGDISCOMs have initiated the process of collecting the required data from the various wings for which it took time. Subsequently, the Gruhajyothi Scheme was announced by the GoTG which further delayed the process of Filings of ARR. Meanwhile, Model code of conduct came into force, due to which the TGDISCOMs could not file the ARR filings. The DISCOMs have duly submitted the condonation of delay petition before the Hon’ble Commission stating these reasons</p>
2.2	<p>The Commission in its Tariff Order for the FY 2023-24 at paragraphs 1.12.2 and 1.12.3 noted the following stakeholders’ observations:</p> <p>“In the integrated rating score methodology introduced by Ministry of Power for assessing the health of TSDISCOMs, one of the parameters is specific disincentives which provides for Tariff Cycle Delays in terms of timely filing of the Petitions.”</p> <p>“Further, this amounts to a gross violation of Hon’ble APTEL directives in O.P.No.1 of 2011 as is reproduced below: “57.... ... In the event of delay in filing of the ARR, truing up and Annual Performance Review, one month beyond the scheduled date of submission of the petition, the State Commission must initiate Suo Moto proceedings for tariff determination in accordance with Section 64 of the Act read</p>	<p>No comments</p>

	with clause 8.1(7) of the Tariff Policy.”	
2.3	<p>The Commission in its Tariff Order for the FY 2023-24 at paragraph 1.12.7 stated its following view:</p> <p>“The Commission in order to provide consequences of delay in filing the proposals with the Commission has notified the following amendments to the Principal Regulations relating to TSDISCOMs with stringent penalties viz., the penal fee that is attracted in case of the licensee not complying with the provisions of Regulations, the rate of return on equity shall be reduced by 0.5% per month or part thereof.</p> <p>Second Amendment to the Principal Regulation No.4 of 2005 viz., Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff for Wheeling and Retail Sale of Electricity. [Regulation No.1 of 2022]</p> <p>ii) First Amendment to the Principal Regulation No.2 of 2016 viz., Fee [Regulation No.2 of 2022]”.</p>	The TGDISCOMs have already paid the penalties imposed by the Hon’ble Commission towards delay in filing of ARR Petitions for Retail Supply Business And Distribution Business.
2.4	Such measures are not included in Regulation 2 of 2023. To discourage delay in filing ARR and tariff proposals the above measures may be reintroduced in the new MYT Regulations.	
3.1	TGDISCOMs estimated power requirement during the FY 2024-25 to be 85,284 MU. This is 2.55% higher than power requirement projected by TGDISCOMs during the FY 2023-24, higher than 1.32% approved by the Commission but 1.66% less than actual consumption reported by TGDISCOMs during FY 2023-24. TGDISCOMs adopted different consumption growth rates for different consumer categories to arrive at power procurement requirement during FY 2024-25. Power consumption trend during FY 2023-24 is different from previous years. In the past power requirement estimated during a year by TGDISCOMs used to be higher than that projected by the Commission and the projection by the Commission used to be higher than the actual consumption. But during the FY 2023-24 power requirement estimated by the Commission (84,156 MU) was higher than that of	<p>The actual sales varies depending on many factors like variation in monsoon, temperature and industrial policies of the Government. Hence, sales are the uncontrollable factor which is recognized by the Hon’ble Commission in its MYT Regulation no. 2 of 2023.</p> <p>The DISCOMs have forecasted sales consumption by taking historical growth rates. Any deviation in actual sales as compared to approved sales, which would result in deviation of Power Purchase cost as well as revenue would be adjusted in subsequent True-up filings by the licensee.</p>

	<p>TGDISCOMs (83,113 MU) and in turn actual consumption reported by TGDISCOMs (86,703 MU) was higher than that estimated by the Commission. In such circumstances when the base on which growth rates are calculated is higher there is a chance that overall power requirement will be on higher side. Hence, power requirement for FY 2024-25 arrived at by TGDISCOMs needs to be closely scrutinized.</p>	
3.2	<p>According to TGDISCOMs' estimates LT domestic consumers will be using 15,758 MU during the FY 2024-25. LT domestic consumers will account for 18.48% of electricity requirement during the ensuing year. Compared to this during the FY 2023-24 LT domestic consumers accounted for 16.62% of electricity requirement. While SPDCL assumed that electricity consumption by LT domestic consumers would increase by 5.97% during FY 2024-25 NPDCCL assumed 5% increase. TGDISCOMs mentioned starting of implementation of Gruha Jyothi Scheme as one of the reasons for delay in filing the present ARR and Tariff Proposals. According to their submission, "the Licensee was analysing the financial impact in the TGDISCOMs due to Gruha Jyothi Scheme which may hinder the licensee in filing the ARR & Tariff Proposals for RSB for FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 and Distribution Business for 5th Control Period." But in the present filings TGDISCOMs did not mention any impact of Gruha Jyothi Scheme on consumption pattern of domestic consumers. According to the Scheme rules the identified beneficiaries would become ineligible if their electricity consumption exceeds the past consumption trends or exceeds 200 units. Because of this, domestic consumers would moderate their electricity consumption. This would result in lower growth rate in electricity consumption by domestic consumers. In this background assumption of 5 to 5.97% growth rate in electricity consumption by LT domestic consumers appears to be on the higher side and the same need to be brought down.</p>	<p>The DISCOMs have forecasted sales consumption by taking historical growth rates. For LT-Domestic sales projection, DISCOMs have considered 5-year CAGR. For the Gruha Jyothi scheme consumers, the electricity is free upto 200 units of consumption. Hence, the consumers having less than 200 units of consumption may utilize upto 200 units which is free as per the declaration by the State Government. The Gruha Jyothi scheme consumers crossing 200 units of consumption is for limited period only during peak summer. Hence, the licensee has considered historical CAGR for projecting sales for most of the categories. Any deviation in actual sales as compared to approved sales, which would result in deviation of Power Purchase cost as well as revenue would be adjusted in subsequent True-up filings by the licensee.</p>
3.3.1	<p>TGDISCOMs estimated that agriculture pump sets would be consuming 20,748 MU of electricity during the FY 2024-25 accounting for 24.33% of electricity requirement in the state. While in the case of other consumer categories CAGR was applied over consumption figures of 2023-24 in the case of LT agriculture</p>	<p>In FY 2023-24 the agricultural sales have increased, due to lower than expected monsoon, leading to reduction in ground water levels. Hence, the licensee has not considered growth rates based on FY 2023-24 sales as it considers that as an anomaly. Therefore, the licensee has considered</p>

	<p>CAGR was applied twice over the consumption figures of 2022-23. This is because according to TGDISCOMs submissions agriculture consumption during FY 2023-24 increased abnormally. According to these filings during FY 2023-24 LT agriculture services consumed 25,154 MU accounting for 28.04% of the electricity procured in the state. This was 40.67% higher than the estimates made by TGDISCOMs (17,881 MU) and 26.98% higher than the projections of the Commission (19,811 MU). TGDISCOMs did not provide any reasons for this abnormal consumption of electricity by LT agriculture services. In the absence of meters to the agriculture services in the past TGDISCOMs used ISI Methodology approved by the Commission for estimation of electricity consumption by agriculture pump sets. In the present filings NPDCL used 2.59% and SPDCL used 1.39% twice over 2022-23 consumption to arrive at LT agriculture consumption. During FY 2024-25 while NPDCL projected 3.94% increase in number of LT agriculture services SPDCL projected 4.38% increase. Growth rates in electricity consumption by LT agriculture services adopted by TGDISCOMs do not have any relation to increase in number of LT agriculture services during this period. Such data raises further doubts on TGDISCOMs' claims regarding electricity consumption by LT agriculture services.</p>	<p>5-year CAGR and applied it twice over FY 2022-23 agricultural sales to arrive at agricultural sales projections for FY 2024-25.</p>
<p>3.3.2</p>	<p>TGDISCOMs' computation of LT agriculture services' electricity consumption during FY 2023-24 needs to be subjected to detailed examination. According to their own submissions it was abnormal, and they did not provide any reasons for such abnormal figures. During FY 2023-24 LT agriculture services accounted for 28.04% of the electricity procured in the state. This is the highest percentage recorded in the recent history. This has different implications. On the one hand it helps to hide equally abnormally high T&D losses and on the other it imposes high subsidy burden on the state government as electricity supplied to LT agriculture services is free.</p>	
<p>3.3.3</p>	<p>One way to overcome present problems in estimation of electricity consumption by agriculture pump sets is to meter DTRs serving LT agriculture connections. But DISCOMs are avoiding this for reasons best known to them. In the past they have pointed out that financial institutions are not coming forward to finance it. Recent</p>	<p>The assessment of agricultural consumption as per the ISI methodology is being done every month. Under this Methodology, 3170 No.s AGL DTRs are metered.</p>

	<p>news reports indicate that TGSPDCL is going to spend more than Rs. 500 crore on HVDS DTRs for agriculture sector, which itself is questionable. But they are unwilling to mobilize a fraction of this to meter these DTRs. It is to be noted that metering DTRs serving agriculture connections will be cheaper than metering all agriculture services.</p>	<p>Under HVDS Scheme,89613 No.s AGL DTRs are metered and most of the meters are defective.</p> <p>The DTR metering is covered under RDSS Scheme which is yet to be sanctioned. After approval of the scheme, the installation of meters will be done</p>
3.4	<p>TGDISCOMs projected electricity consumption by Lift Irrigations schemes during FY 2024-25 to be 2,618 MU. Compared to this estimated electricity consumption by these Lift Irrigations schemes during FY 2023-24 was 7,594 MU. TGDISCOMs in their filings noted, "Historically, LIS sales have been very erratic. So, considered same as FY 2023- 24 sales." But this is far from truth. There were reasons for this drastic decline in electricity consumption by Lift Irrigation schemes. Previously some of the pump houses of KLIS were submerged during floods and got damaged. Later, some parts of KLIS structures were damaged making them too dangerous to operate. During recent rains some pump houses of PRLIS were also got submerged, throwing them out of operation. Despite these, some parts of these Lift Irrigation components sought to be operated. TGDISCOMs need to take ground situation in to account to estimate electricity demand by these lift irrigation schemes</p>	<p>Historically LIS sales have been erratic. There has been a reduction in Actual LIS sales for FY 2023-24 as compared to approved. The reasons are multifold, including Monsoon seasonality etc. Hence, the licensee has considered LIS sales projections for FY 2024-25 to be same as FY 2023-24. Any deviation in actual sales as compared to approved sales, which would result in deviation of Power Purchase cost as well as revenue would be adjusted in True-up filings.</p>
3.5.1	<p>During the FY 2024-25 T&D losses are projected to account for 11% of the electricity requirement in the state. While it will be 11.67% in the case of NPDCL it will be 10.76% in the case of SPDCL. For the FY 2018-19 the Tripartite MoU under UDAY set the AT&C losses of TSNPDCL at 10.00% and TSSPDCL at 9.90%. AT&C losses include collection efficiency along with T&D losses. T&D loss levels should have been much less than AT&C losses. The T&D losses projected by TGDISCOMs in the ARR for FY 2024-25 are higher than the levels stipulated for the FY 2018-19 under the Tripartite UDAY - MoU. What is more according to their present filings T&D losses during FY 2023-24 stood at 14.14% of the power supplied in the state. If we take in to account 'abnormal' electricity consumption by LT agriculture services during FY 2023-24 actual T&D losses should have been much higher. It is high time the Commission takes a serious view of this phenomenon. Over the last few years TGDISCOMs</p>	<p>The increase in agriculture sales accounts to various factors like increase in ground water level, monsoon and crop pattern etc.,</p> <p>The T&D loss percentages has been considered as per the approved Resource Plan and Business Plan for 5th Control Period for FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29.</p>

	have spent thousands of crores on T&D network in the name of improving quality of supply and bringing down T&D losses. But neither of them was realised even when consumers were subjected to higher tariffs year after year.	
3.5.2	When the estimated T&D losses are brought down to lower levels the quantum of power to be procured will also come down reducing tariff burden on the consumers.	The DISCOM is putting all efforts to reduce its losses and reach the targets set by the Honble Commission. It is expected to reduce the losses further by reduction of technical and commercial losses by vigorously conducting 11kV feeder wise energy audits and by taking necessary measures for reduction of losses in the company.
3.6	According to Demand Side Management Regulation of 2020 (Regulation 1 of 2020) Distribution Licensees shall submit a DSM plan to the Commission six months before the MYT control period and implement the same. DSM interventions are expected to bring down overall electricity consumption. We would like to know whether TGDISCOMs submitted DSM plans for the MYT control period under examination. If yes, whether it is taken in to account while estimating power procurement requirement during the FY 2024-25.	As per TSERC Regulation No.1 of 2020 (Demand Side Management), DSM Cell is formed in TGNPDCL for implementation of DSM measures. TGNPDCL has entered agreement with EESL on Dt:09.07.2024 for opting of e-Retail Partnership Model for Promotion of Energy Efficiency Appliances like LED Bulbs, BLDC Fans, Super Efficient ACs etc
4.1.1	In the case of power procurement from Marwa thermal power plant of Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited (CSPDCL) TGDISCOMs have submitted as follows: "A judicial committee has been appointed to investigate power procurement from CSPDCL station. Hence, Power has not been scheduled from CSPDCL plant in the current control period." The terms of reference of the Commission of Inquiry include examination of power procurement from BTPS and YTPS of TGGENCO along with CSPDCL. If power has not been scheduled from CSPDCL due to judicial inquiry then the same shall also apply to BTPS and YTPS of TGGENCO.	Energy dispatch from Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited (CSPDCL) has been suspended due to ongoing disputes from FY 2022-23 and the Licensee is currently not scheduling any dispatch from CSPDCL. Further, energy availability from BTPS has been considered as Licensee is continuing to procure power and the same has been indicated in the approved Resource Plan. Energy availability from YTPS is expected to start from December 2024 (2 units of 800 MW) and therefore the same has been considered.
4.1.2	TGDISCOMs' ARR filings for the FY 2024-25 show that power generation from YTPS will commence from December 2024. But press reports mention Deputy Chief Minister directing TGGENCO officials to start power generation from this plant at least by next March. (The Hindu, 12-09-2024) Among other things YTPS is reported to be facing staff crunch. The Commission is requested to direct	While filing ARR for the FY 2024-25, the TGDISCOMs have considered the information received from the TGGENCO that power generation from YTPS will commence from December 2024. Now, it is under Commission purview to consider the appropriate Generation.

	TGDISCOMs to come out with the actual situation at YTPS	
4.2.1	According to TGDISCOMs' ARR and Tariff filings for FY 2024-25 electricity availability will be 1,08,651 MU and dispatch will be 85,284 MU leaving a surplus of 23,367 MU. Surplus power accounts for 21.51% of the power available to the state during FY 2024-25.	The surplus power shown due to consideration of NAAAF & NAPLF of the power plants having long term PPA's and upcoming power plants. However, there is likely variation of availabilities and PLFs of the Plants.
4.2.2	Power available to TGDISCOMs during FY 2024-25 includes short term procurement of 4,985 MU. The related question is – when 23,367 MU of surplus power is available is there need to go for short term purchase of 4,985 MU at a higher price? As TGDISCOMs are facing surplus power situation during FY 2024-25 we request the Commission not to allow short term purchases	The short term power procurement has been determined on the basis of Hourly demand and available energy source to meet that demand for each hour. In peak hours and peak month, the available dispatch from tied up sources is not enough to meet demand (in MW) and hence market purchase is considered to meet demand (in MW). Similarly during non-peak months, available dispatch from tied-up sources is higher than demand and the state will have surplus energy.
4.2.3	Power availability from Neyveli new unit I and II was included during FYs 2022-23 and 2023-24 but the same is not included under power availability during FY 2024-25. To this extent power availability during FY 2024-25 may have been under estimated. The same needs to be examined.	The energy availability for the station has not been projected in the Approved Resource Plan for the 5 th Control Period. Further, the share of TSDISCOMs from Neyveli new unit I and II was relinquished vide letter dated 29.03.2022 which was accepted by M/s NLC. Hence, the same has not been considered.
4.3.1	TGDISCOMs propose to spend Rs. 42,702 crores on power procurement during the FY 2024-25. This accounts for 73.81% of aggregate revenue requirement (ARR). Avenues shall be explored to bring down power procurement cost to reduce tariff burden on the consumers as well as budgetary support from the state government	The current power purchase cost has been determined based on existing PPAs of TGDISCOM with the various generators and the required dispatch from the various stations based on the variable demand. The Licensee shall strive to optimize and reduce power purchase cost on a best effort basis.
4.3.2	The present filings show that during FY 2024-25 TGDISCOMs would be selling 9,450 MU (NPDCL = 2,783 MU and SPDCL = 6,667 MU) of surplus power and would be receiving revenue of Rs. 2,170 crores. Average revenue from sale of this surplus power will be Rs. 2.30 per unit. This revenue from sale of surplus power is shown to bring down total power purchase costs. But this quantum of surplus power is not shown under power requirement/procurement. This surplus power to be sold in the market in the first place it needs to be purchased by DISCOMs. But its power purchase cost is not included under total power purchase cost. To that extent power purchase cost is under estimated. Consequently, ARR as well as	The revenue indicated as the sale of surplus power is net of the power purchase cost and is the difference between the average market rate and the average variable cost of the respective stations. Hence, the value indicated is the income of DISCOMs from the sale of surplus power net of power purchase cost and therefore, the same can be considered as included in the ARR.

	<p>deficit to be covered are also under estimated. Average revenue from sale of this surplus power is lower than unit variable cost from all sources. This implies that this surplus power will be sold at loss. This further implies that this whole exercise of sale of surplus power instead of reducing power purchase cost will in fact increase it. The Commission is requested to scrutinise TGDISCOMs' claims regarding sale of surplus power during the FY 2024-25</p>	
4.3.3	<p>The filings for the FY 2023-24 had shown a surplus of more than 13,000 MU. But the present filings show that surplus power during the FY 2023-24 had come down to little more than 1,000 MU. At the same time 16,293 MU were procured through short term purchases during FY 2023-24 at a cost of Rs. 8,566 crores. Average cost of this short-term power procurement was Rs. 5.26 per unit. During the same time thermal power units of TGGENCO operated at below their threshold PLF. During FY 2023-24 TGGENCO units operated at PLF of 50 to 69% though threshold PLF is 85%. During this period power from some of CGS units was also procured at below their threshold PLF. Variable cost of these thermal power plants was much less than average cost at which short term power was procured. This shows that during FY 2023-24 costly power was procured even when cheaper sources were available resulting avoidable tariff burden on consumers in the state and subsidy burden on the state government. In this background we request the Commission to scrutinise TGDISCOMs' power procurement during FY 2023-24.</p>	<p>The short term power procurement has been determined on the basis of Hourly demand and available energy source to meet that demand for each hour. In peak hours and peak month, the available dispatch from tied up sources is not enough to meet demand (in MW) and hence market purchase is considered to meet demand (in MW). Similarly during non-peak months, available dispatch from tied-up sources is higher than demand and the state will have Surplus energy.</p>
4.3.4	<p>The Telangana State Power Sector White Paper brought out by the State Government of Telangana has highlighted high capital costs of BTPS and YTPS. According to this White Paper capital cost of BTPS is Rs. 9.74 crore per MW while its planned capital cost was Rs. 6.75 crore per MW. Capital cost of YTPS is Rs. 8.64 crore per MW. Compared to this, according to this White Paper, capital cost of NTPC's new plant coming up at Ramagundam specifically meant for Telangana is Rs. 7.63 Crore per MW. High capital cost of these two plants is because of inordinate delay in and inefficient execution of these plants. Electricity consumers in the state shall not be burdened with inefficient execution and operation of these plants and we request the Commission to review capital costs of these</p>	<p>The DISCOM will abide the directions of the Hon'ble Commission</p>

	power plants.	
4.3.5	BTPS is reported to be facing serious problems in operation and maintenance of the plant due to problems in quality of machinery supplied to it by BHEL. This machinery was actually meant for a private sector company's power plant to come up in Maharashtra. As the private company failed to set up the plant the machinery was lying idle with BHEL. This machinery lying idle was used to set up BTPS. Once brought in to operation the BTPS units are facing severe problems. The BTPS units are reported to be facing so many problems similar to that of a plant that has been in operation for 10 to 15 years. In the background of the problems faced in operating the BTPS units and the questionable quality of machinery (for all practical purposes second hand machinery) supplied by BHEL its capital cost needs to be reevaluated	The DISCOM will abide the directions of the Hon'ble Commission.
4.3.6	Present filings show that Variable charges for YTPS during FY 2024-25 will be Rs. 2.54 per unit. This is the lowest variable cost among all thermal power plants of TGGENCO. The Telangana State Power Sector White Paper brought out by the State Government of Telangana pointed out that as the plant is located far away from coal mines of SCCL transport component will add to the coal cost leading to higher variable cost. It was estimated that because of this non-pit head status of this plant variable cost of power from this plant will be higher by more than one rupee per unit. Contrary to this the present filings show that variable cost of this plant will be lower than pit head power plants. While lower costs need to be welcomed as it is against the trend the same needs to be clarified	The variable charges for YTPS has been considered as per the Detailed Project Report (DPR). The DISCOM will abide the directions of the Hon'ble Commission.
4.3.7	According to the present filings variable cost of power from TGGENCO's Ramagundam – B during the FY 2024-25 will be Rs. 4.63 per unit. This is highest among all thermal power plants of TGGENCO. As it is in close proximity of coal mines its variable cost is expected to be lower. During the same period variable cost of NTPC's Ramagundam units will be Rs. 3.82 per unit. Given this experience variable cost of TGGENCO's Ramagundam – B plant has to be brought down.	The variable cost for TGGENCO's Ramagundam-B plant has been considered as per the TGGENCO ARR Filing. The DISCOM will abide the directions of the Hon'ble Commission.

5.1.1	<p>According to NPDCL filings of FY 2024-25 total arrears of Rs. 50,000 and more pending for six months as on 31-03-2024 are Rs. 11, 772.72 crore. These arrears are equal to 59.66% of ARR of FY 2023-24. According to SPDCL filings of FY 2024-25 total arrears of Rs. 50,000 and more pending for six months as on 30-09-2023 are Rs. 12, 917.60 crore. These arrears are equal to 29.81% of ARR of FY 2023-24. Both the DISCOMs are facing arrears of Rs. 24,690 crores. Substantial portion of these arrears have to come from state government departments. (While SPDCL mentioned the arrears due from Government departments NPDCL did not show these details). According to SPDCL submission arrears due from state government departments stand at Rs. 8,057.85 crore accounting for 62.38% of the arrears. Situation may be the same or even worse in the case of NPDCL. According to Section 1.2 i) of UDAY – MoU all outstanding dues from the government departments to DISCOMs for supply of electricity shall be paid by 31-03-2017. Since then, arrears from state government departments in fact have increased.</p>	<p>It is to submit that, outstanding arrears of Rs. 50,000 and more pending for six months as on 31.03.2024 in TGNPDCL is Rs. 11,772.72 Crores. In the above arrears Govt. services arrears are Rs.11346.25 Crs. which contributes to major portion (96.38%) of the outstanding arrears and remaining outstanding arrears of Rs. 426.46 Crs. Pertains to private services.</p> <p>The Govt. arrears outstanding as on 31.03.2017, and year wise demand raised and amounts received is furnished as below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Arrears at the beginning of the year</th> <th>Demand raised during the year</th> <th>Amount received during the year</th> <th>Arrears Outstanding at the end of the year</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2017-18</td> <td>958.35</td> <td>873.30</td> <td>267.43</td> <td>1564.22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2018-19</td> <td>1564.22</td> <td>1580.88</td> <td>332.10</td> <td>2813.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2019-20</td> <td>2813.00</td> <td>3545.62</td> <td>641.57</td> <td>5717.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020-21</td> <td>5717.05</td> <td>3192.14</td> <td>2922.60</td> <td>5986.59</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2021-22</td> <td>5986.59</td> <td>3378.78</td> <td>2408.49</td> <td>6956.88</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2022-23</td> <td>6956.88</td> <td>3653.63</td> <td>511.59</td> <td>10098.92</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2023-24</td> <td>10098.92</td> <td>2128.36</td> <td>881.03</td> <td>11346.25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Arrears at the beginning of the year	Demand raised during the year	Amount received during the year	Arrears Outstanding at the end of the year	2017-18	958.35	873.30	267.43	1564.22	2018-19	1564.22	1580.88	332.10	2813.00	2019-20	2813.00	3545.62	641.57	5717.05	2020-21	5717.05	3192.14	2922.60	5986.59	2021-22	5986.59	3378.78	2408.49	6956.88	2022-23	6956.88	3653.63	511.59	10098.92	2023-24	10098.92	2128.36	881.03	11346.25
Year	Arrears at the beginning of the year	Demand raised during the year	Amount received during the year	Arrears Outstanding at the end of the year																																						
2017-18	958.35	873.30	267.43	1564.22																																						
2018-19	1564.22	1580.88	332.10	2813.00																																						
2019-20	2813.00	3545.62	641.57	5717.05																																						
2020-21	5717.05	3192.14	2922.60	5986.59																																						
2021-22	5986.59	3378.78	2408.49	6956.88																																						
2022-23	6956.88	3653.63	511.59	10098.92																																						
2023-24	10098.92	2128.36	881.03	11346.25																																						
5.1.2	<p>If the arrears below Rs. 50,000 are also taken in to account total arrears due to TGDISCOMs will be much higher. Because of these mounting arrears TGDISCOMs are forced in to heavy debt burden and it is one of the reasons for losses incurred by the TGDISCOMs. We request the Commission to advise the State Government to release arrears pending from state government departments in a time bound manner. We also request the Commission to direct DISCOMs to take effective steps to bring down arrears from other consumers.</p>	<p>In the above arrears (Rs.11,346.25 Crs). includes arrears outstanding on various L.I.S. for Rs. 7691.07 Crs. and arrears on H.M.W.S. services for Rs. 870.35 Crs. Mission Bhagiratha services Rs. 1,556 Crs. and remaining arrears pertains to other Govt. department services.</p> <p>For collection of Govt. arrears regular pursuance is made with respective department HODs for payment of outstanding arrears. During FY 2020-21 & 2021-22 Govt. departments has paid major portion of their C.C. bills issued during the year, but during FY 2022-23 & 2023-24 very meager payments were received from Govt. departments due to which arrears are increased during last 2 years. However, all efforts will be made to collect arrears outstanding on Govt. departments.</p> <p>For collection of arrears outstanding on private services prompt disconnection of defaulted services is being adopted in TGNPDCL on</p>																																								

regular basis. But some of the H.T. consumers has filed cases at various courts due to which arrears are pending on these services. The service wise arrears list of 10 H.T. consumers is furnished below which contributes to major portion of private arrears of Rs. 426.46 Crs.

Sl. No.	Sc.No.	Name of the consumer	Arrears as on 31.03.2024 (in Crs.)	case pending at which court and type of arrears
1	MCL007	Orient cement	4.67	FSA amount, case pending at supreme court
2	NZB421	M/s. IVRCL BAT PASCO	3.06	High court case on Back billing
3	ADB247	GMR Spintex	10.83	High court case & RR Act C.C. charges arrears
4	MCL008	Mancherial cement	7.21	High court case RR Act C.C. charges arrears
5	KMM510	Madhucon sugar	20.66	High court case on Cross Subsidy Surcharge
6	BKM011	ITC Ltd.	69.56	High court case on Cross Subsidy Surcharge
7	BKM001	NAVAL Ltd.	96.26	High court case on Cross Subsidy Surcharge
8	KMD001	The sirpur paper mills	100.07	Arrears accumulated prior to NCLT orders
9	BKM049	Sri Laxmi Tulasi AGRO	8.97	High court case on Cross Subsidy Surcharge
10	BPL001	M/s. Ballarpur industries	8.87	NCLT case, C.C. arrears
Total			330.16	

However, all efforts are being made to collect arrears on private services

5.2.1 TGSPDCL paid compensation to consumers in 10 cases for deficiency of services as per Regulations of the Commission. Total compensation paid was Rs. 18,000. TGNPDCL did not mention the number of cases in which compensation was paid to consumers for deficiency of service. It only mentioned the amount of compensation paid. It paid Rs. 1,36,487 towards compensation to consumers. The number of cases in which compensation was paid were too few to reflect reality. Consumers in the state are being made to run from pillar to post to get the works done. For all practical purposes Standards of Performance (SoP) do not exist. They

No. of cases for which compensation awarded by CGRF in TGNPDCL is as follows:

2022-23		
No. of cases compensation awarded	Amount awarded	Compensation paid
40	136487	80670

	exist only on paper. We request the Commission to institute a third party assessment of TGDISCOMs' claims on SoP	
5.2.2	We would like to know the number of employees of the Licensees caught red handed by Anti Corruption Bureau (ACB) of Telangana Police during the 4th Control Period. What was the action taken by TGDISCOMs against them? How many of them were reinstated?	The details of employees caught red handed by ACB officials of Telangana Police during the 4 th control period with details of reinstatement and action taken against the accused officers are herewith enclosed as Annexure-I.
5.3.1	During the FY 2023-24 the number fatal accidents involving humans stood at 644. This is one the highest number of fatal accidents in the recent past. This shows that there was not much improvement in safety measures. Unacceptable high fatal accidents are taking place in spite of huge investments in men and materials to strengthen T&D network. SPDCL filings show that out of 288 fatal accidents general public were involved in 276 fatal accidents.	
5.3.2	According to NPDCL's submission on root cause of accidents in 82 cases victims came in contact with live wires, in 52 cases victims died during repairing agriculture motors/service wires, in 14 cases victims were replacing DTR fuses, and in 10 cases victims touched snapped conductors. These instances show that there were shortcomings in operation of the DISCOM. In 120 cases victims died due to faulty domestic wiring or appliances. This indicates the need to create awareness among the general public on safety measures. SPDCL did not provide similar information. It mentioned that the relevant information was provided to the Commission. We request the Commission to direct SPDCL to make the information on root cause of accidents public.	

5.3.3	<p>The information provided by TSDISOMs on electrical accidents show that most of the fatal accidents took place in circles with predominantly rural services. These accidents are low in urban circles. This implies that the rural consumers are not receiving quality service. Every step shall be taken to correct this anomaly.</p>	<p>The abstract of fatal electrical accidents occurred to humans and an animal, during past 4 Financial Years in TGNPDCL is as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Financial Year</th> <th>No of Human Fatal electrical accidents</th> <th>No of Animal Fatal electrical accidents</th> <th>Total(Nos.)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>2020-21</td> <td>567</td> <td>1001</td> <td>1568</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>2021-22</td> <td>539</td> <td>1116</td> <td>1655</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>2022-23</td> <td>521</td> <td>856</td> <td>1377</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>2023-24</td> <td>465</td> <td>791</td> <td>1256</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>2024-25 Upto Sep-24</td> <td>225</td> <td>516</td> <td>741</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total</td> <td>2317</td> <td>4280</td> <td>6591</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>From the above table, It may be inferred that number of fatal electrical accidents has come down over a period of past 4 years. This is achieved by taking up following measures by TGNPDCL.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) TGNPDCL has appointed Divisional Engineer/Technical of circles as Divisional Engineer/ Technical and Nodal safety officer to educate the employees to reduce the possibility departmental fatal/non-fatal accidents to our staff, unmanned workers and unauthorized personnel during execution of works in the field and directed to implement safety measures strictly to avoid electrical accidents and make zero accident in our TGNPDCL (ii) Conducting meeting with agricultural consumers in their fields in 'Polambata' by field Engineers and creating awareness among them to follow safety rules while operating with switch boards, starters of motors (iii) Conducting safety awareness programs with consumers in villages, raising height of distribution transformers where and explaining about causes of electrical accidents like substandard electrical house wiring, low quality domestic appliances, not providing 	Sl. No	Financial Year	No of Human Fatal electrical accidents	No of Animal Fatal electrical accidents	Total(Nos.)	1	2020-21	567	1001	1568	2	2021-22	539	1116	1655	3	2022-23	521	856	1377	4	2023-24	465	791	1256	5	2024-25 Upto Sep-24	225	516	741	Total		2317	4280	6591
Sl. No	Financial Year	No of Human Fatal electrical accidents	No of Animal Fatal electrical accidents	Total(Nos.)																																	
1	2020-21	567	1001	1568																																	
2	2021-22	539	1116	1655																																	
3	2022-23	521	856	1377																																	
4	2023-24	465	791	1256																																	
5	2024-25 Upto Sep-24	225	516	741																																	
Total		2317	4280	6591																																	
5.3.4	<p>Though the Commission is directing the DISCOMs to take preventive steps to avoid the accidents the DISCOMs appear to be not responsive.</p>																																				

		<p>earthing at houses and switch boards,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (iv) Distribution of pamphlets to consumers at more accidents prone areas. (v) Conducting awareness programs in schools and Colleges in Mahabubabad Circle with PPTs. Similar action is being carried out in other circles also. (vi) Conducting PEP talk with O&M staff by Officers, AE/OP, ADE/OP, DE/OP and DE/Technical's. (vii) All the O&M staff are provided appropriate "PPE" (Personnel Protective Equipment) i.e., Hard Hat, Gloves, Portable earthing short circuiting kits, safety shoes, insulated tools, Voltage detector, etc..
--	--	--

Annexure-I

Statement showing the details of employees caught red handed by ACB officials of Telangana Police during the 4th control period with details of reinstatement and action taken against the accused officers

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
1804/2019	Shaik Nazimuddin, Ex. LI O/o AE/OP/Karakagudem	14.06.2019	Suspended w.e.f. 14.06.2019 and suspension orders issued on 25.06.2019.	Prosecution sanction order furnished to DG/ACB vide vide Lr.No. Dt: 01.04.2021	Re-instated into service vide Memo.DE(EL) Dt.21- 08-2021 and joined at Pinapaka Section on 23.08.2021.	
3594/2019	Shaik Sujavuddin, Ex. LI O/o.AE/Op/Town- IV/Khammam	07-12-2019	Suspended w.e.f. 07.12.2019 and suspension orders issued on 12.12.2019.	Signed prosecution sanction order furnished to DG/ACB vide vide Lr.No. Dt: 26.03.2021	Re-instated in to service and joined at Karepally Section on 16.02.2022.	DE/Enq.I appointed as EO by SE/KMM. EO has issued charge sheet. The LI has filed WP 32409 of 2023 and order is received from High Court to Pril. Secretary to Govt.. A Letter from Principal Secretary to Energy Department, Telangana, Hyd received to file counter affidavit against the WP filed by the LI.

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
4607/2020	Sri Bora Krishna Rao, AAE/Op/Adilabad North	29.12.2020	All (3) accused officers were placed under suspension w.e.f. 29.12.2020	Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 04.04.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 9.1.2023 as AAE/Tech/DO/Mulugu – Joined on 24.01.2023FN	Charge sheet filed by ACB on 16.05.2023 against 3 accused officers – The case is pending trial vide C.C.No.3/2024 On the other end, based on the fact finding report of DE/OP/Adilabad vide letter dt: 3.6.2021, the SE/QC&Enq was appointed as Enquiry Officer vide Procs dt: 28.7.2021 to conduct departmental enquiry – Show cause notices were issued to AE, AAE & LM proposing 'Major Punishment' as per Regulation 5 of D&A Regulation.
	Sri Ather Srinivas, AE/Comml O/o. DE/Op/Adilabad	-do-		Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 04.04.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 9.1.2023 as AE/Tech/DO/M'bad – Joined on 23.01.2023FN	
	Sri Dege Prakash, LM O/o. AAE/Op/Adilabad North	-do-		Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 20.3.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 18.1.2023 by SE/ADB – Joined as LM/Op/Gudihathno or on 01.02.2023FN	

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
4728/2021	Sri Kasarla Raj Kumar AAE/Op/Eklaspur	06.11.2021 AN	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 07.11.2021	Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 09.03.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 10.7.2023 as AAE/Tech/MRT&Construction/ Bhupalpally - - Joined on 13.07.2023	The DE/Enq.II was appointed as E.O. vide proceedings dt: 20.03.2023 to conduct departmental enquiry against the AAE -- Enquiry report is due.
4416/2021	Sri Chintala Govardhan, Ex.Sub-Engineer O/o AAE/Op/Bachodu	30.10.2021	Suspended w.e.f. 30.10.2021AN and suspension order issued on 02.11.2021.	Signed prosecution sanction order furnished to DG/ACB vide Lr.No. Dt: 29.04.2023	Re-instated in to service and joined at Madhira Rural on 27.07.2023.	Instructions issued to SE/KMM to initiate departmental action against the AO on 19.04.2023. DE/Enq.I appointed as EO on 15.5.23 by SE/KMM. EO has issued charge sheet on 20.6.2023. EO submitted ER to SE/KMM on 13.10.23. Action taken report on Enquiry Report is not yet received from SE/Op/Khammam.
1038/2022	Sri Tejavath Bhadrariah, Ex-DE/Op/ Yellareddy & ADE(U/s) now ADE/Comml/CO/Jangaon	04.03.2022	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 05.03.2022	Prosecution sanction accorded by Discom Board and communicated to DG/ACB vide Lr.No. dt:30.08.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 21.09.2024 as ADE/Comml/CO /Jangaon - Joined on 23.09.2024 FN.	The GM/Comml/NPDCL/Hanumakonda was appointed as an Enquiry Officer to conduct departmental enquiry under Regulation 10(2)(a) of D&A Regulations against Sri T. Bhadrariah vide Proc. Dt: 30.08.2023. The enquiry report is yet to be received.

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
597/2022	Sri Potharla Sateesh LM/Op/Gundlasingaram O/o. DE/T/Hanumakonda	11.02.2022	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 11.02.2022	Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 03.10.2023 by DE/T/HNK	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 29.7.2023 as LM at 33/11 KV SS / NIT – Joined on 01.08.2023FN	The SE/Op/Hanumakonda has appointed the DE/Enq.1 as E.O. vide proceedings dt: 02.03.2024 to conduct departmental enquiry against the LM -- EO issued chare sheet on 6.3.2024 – Enquiry report is due.
2754/2024	Sri D. Sharath Kumar, AE/Op/Ashwaraopet	16.05.2024	Suspended w.e.f. 17.05.2024 AN and suspension order issued on 22.05.2024.		Not Re-instated	Caveat petition was filed vide Caveat SR.No.3487 of 2024 on 04.06.2024 to prevent the AO in obtaining the stay against his suspension. Accused Officer was under suspension w.e.f. 17.05.2024.
4800/2024	Sri Maloth Hussain Naik, DE/Op/Station Ghanpur	31.08.2024	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 31.08.2024AN	No prosecution sanction orders issued	Not reinstated	Caveat filed before the Hon'ble High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad vide Caveat SR No.6060/2024 dt: 25.09.2024 to prevent the accused officer in obtaining the stay against his suspension – Arrested on 31.08.2024, remanded to judicial custody on 1.9.24 and released from District Jail, KMM on 7.10.2024.

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సఫ్టవేర్ వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సఫ్టవేర్ వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్ట్ ప్రతిపాదనలపై శశిభూషణ్ కాచే, అడ్వకేట్, స్టేట్ కోఆర్డినేటర్, కిసాన్ కాంగ్రెస్, ఇంటి నెం:8-55, నడివీధి, మంథని, 505184, పెద్దపల్లి జిల్లా గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాధానాలు
(Cell No : 9440087990,9390087990)

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNDCL - సమాధానాలు
1.	<p>1. విద్యుత్ ప్రమాదాల నివారణకు పటిష్టమైన చర్యలు చేపట్టాలి, రక్షణ వ్యవస్థను బలోపేతం చేసి ప్రమాదాలు నివారించాలి. విద్యుత్ ప్రమాదాలతో నష్టపోయిన/మృతి చెందిన వ్యక్తుల కుటుంబాలకు సత్వరం ఎక్స్ గ్రేషియా అందించాలి. పశువులు నష్టపోయిన వారికి సత్వరం ఎక్స్ గ్రేషియా అందించాలి.</p>	<p>ప్రతి జిల్లాలో ప్రమాదాల నివారణ చర్యలకు నోడల్ అధికారిని నియమించడం జరిగినది. ప్రతి సెక్షన్లో ప్రతి రోజు సిబ్బందితో సేఫ్టీ అవేర్ నెస్ విషయాలపై చర్చిస్తున్నారు. విద్యుత్తు ప్రమాదాలకు గురైన వారికి తక్షణమే ఎక్స్ గ్రేషియా చెల్లించడం జరుగుతున్నది. మరింత భద్రత కోసము సిబ్బందికి వ్యక్తిగత రక్షణ పరికరాలను పంపిణీ చేస్తున్నాము. విద్యుత్ ప్రమాదాలను నివారించేందుకు క్షేత్రస్థాయి సిబ్బంది రైతులతో ఎప్పటికప్పుడు భద్రతాపరమైన అంశాలతో "పొలం బాట" కార్యక్రమాన్ని నిర్వహిస్తున్నాము. ప్రింట్, ఎలక్ట్రానిక్ మరియు సోషల్ మీడియా ద్వారా ప్రజలు తీసుకోవలసిన భద్రతా చర్యల గురించి భద్రతా వారోత్సవాలలో విస్తృత ప్రచారం చేస్తున్నాము.</p>
2.	<p>HT -III కేటగిరి లో ఎయిర్ పోర్ట్, బస్సు స్టేషన్ & రైల్వే స్టేషన్ లను ఒకటి జతన ప్రతిపాదించారు. దీని నుండి ఎయిర్ పోర్ట్ ను వేరు</p>	<p>ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2024 - 25 సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు ధరల ప్రతిపాదన లో HT-III కేటగిరి ధరలను , HT-II కేటగిరి ధరలతో సమానంగా ప్రతిపాదించడం జరిగినది.</p>

	చేయాలి.																																									
3.	క్రమం తప్పకుండా గడువు లోగా విద్యుత్ పంపిణీ సంస్థలు సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత సమాచారం (ఏఆర్ ఆర్) కమిషన్ కు సమర్పించడం లో జాప్యానికి గల కారణాలు.	గౌరవనీయమైన కమిషన్ వారు MYT నూతన రెగ్యులేషన్ No. 02/2023 ను 30-12-2023 నాడు ఆదేశించడం జరిగింది. అందులో డిస్కం లను తేదీ 31-01-2024 లోపు ARR ను సమర్పించమని చెప్పడం జరిగినది. కానీ నూతన MYT రెగ్యులేషన్ ప్రకారం వివిధ విభాగాల నుండి సమాచారం సేకరించి మరియు తయారు చేయడానికి ఎక్కువ సమయం కావలసి వచ్చింది. అంతేకాకుండా లోకసభ ఎన్నికల కోడ్ రావడం వలన జాప్యము జరిగినది.																																								
4.	రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం అమలు చేస్తున్న గృహజ్యోతి, వ్యవసాయ ఉచిత విద్యుత్, చేతి వృత్తుల వారికి ఈ(2024-25) వార్షిక బడ్జెట్ లో కేటాయించిన సబ్సిడీ నిధుల వివరాలు.	రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2024 - 25 వార్షిక బడ్జెట్ లో రాష్ట్ర మొత్తానికి టారిఫ్ సబ్సిడీ మరియు నీటిపారుదల ప్రాజెక్ట్ లకు అయ్యే కరెంటు బిల్లును సబ్సిడీ క్రింద రూ. 11,500 కోట్లు మరియు గృహజ్యోతి పథకం అమలుకు రూ. 2,418 కోట్లు కేటాయించడం జరిగినది.																																								
5.	గత ప్రభుత్వం విద్యుత్ పంపిణీ సంస్థలకు సబ్సిడీల రూపంలో చెల్లించాల్సిన బకాయిల మొత్తం వివరాలు.	<p>ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం వారీగా టారిఫ్ సబ్సిడీ బకాయిలు క్రింది విధంగా అందించబడ్డాయి:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(రూ. కోట్లలో)</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl.No.</th> <th>Year</th> <th>Subsidy Due</th> <th>Subsidy Received</th> <th>Balance to be Received</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>2014-15</td> <td>2398.81</td> <td>2000.06</td> <td>398.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>2015-16</td> <td>3533.44</td> <td>3238.98</td> <td>294.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>2016-17</td> <td>3376.71</td> <td>3376.71</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>2017-18</td> <td>4049.96</td> <td>3330.38</td> <td>719.58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>2018-19</td> <td>4254.14</td> <td>3500.68</td> <td>753.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>2019-20</td> <td>4254.14</td> <td>3569.39</td> <td>684.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td></td> <td>21867.20</td> <td>19016.20</td> <td>2851.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl.No.	Year	Subsidy Due	Subsidy Received	Balance to be Received	1	2014-15	2398.81	2000.06	398.75	2	2015-16	3533.44	3238.98	294.46	3	2016-17	3376.71	3376.71	0.00	4	2017-18	4049.96	3330.38	719.58	5	2018-19	4254.14	3500.68	753.46	6	2019-20	4254.14	3569.39	684.75	Total		21867.20	19016.20	2851.00
Sl.No.	Year	Subsidy Due	Subsidy Received	Balance to be Received																																						
1	2014-15	2398.81	2000.06	398.75																																						
2	2015-16	3533.44	3238.98	294.46																																						
3	2016-17	3376.71	3376.71	0.00																																						
4	2017-18	4049.96	3330.38	719.58																																						
5	2018-19	4254.14	3500.68	753.46																																						
6	2019-20	4254.14	3569.39	684.75																																						
Total		21867.20	19016.20	2851.00																																						

		2020-21 సంవత్సరం నుండి 2024-25 వరకు (ఆగస్టు-24 వరకు) టారిఫ్ సబ్సిడీ మొత్తాలు ప్రభుత్వం నుండి స్వీకరించబడ్డాయి.
6.	ఆర్థిక సామర్థ్యం ఉంది విద్యుత్ బకాయిలు చెల్లించని వ్యక్తులు, సంస్థల నుండి బకాయిల వసూలుకు తీసుకుంటున్న చర్యల వివరాలు	గౌరవనీయమైన TGERC ఆదేశాల ప్రకారం వినియోగదారునికి CC బిల్లులో జారీ చేసిన తేదీ నుండి 15 రోజుల లోపు సర్ ఛార్జి లేకుండా, మరియు 30 రోజుల లోపు డిస్ కనెక్ట్ చేసే గడువు తేదీలను ఇవ్వడం జరుగుతుంది. ఒకవేళ వినియోగదారుడు బిల్లు జారీ చేసిన 30 రోజుల లోపు (డిస్ కనెక్ట్ తేదీ లోపు) బిల్లు చెల్లించకుంటే బకాయిల వసూలుకు డిస్ కనెక్ట్ చేయబడుచున్నది.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri G.R. Karunakar, Plot No.56, Lakshmi Mega Township, Ragannaguda, Abdullahpurmet, RR Dist, Hyderabad-501510.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	At the outset, condonation request itself should not be considered. Paucity of time for collecting necessary information from their own departments and preparing ARR is their internal administrative inefficiency. Model code of conduct due to general elections has nothing to do with their internal official/homework	There was a change in regulatory regime because of which DISCOMs needed to collect additional data for preparing the petitions. Moreover, due to the enforcement of model code of conduct, the DISCOMs could not file the petition. The DISCOMs have duly submitted the condonation of delay petition before the Hon'ble Commission stating these reasons.
2	What is the cost of power procurement from Hyder/Thermal stations within the state? What is the cost of power purchase from other state-owned power stations and NTP? Why is there a difference? Are there any plans to make our state self-sufficient?	For FY 2024-25, requirement of 28,577 MU from TGGENCO Thermal stations at an average cost of INR 5.59 / unit, and requirement of 5,744 MU from TGGENCO Hydel stations at an average cost of INR 2.79 / unit is projected for Telangana State. Further, requirement of 32,520 MU at an average cost of INR 5.43 / unit is also projected for other thermal stations including Central Generating Stations, Sembcorp, Singareni, etc. The cost of power purchase has been determined based on the Fixed Cost and Variable Cost of the respective plants which is expected to vary depending on multitude of factors. The Licensee also strives to ensure that maximum power is procured from within the state on a best effort basis.
3	What are the reasons for failure of Sri Srisailam Hydal power generating stations? What is the cost of repairing them? When are they going to be re-commissioned?.	Under the purview of TG GENCO.
4	What is the highest and lowest cost of procurement of solar power till date?	The cost of procurement of solar power ranges from INR 2.56/ unit to INR 10.66 / unit.
5	What is the extent of land (forest or other) procured to erect solar power generating stations?	Not under the purview of DISCOM
6	What is the status of implementation of PM-KUSUM schemes A, B, and C?	Invitation of tenders is under process
7	How many houses/consumers have adopted "Surya ghar"?	No. users Registered: 55219 Nos. No. of applications submitted in PM Suryagportal" 4846 Nos. No. of applicationsFeasibility issued: 4846 Nos
8	What are the distribution losses in different circles?	The Distribution losses in Circles of TG NPDCL for FY 2023-24 are as follows:

		Name of the Circle	Distribution Losses%
		Hanumakonda	10.321%
		Warangal	10.245%
		Jangaon	10.369%
		Bhupalpally	10.703%
		Mahabubabad	10.651%
		Karimnagar	10.072%
		Jagtial	10.704%
		Peddapally	10.306%
		Khammam	10.149%
		Bhadradri Kothagudem	10.813%
		Nizamabad	10.802%
		Kamareddy	10.857%
		Adilabad	10.898%
		Asifabad	10.924%
		Mancherial	10.909%
		Nirmal	10.914%
9	What are the number of illegal/unauthorized domestic, industrial, and commercial power connections in various circles, and what is the action mooted? Is there any plan/programme for regularization of them?	Theft cases are booked for all illegal and tampered connections for different types of consumers. Stringent action taken such as levying penalty and arresting of consumers.	

10	What are the dues from central and state government offices for consuming power?	<p>TGNPDCL dues from Central and State Government offices details as on 30.09.2024 is tabulated below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl.No.</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Dues (in Crs.) as on 30.09.24</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>State Government Offices</td> <td>60.36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Central Government Offices</td> <td>01.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total</td> <td>61.51</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl.No.	Description	Dues (in Crs.) as on 30.09.24	1	State Government Offices	60.36	2	Central Government Offices	01.15	Total		61.51
Sl.No.	Description	Dues (in Crs.) as on 30.09.24												
1	State Government Offices	60.36												
2	Central Government Offices	01.15												
Total		61.51												
11	What and how much power is consumed by various departments and sections of the society who are provided power at subsidized rates and free power? What is the loss/burden? Is the state government compensating the same, and to what extent?	Category wise energy consumption is available in the petition filed by the licensee. The Government of Telangana is providing subsidy to agricultural and domestic consumers every year. Moreover, industrial and commercial consumers also cross subsidize domestic and agricultural consumers to some extent.												
12	Details of subsidized power tariffs to various categories of consumers in other states.	The details of power tariffs of different States can be downloaded from the websites of respective State Distribution companies or State Electricity Regulatory Commission												
13	What are the tariff rates for domestic, commercial, industrial, Agriculture sectors in various states in our country.													
14	Are there any issues to be settled with TEEA, TSPEA, TPDEDA and other artisan and contract workers?	-												

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR & FPT for 5th Control Period including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri Lakshmi Kumar. V, Senior Manager -Energy, Divisional Head quarters, ITC Bhadrachalam House, 106, Sardar Patel Road, Secunderabad-500003.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	ITC Limited ("ITC") is a company under the Companies Act 2013 with its registered office at Virginia House, 37 J.L. Nehru Road, Kolkata 700071 and its paper and paper board manufacturing unit at Sarapaka Millage, Bhadradi Kothagudem District, Telangana.	No Comments
2	<p>The captive generation of electricity at ITC's aforesaid unit is by co-generation process whereby heat energy' used for pulp cooking, humidification and drying is produced along with electricity. The said plant presently has seven TG Sets of varying capacities aggregating to about 260.187 MVA. Four TG-Sets of 95.5 MVA is kept as a stand-by.</p> <p>The TG-sets and the loads are segregated into two networks internally, with 88 MVA in one network and 76.687 MVA in another network. Under normal operation, the TGsets are operated in island mode and the entire load is met exclusively from the TGsets, no power being consumed from TGNPDCL.</p> <p>The Consumer Service with TGNPDCL is presently with a CMD of 15 MVA at 132 kV. Power is drawn from TGNPDCL within the CMD of 15 MVA partly for start-Up purposes of the TG-sets and partly as standby power. The starting currents of motors during start-up is minimized by soft start arrangements. The power drawn during start-ups is always well within the CMD of 15 MVA.</p> <p>The said plant also receives and consumes power from its wind energy plant in Andhra Pradesh through inter-state open access. Under normal Operation, the connection with the grid is only to enable import of the energy Under Open access. At such times, only one of the networks is connected to the grid.</p> <p>There are electrical inter-locks in place to ensure that the connected loads trip whenever there is a tripping of the TG-Sets. Therefore, there is no transfer of load to the grid in the event of TG-Set failure or shut down. Mandatory protection arrangements are in place to clear internal faults within the time prescribed in the Grid</p>	<p>The licensee has computed Grid Support charges as per the methodology approved by Hon'ble Commission vide order O. P. Nos. 80 & 81 of 2022 dated 27.03.2024. The excerpt of the clause 4.1.13 is shown below</p> <p><i>"At this stage, when the Retail Supply Tariffs are already fixed for FY 2023-24 and the Financial Year is at the fag end, the commission is not willing to determine GSC for FY 2023-24 and directs TSDISCOMs to file a separate petition for determination of GSC for FY 2024-25 onwards duly following the methodology approved in this order"</i></p> <p>Hence, the licensee has adopted the methodology approved in the above order for computation of Grid Support Charges for FY 2024-25.</p>

	<p>In the normal operation of ITC's Continuous process plant, there are no equipment which impose intermittent or transient loads. There is no harmonic injection from ITC's plant in excess of permissible limits.</p> <p>Therefore, there is no circumstance by which it can be considered that any grid support is actually availed by ITC. The connection to the grid is utilized only for start-up power or stand-by within the CMD with TGNPDCL or for import of open access power.</p>	
--	---	--

3	<p>TGNPDCL and TGSPDGL have proposed levy of grid support charges ('GSC') for the FY 2024-25 based and relying upon the Order dated 27.03.2024 of this Commission in ,Op. Nos. 80 & 81 of 2022. It may be noted that ITC has appealed against the said , Order dated 27.03 .2024 so far as relating to OP No. 80 of 2022 before APTEL, in DFR No. 259 of 2024: and APTEL, vide its Order dated 03.09.2024 (Annexure-A) has granted leave to appeal also directing the respondents to file their replies within six weeks. No reply has yet been filed by the respondents. In the said Appeal before APTEL, ITC has challenged both the findings of levy and rate of the GSC in the Commission's Order dated 27.03 .2024 on, inter alia, the grounds that the objections of ITC to the ARR proposals for FY 2022-23 and FY2023-24 were not even tabled before the Grid Co-ordination Committee; that the said Committee gave biased, irrational and erroneous findings in its reports; that this Commission also did not deal with the submissions of ITC; that there was no real study or data for this Commission to conclude on the levy of GSC on all industries with co-located captive power plants; that the manner of computing GSC is arbitrary and unreasonable besides unjustly enriching the Discoms.</p>	<p>TGNPDCL has appointed Sri. D. Abhinav Rao,Advocate,New Delhi as counsel(s) for the respondent No.2 ,for which the vakalathnama was filed before the APTEL on 28.08.2024. Further the counter affidavit and IA affidavit was filed before the APTEL on 16.10.2024 (Appeal No 389 of 2024).</p> <p>After going through the technical Analysis and study, all the members present accepted the need for technical support of Grid for parallel operations keeping in view the stability, reactive power management, fault level support for conventional renewable energy, roof top Solar generators in Grid Coordination committee.</p>
---	--	--

4	<p>As also contended in ITC's aforesaid Appeal, without prejudice to ITC contentions against the very levy of GSC, the R&M costs of the TG-Transco and the TG-Discoms are already fully recovered from the retail supply tariffs and the transmission/wheeling tariffs. The amounts sought to be recovered by way of GSC would be over and above their approved ARR's and would lead to unjust enrichment. ITC's aforesaid plant is connected at 132 kV. The distribution system of the distribution licensee has no role to play whatsoever. There is no justification as to why a consumer should pay a charge based on the R&M costs of both the distribution licensees. There is also no reason or rationale for a consumer within the area of operation of one distribution licensee paying charges which are based upon the R&M costs of another distribution licensee, Further, in the computation of GSC, if the "power consumed by the co-located load" purports to include also the power drawn from the grid, it would amount to an additional charge over and above the tariff charge paid to the licensees</p>	<p>Grid support charges are imposed on captive consumers. These consumers do not contribute to the repair and maintenance (R&M) costs incurred by distribution companies. Consequently, these costs are recovered along with grid support charges.</p> <p>Calculation of grid support charges are common across different voltage levels. It is commonly calculated for overall transmission and distribution network and shared across voltage levels</p> <p>Grid support charges are distinct from standard tariff charges. Tariff charges cover the consumption of electricity, while grid support charges cover the maintenance of grid stability and the ancillary services provided by the grid to captive users. There is no double recovery, as these charges are meant to cover different aspects of grid usage</p>
5	<p>In view of pendency of the above Appeal of ITC before APTEL, it is submitted that the proposal for determination of GSC be kept in abeyance until the said Appeal is decided</p>	<p>Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission</p>

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్టీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై ఎం. శ్రీధర్ రెడ్డి, ఇప్ప రాజేందర్, డబ్బా రవి, విట్టల్ రెడ్డి, బండి కృష్ణ రెడ్డి, దేవిరెడ్డి విట్టల్ రెడ్డి, పైడి ఎల్లా రెడ్డి, కె.అంజనా, కొమిరెడ్డి చిన్నా అంజనా, లంక వెంకట్ రెడ్డి, కొమిరెడ్డి అంజనా పెద్ద, ఎం .రాజిరెడ్డి, జి. వినోద్ కుమార్, డా. ఎ. వి రావు, శ్రీ రంగ రావు, డి.రాము, అంబిరా ఆనంద రావు, సి.వి.భాస్కర్ రావు గారి

సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాధానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNDCL – సమాధానాలు
1.	తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్ర విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ మండలి భవనాన్ని నిర్మించి మీ సేవలు విస్తృతం చేయడానికి మీరుస్వంత భవనం లో స్థిరమైనందుకు మిమ్మల్ని అభినందిస్తున్నాము.	—
2.	ఈ భవనము కోసం స్థలం ఇచ్చిన జెన్నోను, సహకరించిన GHMC, బలంగా సుందరంగా నిర్మించిన కాంట్రాక్టర్లు కు కృతజ్ఞతలు.	—
3.	ERC లో నూతనం గా నియమించబడ్డ సిబ్బందికి శుభాభినందనలు తెలుపుతూ సత్వరమే సేవలు అందించుటకు ప్రక్షేతులుగా కావాలని కోరుతున్నాము.	—
4.	ఆర్టిజన్ ఉద్యోగుల జీతభత్యాలను పెంచాలి. సీనియర్ ఉద్యోగులకు ఉన్న జీతభత్యాలపై చరమ (సీలింగ్) అవధి ఉండాలి.	వేతన సవరణ చర్చల కమిటీ'2022 నివేదిక ప్రకారం మరియు తెలంగాణ పవర్ యుటిలిటీల యాజమాన్యాలు, తెలంగాణ పవర్ యుటిలిటీలలో ప్రాతినిధ్యం వహిస్తున్న యూనియన్ల మధ్య కుదిరిన సెటిల్మెంట్ మెమోరాండం ప్రకారం

		<p>హైదరాబాద్, కార్మిక జాయింట్ కమిషనర్ (జంట నగరాలు) పారిశ్రామిక వివాదాల చట్టం, 1947 మరియు తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్ర పారిశ్రామిక వివాదాల నియమాలు, 1958 యొక్క నిబంధనల ప్రకారం ఉద్యోగులు మరియు చేతివృత్తుల వారి జీతాలు మరియు అలవెన్సులు పెంచబడ్డాయి</p>
5.	<p>రైతులు నూతన (ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు) కనెక్షన్ల కోసం డిడిలు తీసినప్పుడు రూపంలో వేలు లక్షలు కట్టవలసిందిగా నోటీసులు పంపుతున్నారు. దానివల్ల రైతులకు వ్యవసాయ రంగంలో తొలి అప్పు విద్యుత్ కోసమే అవుతుంది. సామాగ్రి కూలీల వ్యయం పెరిగినందువల్ల రైతులు చెల్లించే డిడి కి రెట్టింపు చేసిన సమయం మూడు LT పోల్ల దూరానికి అదనపు ఛార్జీలు లేకుండా కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వాలి.</p>	<p>డిపార్ట్మెంట్ నిబంధనల ప్రకారం ఈ క్రింది విధంగా అంచనా వ్యయం మించి ఉంటే వినియోగదారుడు ORC రూపంలో ఛార్జీలను చెల్లించాలి:</p> <p>i) అంచనా లో HT లైన్, LT లైన్ & DTR ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.70,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది.</p> <p>ii) అంచనా లో LT లైన్ మాత్రమే ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.45,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది.</p>
6.	<p>DTR దిమ్మెలు రైతులే నిర్మించుకుంటున్నారు దానిని ఎస్టిమేషన్ లో నుండి తీసివేయాలి. దిమ్మె కోసం కేటాయించిన డబ్బులు అవసరమైనచో ఇతర సామగ్రి కోసం ఉపయోగించాలి.</p>	<p>DTR దిమ్మెలు డిపార్ట్మెంటు ద్వారా నిర్మించడం జరుగుచున్నది.</p>
7.	<p>ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ నుండి రైతు కనెక్షన్ వరకు గల ప్రక్రియలో మేము ఏమి చేయాలి? డిస్కం ఏం చేస్తుంది?.</p>	<p>వ్యవసాయ సర్వీస్ లను విడుదల చేసే విధానం:</p> <p>i. వినియోగదారుడు మీ-సేవ/NPDCL పోర్టల్లో వారికి అవసరమైన లోడ్కు అవసరమైన ఛార్జీలను చెల్లించి దరఖాస్తులను నమోదు</p>

		<p>చేసుకోవాలి.</p> <p>ii. మీ-సేవ/NPDCL పోర్టల్లో దరఖాస్తును నమోదు చేసిన తర్వాత, దరఖాస్తుతోపాటు పత్రాలు సంబంధిత అసిస్టెంట్ డివిజనల్ ఇంజనీర్ లాగిన్ కి పరిశీలన మరియు సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్ కోసం పంపబడతాయి.</p> <p>iii. అసిస్టెంట్ డివిజనల్ ఇంజనీర్/ఆపరేషన్ అదే దరఖాస్తు ను సంబంధిత సెక్షన్ ఆఫీస్ లాగిన్లకు పత్రాలతో పాటు పంపిస్తారు.</p> <p>iv. సెక్షన్ ఆఫీసర్ సైట్ లొకేషన్తో పాటు ఆధార్ కార్డ్, పట్టా పాస్ బుక్, సి-ఫారమ్ మొదలైన అన్ని పత్రాలను ధృవీకరిస్తారు.</p> <p>v. వినియోగదారుకు ఎటువంటి మౌలిక సదుపాయాలు అవసరం లేకుంటే, సక్రమంగా సర్వీస్ నంబర్ను కేటాయించి కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వబడుతుంది మరియు EBSలో అప్లోడ్ చేయడానికి నెలవారీ సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్ రిలీజ్ రిటర్న్ లో EROకి పంపబడుతుంది.</p> <p>vi. వినియోగదారునికి మౌలిక సదుపాయాలు (LT లైన్, 11KV లైన్ & DTR మొదలైనవి) అవసరమైతే, ఈ అప్లికేషన్ ఫస్ట్ ఇన్ ఫస్ట్ అవుట్లో అంచనాను రూపొందించడానికి SAPకి పోస్ట్ చేయబడుతుంది (అంటే, సెక్షన్ స్థాయిలో సీనియారిటీ జాబితాలో జోడించబడుతుంది).</p> <p>vii. సీనియారిటీ జాబితా ప్రకారం అంచనా డబ్ల్యుబిఎస్ నంబర్</p>
--	--	---

		<p>స్పష్టించబడుతుంది మరియు కాంపిటెంట్ అథారిటీల ద్వారా మంజూరు కోసం పంపబడుతుంది.</p> <p>viii. అధికార ప్రతినిధి బృందం ప్రకారం అంచనా మంజూరు చేయబడుతుంది మరియు అంచనా వ్యయం మించి ఉంటే ORC చెల్లింపు కోసం డిమాండ్ నోటీసు వినియోగదారునికి జారీ చేయబడుతుంది.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ అంచనా లో HT లైన్, LT లైన్ & DTR ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.70,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది. ➤ అంచనా లో LT లైన్ మాత్రమే ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.45,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది. <p>ix. అంచనాను మంజూరు చేసిన తర్వాత, పద్ధతి ప్రకారం కాంట్రాక్టర్ ఒప్పందాన్ని తీసుకోవటం జరుగుతుంది.</p> <p>x. డివిజన్ కార్యాలయం నుండి ఒప్పందాన్ని అందించిన తర్వాత AE/ఆపరేషన్ ద్వారా వర్క్ ఆర్డర్ తీసుకోబడుతుంది.</p> <p>xi. వర్క్ ఆర్డర్ను విడుదల చేసిన తర్వాత, స్టోర్ల నుండి మెటీరియల్ డ్రా చేయబడుతుంది మరియు పని అమలు చేయబడుతుంది.</p> <p>xii. వినియోగదారుడు వ్యవసాయ మోటార్ సామర్థ్యానికి సరిపడు కెపాసిటర్ ను అమర్చుకోవాలి.</p> <p>xiii. తర్వాత సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్కు నంబర్ను కేటాయించి, EBSలో అప్లోడ్</p>
--	--	--

		చేయడానికి నెలవారీ సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్ రిలీజ్ రిటర్న్ లో EROకి పంపబడుతుంది.
8.	Toll Free నెంబర్లు 1800 425 3600, 1800 425 0028 లను పునరుద్ధరించాలి. Toll Free నెంబర్లు క్రియాత్మకం (active) చేస్తూ ప్రచారం చేయాలి. ప్రతి ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ గద్దె పై ప్రధాన కూడల్లో ప్రజలు రద్దీగా ఉండే చోట్లలో టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్లు రాయాలి. టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్ కు ఫోన్ చేస్తే మీ AE గారికి చెప్పారా అని అడుగుతున్నారు.కాబట్టి ఇలా ఆడగకుండా బాధ్యతను వారికి అర్థం చేయించండి. విద్యుత్తుకు సంబంధించిన ప్రతి కంప్లైంట్ టోల్ ఫ్రీ ద్వారానే జరిగే విధంగా చర్యలు చేపట్టి పని పూర్తి అయ్యేవరకు పర్యవేక్షణ చేస్తూ సంబంధిత అధికారులను సమాయత్తం చేయాలి.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. టోల్ ఫ్రీ నంబర్స్ 1800 425 0028 & 1912 వాడకంలో ఉన్నాయి. 2. అన్ని విద్యుత్ కార్యాలయాల్లో , సబ్ స్టేషన్ లలో , గ్రామ పంచాయతీ కార్యాలయాల వద్ద మరియు ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ల గద్దెలపై టోల్ ఫ్రీ నంబర్లు వ్రాయబడ్డాయి. 3. వినియోగదారుడు టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్ కు ఫోన్ చేస్తే కాల్ సెంటర్ ఏజెంట్స్ తన ఫిర్యాదు నమోదు చేసుకొని ఆ సమాచారాన్ని సంబంధిత అధికారికి తెలియచేస్తారు. 4. విద్యుత్తుకు సంబంధించిన ప్రతి ఫిర్యాదు టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్ ద్వారా నమోదు చేసుకొని అది పూర్తి అయ్యే వరకు సంబంధిత అధికారులతో విచారణ చేయడం జరుగుతుంది. 5. వినియోగదారుల ఫిర్యాదులను ఇబ్బంది లేకుండా పరిష్కరించేందుకు, TGNPDCL అదనంగా 15 మంది సిబ్బందిని CCC సెంటర్లో పని చేయడానికి కేటాయించింది. 6. CCC సిబ్బంది, క్షేత్రస్థాయి సిబ్బంది సమన్వయంతో వినియోగదారుల ఫిర్యాదులను సమర్థవంతంగా పరిష్కరించటం జరుగుతుంది.
9.	మొబైల్ అప్లికేషన్ App ద్వారా ఏ విధంగా సమస్యలను పరిష్కరించుకోవచ్చో విరివిగా ప్రచారం చేయాలి.TGSPDCL లో కూడా Mobile App ను ప్రవేశపెట్టాలి.	వినియోగదారుల ఫిర్యాదులను నమోదు చేయడానికి TGNPDCL మొబైల్ యాప్ను అభివృద్ధి చేసింది. ఈ యాప్ను గూగుల్ ప్లే స్టోర్ నుండి డౌన్లోడ్ చేసుకోవచ్చు.

<p>10.</p>	<p>ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు, స్తంభాలు మరియు ఇతర సామగ్రి రైతుల ద్వారా ట్రాక్టర్లలో తరలింప చేస్తున్నారు. ఈ పని చేస్తూ ఎందరో ప్రాణాలు పోగొట్టుకుంటున్నారు. కాంట్రాక్టర్ల ద్వారా విద్యుత్ సిబ్బంది ద్వారా జరగవలసిన పనులను రైతులతో చేయించి, రైతుల మరణానికి కారకులైన వారు హంతకులే రైతుల యొక్క అవసరాలు, అమాయకత్వాన్ని వాడుకొని ప్రమాదాలకు గురిచేసి హత్య చేస్తున్నారు. ఫీజులు పోయినప్పుడు సకాలంలో సిబ్బంది స్పందించ నందువల్లపంట నష్టం జరుగుతుందనే ఆవేదనతో ఫీజుల మరమ్మత్తు చేస్తూ ప్రాణాలు పోగొట్టుకుంటున్న వారివి కూడా హత్యలే. వీటిని రైతుల యొక్క తొందరపాటు మరణాలుగా భావించటం కడు సోచనీయం. దానిని నివారించాలి పోల్ల రవాణా చేయించే అధికారులపై కాంట్రాక్టర్ల పై క్రిమినల్ కేసులు పెట్టాలి. ఆపరేషన్ సిబ్బందికి బిల్ కలెక్షన్ బాధ్యతలు అప్పగించి పని భారం పెంచరాదు.</p>	<p>TGNPDCL సిబ్బంది మాత్రమే లైన్లు మరియు డీటీఆర్ల మరమ్మత్తుల కోసం పనిని చేపడతారు కాని రైతులు చేయకూడదు అనే ఈ సందేశం అన్ని రకాల సమాచార మాధ్యమాల ద్వారా విస్తృతంగా ప్రచారం చేయబడుచున్నది.</p> <p>పోల్స్, డీటీఆర్లను డిపార్ట్మెంట్ మాత్రమే రవాణా చేస్తోంది.</p> <p>ఓ&ఎం సిబ్బందికి నిర్దేశిత నిబంధనల ప్రకారం మాత్రమే పనులు అప్పగిస్తున్నారు.</p>
<p>11.</p>	<p>రైతుల ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు కాలిపోయినప్పుడు విద్యుత్ శాఖ వారే తమ వాహనాలలో తెచ్చి బిగించాలి. ఎట్టి పరిస్థితులలో కూడా రైతులను ముట్టుకోనియ్య రాదు. 60 శాతం DTRలు రవాణా చేసామని ARR(NPDCL ARR Page No 93) లో తెలిపారు. ఇవి అన్నీ పట్టణ - నగర ప్రాంతాలవే, గ్రామీణDTRలు రవాణా చేయటం లేదు.</p>	<p>చిన్న సామర్థ్యం గల 10/15KVA, 16KVA మరియు 25KVA DTR ల రవాణా కొరకు 2 MT పికప్ వ్యాన్లు ఉపయోగించబడుతున్నాయి మరియు అధిక సామర్థ్యం గల DTRలు అంటే 63KVA మరియు 100 KVA DTRలు రవాణా కొరకు 3 MT పికప్ వ్యాన్లు ఉపయోగించబడుతున్నాయి.</p>
<p>12.</p>	<p>లూజు లైన్లను సరి చేస్తూ కాలం చెల్లిన పాత సామగ్రిని తొలగించి కొత్తవి బిగించాలి.</p>	<p>ఇది నిరంతర ప్రక్రియ మరియు డిపార్ట్మెంట్ ఈ పనిని క్రమం తప్పకుండా నిర్వహిస్తుంది. ఇటీవల 35641 లొకేషన్లలో లూజ్ లైన్లు సరిచేయబడినవి</p>

		మరియు గుర్తించబడిన ప్రమదాహిత పరికరాలు రిఫ్లెక్స్ మెంట్ చేయబడ్డాయి.
13.	వినియోగదారునికి కేటగిరి ల విషయంలో అవగాహన కల్పించాలి. అవగాహన కలిపించకుండానే కేసులు పెడుతున్నారు. ఉదా: CHAP CUTTAR లు, గో సంపదకు నీరు తాగించటము.	<p>గౌరవనీయులైన TGERC ఆదేశాల మేరకు మాత్రమే టారిఫ్ వర్గీకరణ జరుగుతోంది. పక్కాగా అమలు చేయాలని క్షేత్రస్థాయి సిబ్బందికి ఆదేశాలు జారీ చేయటం జరిగినది.</p> <p>DPE సిబ్బంది తనిఖీ సమయంలో, సరఫరా యొక్క అనధికారిక వినియోగం గుర్తించబడితే, సర్వీస్ యొక్క వాస్తవ కేటగిరి కి సంబంధించి వినియోగదారులకు సరైన అవగాహన కలిపించటం జరుగుచున్నది. ఇంకా, గృహ జ్యోతి స్కీమ్లో ప్రయోజనాలను పొందడం కోసం, కొత్త మీటర్ సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్లను తీసుకోవడం కోసం, వినియోగదారులకు అవగాహన కల్పించడం కోసం DPE వింగ్ ద్వారా అవగాహన కార్యక్రమాలు నిర్వహించబడుచున్నవి.</p>
14.	అత్యంత ఎక్కువ ఉన్న టారిఫ్ అడ్వర్టైజ్మెంట్ హోల్డింగ్స్ (Shops) వ్యాపార స్థలాలు దుకాణాల టారిఫ్ లు వేరు. వినియోగదారుల నుంచి అత్యధిక ధరలు వసూలు చేసే విమానాశ్రయాలు వారికి అత్యధిక టారిఫ్ గల హోల్డింగు లుప్రదర్శించే వారు అనుమతి లేకుండా ఇతర కేటగిరీలలో వాడుకుంటున్నారు ఇలాంటి వారిని విడిచిపెట్టి చాప్ కట్టర్ (గడ్డిని ముక్కలు చేసే యంత్రం) ఉపయోగించే రైతులపై కేసులు బుక్ చేయడం విచారకరం. Airport కేటగిరీని వేరు చేయాలి. Airport లోని హోటల్స్ షాప్స్ హోల్డింగ్స్ కేటగిరీని వేరు చేయాలి. బస్టాండ్ రైల్వే స్టేషన్ల సేవలు వేరు చేయాలి. Airport సేవలు వేరు	<p>DPE సిబ్బంది తనిఖీ సమయంలో, సరఫరా యొక్క అనధికారిక వినియోగం గుర్తించబడితే, సర్వీస్ యొక్క వాస్తవ కేటగిరి కి సంబంధించి వినియోగదారులకు సరైన అవగాహన కలిపించటం జరుగుచున్నది మరియు అనుమతులు లేకుండా ఇతర కేటగిరీలలో వాడే వినియోగదారులు ఎవరైనా వారి పై కేసులు (Malpractice) బుక్ చేయడం జరుగుచున్నది.</p> <p>ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2024 - 25 సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు ధరల ప్రతిపాదన లో HT-III కేటగిరి ధరలను , HT-II కేటగిరి ధరలతో సమానంగా</p>

	చేయాలి.	ప్రతిపాదించడం జరిగినది.
15.	టారిఫ్ లవారీగా కేటగిరీలు అన్ని డిస్కం ఆఫీసులలో ప్రదర్శన చేయాలి. ప్రచారం కూడా చేయాలి.	టారిఫ్ ఆర్డర్లు TGNPDCL వెబ్‌సైట్‌లో ఉంచబడినవి మరియు విద్యుత్ బిల్లు వెనుక భాగంలో టారిఫ్ ల వారిగా కేటగిరీలు తెలియచేయటం జరుగుచున్నది.
16.	కనెక్షన్ రిలీజ్ చేసిన తరువాత లిఖితపూర్వకంగా లేఖ ఇవ్వాలి. అంచనా (ఎస్టిమేట్) కాపీలో ఉన్న సామగ్రి, మరియు డ్రా చేసిన సామగ్రి, వాడిన సామగ్రి వేరువేరు గా ఉంటున్నాయి. వినియోగదారుడికి వాడిన సామగ్రి యొక్క వివరాలతో పాటు release లెటర్ ఇవ్వాలి.	<p>అంచనా వ్యయం మంజూరు అయిన తరువాత అందులో పొందు పరచిన సామగ్రి అనగా అవసరమైన స్తంభాలు, DTR వివరాలు వినియోగదారులకి SMS రూపం లో వస్తుంది.</p> <p>స్టోర్ నుండి సామగ్రి తీసుకున్నాక వాటికి సంబంధించిన వివరాలు SMS ద్వారా తెలియ చేయటం జరుగుచున్నది.</p> <p>TG-IPASS & Non TG-IPASS ధరఖాస్తుల రిలీజ్ సర్టిఫికేట్స్ వెబ్ సైట్ లో పొందుపరచటం జరుగుతుంది, వినియోగదారులు వెబ్ సైట్ నుండి వాటిని సేకరించవచ్చు.</p>
17.	CGRF వారి పర్యటనలు పెంచాలి, ముందస్తు ప్రచారం జరగాలి.	<p>i) CGRF ఫోరమ్ తెలంగాణ స్టేట్ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ యొక్క 2015 రెగ్యులేషన్ నంబర్ 03లోని క్లాజ్ 2.3లో పేర్కొన్న విధంగా స్థానిక కోర్టులను నిర్వహిస్తోంది.</p> <p>ii) ప్రచారానికి సంబంధించి, స్థానిక కోర్టులను నిర్వహించే సెక్షన్ లను ముందుగానే గర్హించి, దిన పత్రికలలో ముందస్తుగా తేదీలను ప్రకటించటం జరుగుచున్నది.10</p>

18.	CGRF ఆర్డర్ల అమలు కొరకు డిస్కాంట్ ప్రత్యేక వ్యవస్థ ను ఏర్పాటు చేసి, పని పూర్తి అయిన తరువాత వినియోగ దారుడితో తప్పక దృవీకరించు కోవాలి.	CGRF ఆదేశాలను ఎప్పటికప్పుడు DISCOM లోని వ్యవస్థ పరిశీలిస్తున్నది.
19.	ఆఫీసుల్లోనే పనిచేస్తూ పర్యవేక్షణ కొరకు బయటకు వెళ్ళని వారి కార్ల సౌకర్యాన్ని రద్దు చేసి సామూహిక బస్సు సౌకర్యం కల్పించాలి.	అధికారులకు బస్సు సౌకర్యం కల్పించడం సాధ్యం కాదు. డిపార్టుమెంటు పరంగా కార్లను వినియోగించే అధికారులకు రవాణా భత్యం మరియు ప్రయాణ భత్యాలు చెల్లించడం లేదు..
20.	సబ్ స్టేషన్ నుండి ప్రతి రైతు కనెక్షన్ వరకు న్యూట్రల్ వైరు లాగాలి	దశలవారీగా పనులు చేపట్టనున్నారు
21.	రైతుల యొక్క సర్వే నెంబర్లు, సర్వే నెంబర్లను అనుకొని ఉన్న సహజ నీటి వనరులైన ఒరెలు, వాగులు, కాలువలలో మోటారు పెట్టుకునేందుకు రైతులు డిడి చెల్లిస్తే అట్టి కనెక్షన్ ఇచ్చిన అధికారులకు నోటీసులు పంపిస్తున్నారు క్రిందికి వ్యధాగా పోతున్న నీటిని రైతులు వాడుకుంటున్నప్పుడు, అధిక వర్షాలు కురిసినప్పుడు సహజ నీటి ప్రవాహం ఎక్కువైతే నష్టపోయేది రైతే. నీరు అధికమైనప్పుడు భూమి కోతకు గురి అవుతుంది. నీటి అవసరమున్నప్పుడు ఆ నీటిని వాడుకుంటాడు. అది రైతు హక్కు. ఇట్టి వసతి కొరకై విద్యుత్ కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వాలి.	AGL దరఖాస్తుదారు నీటిపారుదల అధికారుల నుండి అభ్యంతరం లేని సర్టిఫికేట్ (NOC) పొందిన తర్వాత మరియు జిల్లా కలెక్టర్ సమ్మతితో చెరువులు, వరద కాలువల ద్వారా ఒక ప్రదేశం నుండి మరొక ప్రదేశానికి నీటిని పంపింగ్ చేసిన తర్వాత విద్యుత్ కనెక్షన్ కోసం దరఖాస్తు చేసుకోవచ్చు.
22.	శ్రీరామ్ సాగర్ ప్రాజెక్టు వరద కాలువ పై నూతన కనెక్షన్లు ఇవ్వడం లేదు. రైతులకు జీవనాధారమైన అత్యంత విలువైన భూమిని వరద కాలువ కోసమే ఇచ్చిన రైతులకు ఆ నీటిని వాడుకోవటానికి	గురుత్వాకర్షణ ద్వారా నీటిపారుదల అవసరాల కోసం చెరువులలో నీటిని నింపడానికి ఇరిగేషన్ కాలువను ఉపయోగిస్తారు. ఒకవేళ నీటిపారుదల కాలువ

	అడ్డుపడటం విచారకరం. గత ప్రభుత్వం రైతులు మోటార్ల ద్వారా నీరందించుకున్న ఎకరాలను తామే సాగులోకి తీసుకు వచ్చిన విధంగా ప్రకటించుకుంది. రైతులు పంట రక్షణ కొరకు ఎక్కడి నుంచి అయినా నీటిని తరలించవచ్చని చట్టంలో ఉంది. అందుకని అడిగిన వెంటనే నీటివనరుల వద్ద కూడా కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వాలి	నుండి నేరుగా AGL కనెక్షన్ ద్వారా నీటిని పంప్ చేయవలసి వస్తే, నీటిపారుదల శాఖ నుండి NOC తీసుకోవటం తప్పనిసరి.
23.	డిపార్ట్మెంట్ వారి నిర్లక్ష్యం కారణంగా మరణానికి గురి అవుతున్న "రైతు హత్యలను" ఆపాలి. విద్యుత్ షాక్ కు గురి అయిన చనిపోయిన వారికి ఇచ్చే ఆర్థిక సహాయాన్ని రెట్టింపు చేయాలి. మరణించిన పశువులకు ఇచ్చే ఆర్థిక సాయాన్ని లక్ష రూపాయలుగా చేయాలి. గొర్రెలు మేకలకు Rs 12000/- చేయాలి.	ఎక్స్ గ్రేషియా చెల్లింపునకు గౌరవప్రదమైన TGERC ఆదేశాలను DISCOM అనుసరిస్తుంది.
24.	విద్యుత్ షాక్ కు గురి అయి ఆసుపత్రిలో చేరి ప్రాణం దక్కిన వారి ఆస్పత్రి ఖర్చులను డిపార్ట్మెంట్ వారు భరించాలి. వికలాంగులుగా మారితే అతనికి జీవన భృతిని ఇవ్వాలి.	డ్యూటీలో ఉన్నప్పుడు ఎలక్ట్రికల్ నాన్-ఫాటల్ యాక్సిడెంట్కు గురైన ఉద్యోగులు/ఆర్టిస్ట్ లకు TGNPDCL ద్వారా గుర్తింపు పొందిన ఆసుపత్రిలో ఇన్-పేషెంట్గా ఉన్నప్పుడు మెడికల్ క్రెడిట్ కార్డ్ సౌకర్యాన్ని TGNPDCL అందిస్తోంది. ఎలక్ట్రికల్ నాన్-ఫాటల్ యాక్సిడెంట్కు గురైన Un-manned కార్మికులకు బోర్డు ఆమోదం ప్రకారం మెడికల్ క్రెడిట్ కార్డ్ సౌకర్యం కూడా అందించబడుతుంది.
25.	సిజిఆర్ఎఫ్ ఫోర్ట్ మెంబర్ కు రోజువారీ సిట్టింగ్ Fee ప్రస్తుతం ఉన్న దానిని రెట్టింపు చేయాలి.	స్వతంత్ర సభ్యుని యొక్క సిట్టింగ్ రుసుము లేదా ఇతర అలవెన్సులతో కూడిన వేతనం గౌరవనీయమైన TGERC ద్వారా ఎప్పటికప్పుడు నిర్ణయించబడుతుంది మరియు లైసెన్సుదారుచే భరించబడుతుంది.
26.	ప్రయాణ ఖర్చులు బస్సు రైలు చార్జీలు మరియు వాస్తవ ప్రయాణ	G.O. Ms.No.60, Dt:02-05-2015, r/wలో జారీ చేసిన ఉత్తర్వుల ప్రకారం

	సమయం లో అయ్యే భోజన నివాస ఖర్చులు చెల్లించాలి. దీనికిగాను ఒక కిలో మీటర్ కు ఇంత మొత్తం అని నిర్ణయించాలి.	మరియు TOO Ms.No.155, Dt:17-08-2015, NOO Ms.No.171, Dt:31-08-2015 ప్రకారం ప్రయాణ మరియు రోజువారీ భత్యాలు మరియు బస & బోర్డింగ్ ఛార్జీలు ఇప్పటికే నిర్ణయించబడ్డాయి మరియు చెల్లించబడుతున్నాయి.
27.	ప్రభుత్వ రంగంలో విద్యుత్ సేవలు కొనసాగాలంటే కాలానుగుణంగా పెరిగిన ధరల వేతనాలు విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఇతర ఖర్చులు పెరిగిన విధంగానే టారిఫ్ కూడా, చెల్లించ గలిగే స్తోమతను బట్టి పెంచాలి. సుదీర్ఘకాలం పెంచకుండా ఉంటే డిస్కంల మనుగడ కష్టం అయ్యి విద్యుత్తు సరఫరా ప్రైవేటు రంగానికి బదిలీ అయ్యే అవకాశం ఉంది.	ప్రభుత్వ రంగంలో విద్యుత్ సేవలు కొనసాగించడానికి, విద్యుత్ వినియోగదారుల ఆర్థిక స్తోమతను బట్టి టారిఫ్ ప్రతిపాదనలు నిర్ణయించి గౌరవనీయమైన కమీషన్ ముందు పోందు పరచటం జరిగినది.
28.	HD DOMESTIC వినియోగదారులనుతప్పదోవ పట్టించి 33kv సబ్ స్టేషన్ పెట్టుకోవాల్సి వస్తున్నది.నగరాలలో భూమి కొరత కారణంగా 33 kv సబ్ స్టేషన్ పెట్టుకోలేరు. వాస్తవ వినియోగాన్ని మాత్రమే లెక్కించాలి. కనెక్టెడ్ వినియోగాన్ని లెక్కించరాదు. ఫైర్ ఎక్స్‌ప్రొంట్ ను లెక్కించరాదు.	—
29.	TSSPDCL, TSNPDCL CMD లు గా ఐఏఎస్ అధికారులు సేవలు అందించడానికి వచ్చి, వినియోగదారునికి సత్వర నిజాయితీ సేవలు అందించే ప్రయత్నం చేస్తున్నందుకు వారికి ధన్యవాదాలు.	—
30.	TSNPDCL వారు కాలిపోయిన DTR లు రవాణా ఎంత పర్సెంటేజి చేశాము అని, దుర్మరణాలకు కారణాలేంటి అని అన్ని వివరాలు వ్రాశారు వారికి ధన్యవాదాలు, TSSPDCL వారు కూడా వివరాలు ఇవ్వాలి, కరెంటు స్తంభాలు పడి చనిపోయిన రైతుల లెక్క కూడా	—

	ఇవ్వాలి. సెన్ సిరిసిల్ల వారు ARR లో వారి లెక్కలు మినహా ఎటువంటి సేవల వివరాలు ఇవ్వలేదు. వారు కూడా అన్ని వివరాలు ఇవ్వాలి.	
31.	క్యాటగిరి వివరాలు అన్ని విద్యుత్తు ఆఫీసుల్లో ప్రదర్శించాలి.	TGNPDCL వెబ్ సైట్ లో (www.tgnpdcl.com) అన్ని కేటగిరి ల వివరాలు పొందు పరచబడ్డాయి, వినియోగదారులు వాటిని ఉచితంగా పరిశీలించవచ్చును.
32.	వ్యవసాయ విద్యుత్ సరఫరా కాస్టు ఎంత, టారిఫ్ ఎంత, ప్రస్తుతం ప్రభుత్వంచెల్లిస్తున్నది ఎంత తెలియచేయాలి.	గౌరవనీయమైన కమిషన్ వారు ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2023-24 కు జారీ చేసిన టారిఫ్ ఆర్డర్ ప్రకారం 2023-24 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి TGNPDCL కి సంబంధించిన వ్యవసాయ విద్యుత్ సరఫరా కాస్ట్ రూ.7,332 కోట్లు (యూనిట్ ఒక్కటికి రూ. 8.73 / -) అందులో ప్రభుత్వం వ్యవసాయ సబ్సిడీ క్రింద చెల్లిస్తున్నది రూ. 6,733 కోట్లు.
33.	ప్రస్తుత నీటిపారుదల శాఖ వారు HD LIFT ఇరిగేషన్ వారు చెల్లించాల్సిన బిల్లులు వెంట వెంటనే వసూలు చేయాలి.	పెండింగ్లో ఉన్న బకాయిల చెల్లింపు కోసం నీటిపారుదల శాఖతో క్రమం తప్పకుండా చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం జరుగుచున్నది.
34.	హైదరాబాద్ సౌత్ సర్కిల్ కు సప్లై చేసే విద్యుత్తు ఎంత,వసూలు చేసేది ఎంత వివరాలు ఇస్తూ, వీరి బిల్లులు సరిగా వసూలు చేసే విధంగా చూడాలి.	—

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, Bharati Airtel Ltd, Airtel Center,Plot No.16,UDYOG Vihar, Phase IV, Gurugram -122015.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	The present objections, suggestions and submissions are being filed on behalf of Bharti Airtel Limited in reply to the Public Notice issued by Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission dated 20.09.2024	No comments
2	That this Hon'ble Commission has issued the Public Notice dated 20.09.2024 ('Public notice') inviting objections/submissions on the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for the 5 th Control period i.e. FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 & Tariff proposals for Retail Supply Business for Financial Year 2024-25 proposed by TGSPDCL in O.P.No. 16 of 2024 & I.A.No. 15 of 2024 and TGNDCL in O.P.No. 17 of 2024 & I.A.No. 16 of 2024 submitted to this Hon'ble Commission for consideration	No comments
3	It is submitted that our company Bharti Airtel Ltd. ("Airtel"/"Objector") is a global communications solutions provider with over 491 Mn customers in 17 countries across South Asia and Africa. Airtel is one of the largest players in the Indian Telecom Sector with diversified operations in Telecom Infrastructure, Equipment, Mobile Virtual Network Operators (MVNO), White Space Spectrum, 5G, Telephone Service Providers and Broadband. Airtel ranks amongst the top three mobile operators globally and its network covers over two billion people. Airtel is India's largest integrated communications solutions provider. Airtel's retail portfolio includes high-speed 4G/5G mobile broadband, Airtel Xstream Fiber that promises speeds up to 1 Gbps with convergence across linear and on-demand entertainment, streaming services spanning music and video, digital payments and financial services. For enterprise customers, Airtel offers a gamut of solutions that includes secure connectivity, cloud and data center services, cyber security, IoT, Ad Tech and CPaaS (Airtel IQ).	No comments

4	<p>It is submitted that the Government of India, vide its national telecom Policy, 2012 has prescribed as follows: NATIONAL TELECOM POLICY, 2012</p> <p>"III . OBJECTIVES</p> <p>23. Recognize telecom as Infrastructure Sector to realize true potential of ICT for development."</p>	No comments
5	<p>Therefore, it is clear that vide the abovementioned National telecom Policy, the Government of India has recognized and granted infrastructure status to the telecom service provider industry in the year 2012 to boost the development of telecom infrastructure in India. It is submitted that over the last seven years, the Indian Telecom Tower industry has grown significantly by 65%. The number of mobile towers increased from 400,000 in 2014 to 660,000 in 2021. Similarly, the number of Mobile Base Transceiver Stations have grown rapidly by 187% and increased from 800,000 in 2014 to 2.3 mn in 2021. Rural tele-density jumps from 44% in March 2014 to 58% in October 2022. The telecom sector continues to play a leading role in successful implementation of various Government programs like Digital India, Make in India,. and development of Smart Cities.</p> <p>These programs and initiatives present plethora of opportunities for the telecom sector especially for the telecom infrastructure providers as the telecommunication infrastructure is the bedrock for achieving the vision of Digital India. A copy of the National Telecom Policy, 2012 is filed herewith as Annexure No.I.</p>	No comments
6	<p>It is submitted that pursuant to the above the Advisory Guidelines dated 01.08.2013 issued by the Government of India for various State Government not only reaffirms the recognition and grant of Infrastructure status to Telecom towers but also recommends to the State Governments to accord and extend</p>	No comments

	all benefits available to the Infrastructure industry to the telecom service providers for their towers, including provision of electricity connections. A copy of the Advisory Guidelines of the Dept. of Telecommunication dated 01.08.2013 is filed herewith as Annexure No.2				
7	<p>It is submitted that immediately thereafter, similar recognition was granted to the Telecom Service Providers by the Ministry of Finance, Government of India vide its Ministry of Finance (Department of Economic Affairs) (Infrastructure Section) Notification dated 07.10.2013, wherein it was prescribed that:</p> <p>Updated Harmonised master list of infrastructure sub-sectors</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Communication</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telecommunication (fixed network) • Telecommunication towers • Telecommunication and Telecom service </td> </tr> </table>	4.	Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telecommunication (fixed network) • Telecommunication towers • Telecommunication and Telecom service 	No comments
4.	Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telecommunication (fixed network) • Telecommunication towers • Telecommunication and Telecom service 			
8	In view of the above, it would now be appurtenant to draw the attention of this Hon 'ble Commission to the various applicable policies and legislations at the Central as well as the ones prescribed by the State of Telangana, which unequivocally establishes that the Objector is considered, recognized, and held to be an "Industry"	No comments			
9	<p>9. It is submitted that the telecom services are Essential Services under Section 2(A)(i) of the Essential Services Maintenance Act, 1968.</p> <p>"2.</p> <p>(1) Definitions. In this Act, -</p> <p>(a) " essential service" means-</p> <p>(i) any postal, telegraph or telephone service;</p> <p>. (ii) any railway service or any other transport service for the carriage of</p>	No comments			

	<p>passengers or goods by land, water or air with respect to which Parliament has power to make laws;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · (iii) any service connected with the operation or maintenance of aerodromes, or with the operation, repair or maintenance of aircraft; (iv) any service connected with the loading, unloading, movement or storage of goods in any part; " 	
10	<p>It is submitted that the telecom sector is a public utility service under sections 2(j) read with 2(n) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.</p> <p>"2. Definitions.- In this Act , unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context: --</p> <p>.....</p> <p>(j) 'industry' means any business, trade, undertaking, manufacture, or calling o,(employers and includes any calling, service, employment, handicraft or industrial occupation or avocation of workmen.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>(n) "public utility service" means :-:</p> <p>(i) any railway service 2*[or any transport service for the carriage of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · passengers or goods by air] ; . <p>4*[(ia) any service in, or in connection with the working of, any major port or dock;] (ii) any section of an industrial establishment, on the working of which the safety of the establishment or the workmen employed therein depends;</p> <p>(iii) any postal, telegraph or telephone service;</p> <p>(iv) any industry which supplies power, light or water to the public,· "</p>	No comments

11	<p>Apart from the above , there are numerous judgments of the various High Courts and other judicial bodies in the country that have rendered categorical and unequivocal findings that the telecom services fall within the category of IT/ IT enabled Services. In this regard, reference may be made to the following judgments</p> <p>(I) Syed Asifuddin and Ors. Vs. The State of Andhra Pradesh and Anr., 2005 Cri LJ 4314, High Court of Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>"18. So as to match with the system of the cell phone provider, every cell phone contains a circuit board, which is the brain of the phone. .It is a combination of several computer chips programmed to convert analog to digital and digital to analog conversion and translation of the outgoing audio signals and incoming signals. This is a micro-processor similar to the one generally used in the compact disk of a Desk Top computer . Without the circuit board, cell phone instrument cannot function. Therefore, it is not possible to accept the submission that a cell phone is not a computer. 'Sven by the very definition of the computer and computer network as defined in IT Act, a cell phone is a computer which is programmed to do among others the function of receiving digital audio signals, convert it into analog audio signal and also send analog audio signals in a digital form, externally by wireless technology."</p> <p>(II) Amir Zai Sangin, In re, 1997 SCC Online AAR-IT 5, Authority for Advance Ruling</p> <p>" 17. It is clear from the above that the cellular network is a medium for dissemination through the system of computers and is essentially a computer network. In the light of this information, there can be no doubt that the expression "information technology"; as elaborated in the notification of July 27, 1993 (See [1993/ 203 /TR (St.) 56), also covers the area of cellular networks. For the reasons discussed above, this Authority is of the opinion that the</p>	No comments
----	---	-------------

	applicant fulfils the definition of the expression technician in section 10(5)B)and the answers to the questions raised by him 'should he in the affirmative... "	
12	<p>Furthermore, it is also a matter of record that the High Courts have, vide various judgments also recognized that the entities engaged in the business activities of IT/ IT enabled Services are "Industrial" undertakings. In this regard, reference may be made to the following judgments:</p> <p>(I) Commissioner of Income Tax Vs Shaw Wallace and Co. Ltd, (1993) 201 ITR 17, High Com1 of Calcutta</p> <p>"25. We are, therefore, of the view that the computer division is an industrial undertaking which satisfies the conditions mentioned in section 32A(2)(b)(iii) of the Income-tax Act, 1961. For the reasons aforesaid, the question in this reference is answered in the affirmative and in favour of the assessee. There will be no order as to costs."</p> <p>(II) Commissioner of Income Tax Vs Vinay Kumar Sigtia, (2003) 262 ITR686, High Court of Orissa.</p> <p>"14. Before the Calcutta High Court in CIT v. Shaw Wallace and Co. Ltd., [1993] 201 ITR 17, the question that came up for consideration was whether the business carried on by the assessee in its computer division with the aid of computer system is an industrial undertaking which satisfies the conditions mentioned in section 32A(2)(b) (iii) of the Act. On consideration of a large number of cases, it held that the assessee was an industrial company engaged in the manufacture or processing of goods."Data processing" means the converting of raw data to machine-readable form and its subsequent processing</p>	No comments

	<p>by a computer. "Computer " means one that computes; specifically a programmable electronic device that can store, retrieve and process data.</p> <p>Therefore, there cannot be any doubt that raw data cannot be equated with the result derived. It is different in form and substance.</p> <p>15. The specific case of the opposite party is that it is a small scale industrial unit and on feeding of data into the data processing machine, balance-sheet, profit and loss account, computations, and other statements emerge . In other words, raw data on being fed into the data processing machines, balance-sheets, profit ant/. loss account and other statements are manufactured with distinct name, character or use.</p> <p>16. In view of what has been stated above, we have no hesitation to ,hold that the Tribunal was justified in allowing investment allowance on the opposite party's data processing machines. The question in this reference is, therefore, answered in the affirmative and in favour of the assessee. "</p>	
13	<p>It is submitted that the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity ("Hon'ble APTEL") was seized of Appeal No. 337 of 2016 and the related matters, which were decided vide its judgment dated 12.02.2020. In this case, the Hon'ble APTEL adjudicated upon a batch of appeals challenging the Ld. Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission's ("Ld. MERC") order dated 03.11.2016 in Petition No. 48 of 2016, wherein, the Ld. MERC had, inter alia, reclassified the industries using power for mobile/telecommunication towers, etc., who were being charged under Industrial category since 2004, into Commercial category, unless the same were specifically included in the IT/ITES Policy of the Government of Maharashtra for coverage under the Industrial category. It is submitted that among the issues dealt with by the Hon'ble APTEL, the categorical findings rendered are reproduced hereinbelow for the convenience of this Hon'ble Commission:</p>	No comments

13.15 After careful consideration and analysis of the submissions of both the parties, it transpires that as per the ruling of the State Commission in the impugned order, the telecom towers registered under the State Govt. Policy would be classified as Industry and other telecom towers would be classified as commercial which is contrary to Section 62(3) of the Electricity Act, 2003. The very rationale adopted by the State Commission in granting industrial tariff to mobile/telecom towers was that these services are essential in nature and tantamount to industrial category despite having no manufacturing activities. It is noticed that vide the impugned order, it is noted that all mobile/telecom towers have been put under commercial category but the only criteria for their decision is the registration under the IT/ITES Policy of Govt. of Maharashtra. Resultantly, such pre-requisite condition may put some towers under industrial category and some towers under commercial category which is contrary to the purpose of electricity classification due to the same. Moreover, it has been presented by the Appellants during proceedings that they are registered under the IT/ITES Policy and some sample certificates have also been produced before us. It is thus clear that the discom/MSEDCL is now insisting a separate certificate for each of the thousands old telecom towers of the Appellants to avail the industrial tariff. Further, the fact that the mobile towers and related installments of the Appellants were treated and covered in the definition of IT/ITES under the policy of the Govt. of Maharashtra will also be evident from the registration certificate issued by the Govt. for the said installments of the Appellants right since the year 2004. We have taken note of various judgments relied upon by the parties and the National Telecom Policy, 2012 which provides that telecom services are part and sub-set of the information technologies and hence as industrial units. It is also relevant to note that based on the nature of services, essential services including Telecom services have been recognised as important infrastructure, public utility services, essential services etc. and have been considered under the incentive scheme as far as electricity tariff is concerned. For instance, airports, hospitals, cold storage, LPG/CNG bottling plants etc. have been considered under the industrial tariff which clearly do not

	<p>involve manufacturing activities.”</p> <p>13.16 In view of above facts, we opine that the State Commission has not adequately considered the express provisions of the Electricity Act and various policies of the State/Central Govt. while passing the impugned order and thus violates the statutory provisions.”</p> <p>A copy of the Order dated 12.02.2020, passed by the Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No. 337 of 2016 is filed herewith as Annexure No. 4</p>	
14	<p>Pursuant to the decision of the Hon'ble APTEL, the Ld. MERC, vide its order dated 30.03.2020 in Case no. 322/2019, directed that Industrial Tariff be made applicable to Telecom industries, irrespective of the fact whether they were registered under the IT/ITES Policy of the Government of Maharashtra, or not.</p> <p>Pg no. 489 of 752</p> <p>B.11.5 IT and ITeS Units: Under existing tariff structure, IT and ITeS units having registration certificate under GoM's IT and ITeS Policy are categorised under Industrial Category. The APTEL in its Judgment dated 12 February, 2020 in Appeal No. 337 of 2016 & Others has ruled that tariff categorisation cannot be based on any certification under Policy and it should be based on criteria specified under Section 62(3) of the Act. Accordingly, the Commission has removed the requirement of having certification under GoM Policy for claiming Industrial Tariff for IT and ITeS Units.</p> <p>Pg no. 684-685 of 752</p> <p>HIGH TENSION (HT) TARIFF</p>	No comments

	<p>HT I (A): Industry – General Applicability:</p> <p>This tariff category is applicable for electricity for Industrial use at High Voltage for purposes of manufacturing and processing, including electricity used within such premises for general lighting, heating/cooling, etc.</p> <p>It is also applicable for use of electricity/power supply for Administrative Offices/Canteen, Recreation Hall/Sports Club or facilities/Health Club or facilities/Gymnasium/Swimming Pool exclusively meant for employees of the industry; lifts, water pumps, fire-fighting pumps and equipment, street and common area lighting; Research and Development units, etc.</p> <p>Provided that all such facilities are situated within the same industrial premises and supplied power from the same point of supply.</p> <p>This tariff category shall be applicable for use of electricity/power supply by an Information Technology (IT) or IT-enabled Services (ITeS) Unit as defined in the applicable IT/ITES Policy of Government of Maharashtra.</p> <p>It shall also be applicable for use of electricity/power supply for (but not limited to) the following purposes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Flour Mills, Dal Mills, Rice Mills, Poha Mills, Masala Mills, Saw Mills. 2. Ice Factories, Ice-cream manufacturing units, Milk Processing & Chilling Plants (Dairies). 3. Engineering Workshops, Engineering Goods manufacturing units, Printing Presses, Transformer Repair Workshops, Tyre Remoulding/Retreading units, and Vulcanizing units. 4. Mining, Quarrying and Stone Crushing units. 	
--	---	--

	<p>5. Garment Manufacturing units.</p> <p>6. LPG/CNG bottling plants, etc.</p> <p>7. Sewage Treatment Plant/Common Effluent Treatment Plant for industries, and not covered under the HT – PWW category.</p> <p>8. Start-up power for Generating Plants, i.e., the power required for trial run of a Power Plant during commissioning of the Unit and its auxiliaries, and for its start-up after planned or forced outage (but not for construction).</p> <p>9. Brick Kiln (Bhatti).</p> <p>10. Biotechnology Industries covered under the Biotechnology Policy of Government of Maharashtra.</p> <p>11. Cold Storages not covered under HT – Agriculture (Others).</p> <p>12. Food (including Seafood and meat) Processing units.</p> <p>13. Stand-alone Research and Development units.</p> <p>14. Seed manufacturing.</p> <p>15. Dedicated Water Supply Schemes to Power Plants.</p> <p>16. Auxiliary Power Supply to EHV/Distribution Substations (but not for construction).</p>	
15	<p>In furtherance to the aforementioned order of the MERC, the Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd. vide Circular Order No. 323 dated 03.04.2020 has revised the electricity tariff for telecommunication towers by placing them in the Industrial Category. A copy of the aforementioned Circular is filed herewith as Annexure No.6</p>	No comments

16	<p>It is further submitted that Ld. MERC in its Mid-Term Review Order dated 31.03.2023, for MSEDCL for Truing-up of ARR for FY 2019-20, FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22, Provisional Truing-up of ARR for FY 2022-23 and revised ARR for FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 has revised the electricity tariff for telecommunication towers by categorising them in the LT V: LT-Industry rate schedule. The relevant extract of the order is being outlined below:</p> <p>LT V: LT- Industry. Applicability <i>This tariff category shall also be applicable for use of electricity/power supply by an Information Technology (IT) or IT-enabled Services (ITeS) Unit as defined in the applicable IT/ITeS Policy of Government of Maharashtra...</i></p> <p>A copy of the Ld. MERC order dated 31.03.2023 is filed herewith as Annexure No.7</p>	No comments
17	<p>It is submitted that the Learned Himachal Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission ("Ld. HPERC") vide its Order dated 31.03.2023, in the petition for Fourth Annual Performance Review Order for 4th MYT Control Period (FY20-FY24) & Determination of Tariff for FY24 & True-up of FY22 of 4th MYT Control Period for Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Limited ("HPSEBL") has re-categorised Tele-communication Towers as IT and IT-enabled services to be included within the Industry (General) Tariff Category.</p> <p>The relevant extract of the aforementioned order is being outlined below:</p> <p>"Categorisation of Tele-communication Towers 8.6.21 Bharti Airtel has requested the Commission to categorize telecommunication towers under the Industry (General) Tariff Category, by prescribing a new Sub-category for IT and IT-enabled services. 8.6.22 The Commission has scrutinized the submissions of the Stakeholder and</p>	No comments

	<p>approve inclusion of Tele-communication Towers as IT and IT enabled services to be included within the Industry (General) Tariff Category.”</p> <p>A copy of the order dated 31.03.2023, passed by the Ld. HPERC is filed herewith as Annexure No.8.</p>	
18	<p>It is submitted that the Information Technology, Electronics and Communications (Promotions) Department, Government of Telangana published ICT Policy ('ICT Policy') addressing the current needs of the industry. The Goal of the ICT Policy 2021-2026 is to achieve digital empowerment of every citizen, meaning that every citizen is fully aware of digital opportunities for his needs, and has the wherewithal to access them. A copy of the ICT policy is file herewith as Annexure 9</p>	No comments
19	<p>In the said ICT Policy, the State of Telangana has identified eight Focus Sectors such as Life Sciences and Healthcare, BFSI, Computing Systems, Automotive/Mobility, Semiconductors, Energy, Aerospace & Defence, Retail and Telecom as sectors with great potential to grow and to adopt digital-based solutions at a rapid pace in the next 5 years.</p>	No comments
20	<p>It is pertinent to submit that the Telecom Sector is a foundational pillar and acts as a backbone to the IT Industry. This is because fast internet and leased line connections provided by the Telecom Sector is foundational for smooth functioning and growth of the IT Industry. The ICT Policy as a measure for development of start-up ecosystem also highlights the importance of 5G Cellular networks which is directly dependent on the telecommunication towers has context menu</p>	No comments
21	<p>ICT Policy acknowledges that Hyderabad is one of the first cities in India which has had pilots for 5G communication services done by their telecom partners. That, in future, the State will formulate adequate strategies to enable citizens in both rural and urban locations to use 5G technology. In order to achieve this,</p>	No comments

	the ICT Policy states that 'sufficient relaxations and the Right of Way orders will be provided to ease the entry of telecom service providers.	
22	Further, the ICT Policy expressly states that the State will provide certain incentives in power, certification, subsidies etc to IT and ITeS Companies to support the IT industry. As stated above, since the Telecom Sector is included within the IT Industry, incentives in power, certification etc should also be provided to the telecom sector. Therefore, it is submitted that industrial tariff should be made applicable to the telecom sector.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission
23	It is submitted that our company and several others in the Telecom Sector are incurring huge capital expenditure and operational costs in establishing 5G Cellular network. High costs are being incurred by Airtel to cater to the demand of 5G Cellular networks not only to the IT Industry but also to retail customers in the State of Telangana. One of the main and significant costs is the electricity tariff charged to the telecom towers. Currently, the Telecom towers are charged under the LT II (B) Non-Domestic/Commercial category of tariff. Under the current tariff applicability, the operational costs of telecom towers works out to a substantial amount which makes it difficult for the telecom companies to sustain such infrastructure.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission
24	It is submitted that the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India in its report titled, "Recommendations on Use of Street Furniture for Small Cell and Aerial Fiber Deployment" dated 29.11.2022, after careful examination of the functioning of the telecom sector and after having considered the vital role being played by the telecommunication and broadband service sector in the economic growth of connected areas and the charges currently raised for providing electricity to telecom sites are very high, as such, has also recommended that it is justified that telecom sites should be provided electricity connection at industrial/utility tariffs. Copy of the report dated 29.11.2022 is filed herewith as Annexure No. 10.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission

25	It is submitted that Airtel currently has 10000 telecom towers in the State of Telangana and Electricity Consumption Details for the year 2023-24 are filed herewith as Annexure No. 11.	No comments.
26	It is submitted that additionally, enhanced fiberization is a must for 5G services and to achieve the targets fixed by the National Broadband Mission (NBM) of 50 lakh km fiberization, 70 per cent tower fiberization and 50 megabits per second speed of broadband. This would entail heavy capital expenditure, thus, raising the cost of telecom services, leading to a greater digital divide. According to the industry estimates, almost 30 per cent of the operational expense for the telecom towers goes into electricity tariffs, which works out to be a substantial amount, thus, making it crucial for this Hon'ble Commission to categorise the telecom companies under the industrial category, thereby, lowering the burden on the telecom companies which would indirectly lead to facilitating affordability of telecom services to the end customer.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission
27	<p>It is submitted that the Information Technology, Electronics and Communications (Promotions) Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh vide G.O. MS. No.6 dated 16.07.2021 has notified the "Andhra Pradesh IT Policy 2021-2024" address the current needs of the industry. As per the AP IT Policy 2021 – 2024, the Telecommunications service provider has been defined as:</p> <p>"Telecommunication service provider includes basic telecom service providers (fixed), cellular (mobile) companies, telecom infrastructure companies, Internet Service Providers (ISP) and any other value-added services licensed by Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), Government of India."</p> <p>It is further submitted that the AP IT Policy 2021-2024 has expressly included 'Telecom' within the ambit of IT Industry/IT Projects. Also, that the AP IT Policy 2021-2024 has expressly mandated under Paragraph 8 (vi) that all IT companies operating in Andhra Pradesh shall be eligible for industrial tariff towards their power consumption. As stated above, the AP IT Policy 202-2024 includes the</p>	No comments.

	Telecom Sector within the IT Industry, hence, in light of the same, industrial tariff was made applicable to the telecom sector. A copy of the Andhra Pradesh IT Policy 2021-2024 is filed herewith as Annexure No. 12.	
28	It is submitted that the Government of Maharashtra, as part of their IT/ITES policy notified the applicability of industrial tariff to the telecommunication towers instead of commercial tariff. It is submitted that the Maharashtra State Electricity Regulatory Commission (MSERC) after taking into consideration the IT/ITeS policy of the Government of Maharashtra specifically categorized Telecommunication Towers in the Industry Tariff vide its order dated 30.03.2020 in Case No. 322 of 2019, a copy of which is annexed herein as Annexure No. 5. A copy of the IT/ITeS policy of the Government of Maharashtra is filed herewith as Annexure No. 13.	No comments.
29	It is submitted that the National Tariff Policy, 2016 in clause 8.3 lays down principles for " <i>Tariff Design: Linkage of tariffs to cost of services</i> ". One of the principles specifically states that the tariff should progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and suggests that appropriate commissions should take steps to ensure that the tariffs are within 20% of the average cost of supply of electricity. However, the present tariff in the State of Telangana in the commercial category is far more than the average cost of supply, especially for the telecom industry. It is pertinent to reiterate that the telecom infrastructure companies are incurring huge capital expenditure and operational costs to smoothly transition the 5G technology as per the Prime Minister's Gatishakthi Programme. The high commercial electricity tariffs currently being charged on the telecommunication towers are only adding to the existing difficulties such as low return on investments and poor revenue realization in rural areas. Hence, it is the need of the hour for this Hon'ble Commission to review the tariff under the ICT Policy and categorise telecommunication towers under i.e. LT III (A) tariff category i.e. the Industry (General) Tariff Category. A copy of the National Tariff Policy, 2016 is filed herewith as Annexure No. 14 .	As per the clause 8.3(2) of the National Tariff policy- 2016, the Commission should notify a roadmap such that tariffs are brought within +/- 20% of Average Cost of Supply. The policy only talks about Average Cost of Supply and not about category cost of supply. The licensee would bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Commission that projected Average Cost of Supply for FY 2024-25 for the licensee is higher than approved Average Cost of Supply for FY 2023-24. In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in tariff in light of increase of costs

30	It is submitted that increasing the tariff from INR 70/Kw to INR 150/Kw, as proposed, will pose a challenge to the industry, especially with the rapid increase in network. Any hike in fixed and variable charges will adversely impact the operational costs for this essential service. Hence, we pray to the Hon'ble Commission for reduction in fixed and variable charges, or, at best maintain at the current rate.	In the current tariff structure only 30% of the fixed cost is being recovered through fixed charges. The fixed charges constitute the fixed revenue of DISCOMs and are not reflective of the fixed costs incurred by them. Hence the DISCOMs request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the proposed tariffs. Full recovery of cost incurred by DISCOMs will enable delivery of quality, reliable and uninterrupted power supply to its consumers
31	It is submitted that telecom Industry like all business establishment are in the business of marketing and selling their products and services. It is submitted that singling out Telecom Industry by holding that the telecommunication sector should be categorized as commercial merely because Telecom sector collects tariff from the people for the services provided is discriminatory in nature and not permissible in terms of Section 62 (3) of the Electricity Act.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission
32	It is submitted that this Hon'ble Commission has ample power under Sections 61, 62 and 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 to revise the tariff for telecommunication towers from Commercial Tariff Category to Industrial Tariff Category.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission
33	It is submitted that the above stated policies of the Government of Telangana and the Government of India is to enable growth in the IT Industry, not only to attract investments but also in turn to generate employment and grow the infrastructure. Hence, in light of the same it is requested that this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to consider the present request for change in tariff from Commercial Tariff Category to Industrial Tariff Category.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission

34	It is submitted that if the Telecommunication towers are not placed in the Industrial Tariff Category it will cause undue loss to the telecom sector and will hinder the development and progress of the telecom sector.	Under the purview of Hon'ble Commission
----	--	---

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri Gopinath Injeti, Chief Executive Officer to South Indian Cement Manufactures, Association, Administrative Office, 3rd Floor, 36th Square, Plot No. 481, Road No.36 Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad -500034.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>The TGDISCOMS have submitted petitions for determining the ARR and Wheeling Tariffs for their Distribution Businesses for FY 2024-29, as well as for the ARR of the Retail Supply Businesses for the same period and Tariff Proposals for FY 2024-25. These petitions are filed under the Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulation, 2023 (MYT Regulations 2023).</p>	Noted.
2	<p>According to Regulation 6 of the TSERC (MYT Regulations 2023), Multi-Year Tariff petitions must be filed by November 30 of the year preceding the first year of the Control Period. The pertinent details include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Filing Requirements for Distribution Licensees: • True-up of the preceding year • Aggregate Revenue Requirement for each year of the Control Period <p>Tariff and Charges proposal for each year of the Control Period</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Filing Requirements for Retail Supply Licensees: • True-up of the preceding year • Aggregate Revenue Requirement for each year of the Control Period 	<p>There was a change in regulatory regime because of which DISCOMs needed to collect additional data for preparing the petitions. Moreover, due to the enforcement of model code of conduct, the DISCOMs could not file the petition. The DISCOMs have duly submitted the condonation of delay petition before the Hon'ble Commission stating these reasons</p> <p>The filings of true up application for distribution and retail supply business would be filed separately along with Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2023-24</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projected revenue gap for the first year of the Control Period • Proposal for consumer category-wise retail supply tariff and charges for the first year of the Control Period <p>The regulations stipulate that Multi-Year Tariff petitions for the Control Period starting April 1, 2024, must be filed by January 31, 2024. The current petitions fall short in two critical areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Licensees have not included the True-up application for the previous year (FY 2022-23) for both Distribution and Retail Supply (refer to Regulations 6.2(b)(i) and 6.2(c)(i)) <p>The petitions have been submitted approximately 10 months late (July 2024 and September 2024 for Distribution and Retail Supply respectively), which the Objector believes is unjustifiable. The reasons provided by the Licensees appear to be an attempt to rationalize this significant delay. Due to these non-compliant filings with the MYT Regulations 2023, the submissions from the Petitioner should not be considered.</p>	
3	<p>In the Order dated June 7, 2024, concerning the Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2022-23, the Hon'ble Commission approved</p>	<p>Clause 4.12.1 of order dated 07.06.2024 on Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business states that the adjustment mechanism of the total approved revenue surplus is to be proposed in the end of control period review petition for 4th</p>

	<p>a Revenue Surplus of Rs. 1,736.34 crore for TGSPDCL and Rs. 2,227.42 crore for TGNPDCL. The relevant excerpt states:</p> <p>“4.12 Recovery of Revenue Gap/(Surplus)</p> <p>4.12.1 As per Clause 10.5 of Regulation 04 of 2005 the Commission directs the Applicant to include and propose the adjustment mechanism of the total approved revenue surplus for TGSPDCL for Rs. 1736.34 crore (gap of Rs. 20.54 crore for FY 2019-20, surplus of Rs. 253.05 crore for FY 2020-21, surplus of Rs. 1114.66 crore for FY 2021-22 and surplus of Rs. 389.17 crore for FY 2022-23) and revenue surplus for TGNPDCL for Rs. 2227.42 crore (surplus of Rs. 384.76 crore for FY 2019-20, surplus of Rs. 354.02, surplus of Rs. 634.03 crore and surplus of Rs 854.62 crore) in its end of control period review petition for 4th control period. This Order is corrected and signed on the 7th day of June, 2024.”</p> <p>It is emphasized that the impact of the Revenue Gap related to the True-up from FY 2019-20 to FY 2022-23 should be reflected in the Retail Supply Tariff for FY 2024-25.</p>	<p>Control Period. The last petition for 4th Control period i.e., for FY 2023-24 is yet to be filed by TG Discoms wherein the adjustment mechanism would be provided as per directions given in the aforementioned order.</p>
4	<p>TGSPDCL has projected asset additions totaling Rs. 31,589 crores for the Control Period FY 2024-29, which is considerably higher than the actual Capital Cost capitalized by the Distribution Licensees during the previous control period (FY 2019-24). Such high projections for Capital Cost may adversely affect the financial health of the Distribution Licensees, as failure to capitalize the projected costs would lead to revenue surplus, contradicting the principles of reasonable cost recovery.</p>	<p>This issue is not pertains to TGNPDCL.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri Gurnav Maheshwari, Indian Energy Exchange Limited, Corporate Office, Plot No. – C001/A/1,9th Floor, Max Towers Sector 16B, Noida, UP - 201301.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>The Distribution Licensees of the state of Telangana viz. Southern power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd and Northern Power Distribution Company of TelanganaLtd (hereinafter referred to as 'TGSPDCL and TGNPDCL' or collectively as'Licensees, or'Petitioners'or'Discoms') have filed the present Petitions before the Hon'bleTelangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (hereinafter referred to as'Hon'ble Commission') for determination of Aggregate Revenue Requirement forRetail Supply Business for 5thControl Period i.e., from FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 &Tariff Proposalsfor FY 2024-25 in accordance with the provisions of TSERC TariffRegulations</p>	<p align="center">No comment.</p>
2	<p>IEX is submitting its views and observations on the Tariff Petition filed by the Discoms in subsequent paragraphs</p>	<p align="center">No comment.</p>
3	<p>Restricting CSS to 20% of Average Cost of Supply (ACoS) a The Petitioner in the present proposal has requested the Hon'ble Commission not to restrict the CSS to 20% of tariff payable by the consumer as the tariffs are not within +/- 20% of Average Cost of supply. b. The Ministry of Power has amended the Electricity Rutes, 2005, and notified theElectricity (Amendment) Rutes, 2022 on 29.12.2022, wherein, the Ministry has capped the cross subsidy surcharge on open access at 20% of the Average Costof Supply. Since the tariffs are being set with reference to the ACoS, the Hon'ble Commission is requested to cap the surcharge on open access with reference tothe ACoS, in line with the Electricity (Amendment) Rutes, 2022. Relevant section of the rules is provided below: "13. Surcharge payable by Consumers seeking Open Access- Thesurcharge, determined by the State Commission under clause (a) of subsection(1) of section 86 of the Electricity Act,2003 shall not exceed twentypercent of the average cost of supply." c. In line with the above submission, it is understood that the CSS computed by the</p>	<p>The licensee has determined CSS as per the clause 8.5.1 of National Tariff Policy-2016. The National Tariff Policy-2016 states that CSS shall not exceed 20% of the tariff applicable to the category of consumers seeking open access and licensee has followed the same. Hence CSS has been restricted to 20% of Average Billing Rate (ABR) of that category</p>

	<p>Petitioner should be limited to the 20% of the average cost of supply. The table below shows the CSS claimed and the CSS applicable as per the MoP Electricity (Amendment) Rules, 2022.</p>		
	<p>CSS Claimed</p>	<p>Average Cost of Supply (ACoS) for HT Category</p>	<p>CSS limit of 20% of ACoS</p>
<p>HT Category at 11 kV- HT I Industry segregated</p>	<p>1.95</p>	<p>5.89</p>	<p>1.178</p>
<p>HT Category at 33 kV- HT I Industry segregated</p>	<p>1.68</p>	<p>5.89</p>	<p>1.178</p>
<p>HT Category at 132 kV- HT I Industry segregated</p>	<p>1.58</p>	<p>5.89</p>	<p>1.178</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>In view of the above, we request the Hon'ble Commission to align the capping limit of CSS with the MoP Electricity (Amendment) Rules, 2022</p>	<p>The Commission is requested to approve the CSS restricted to 20% of the ABR for the relevant categories.</p>	

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by M/s. K.K. Protiens Pvt Ltd, Survey No. 90 & 103, Village : Ponnari, Mandal: Tamsi, Dist: Adilabad-504001.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>We would like to bring to your kind knowledge that in the Billing system for industries having solar installation, the months for which the Industry has not worked/operated the billing for units consumed is billed twice (firstly deducted from units exported from solar generation and secondly as monthly minimum). For the month when the Industrial unit is not in production for whatever reason, the main consumption is less than the monthly minimum, and hence the monthly minimum is subtracted from the units generated by solar and exported to the Grid and balance carried forward, and again the monthly minimum is billed. Illustration of our Bill for Sep-24:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CMD: 400 KVA • Consumption main: 2952 units • Monthly minimum: 16000 units • Solar export (KWH): 25356 <p>In the above, units to be billed are calculated as follows and solar carried forward: Solar carried forward: 25356 - 2952 = 22404 C/F</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Units billed: 16000 <p>We request the department to kindly look into it as follows: If the main consumption is less than the monthly minimum, all of the solar export units should be carried forward to the next month. In the above case, all 25356 units be carried forward because 16000 units, which is the monthly minimum, are being billed. The consumption main of 2952 units is included in the monthly minimum. But in the bill, you see 22404 units only are carried forward, and 2952 units are deducted from solar export, and then again, the monthly minimum of 16000 units is billed. Kindly correct the same and request you to allow all 25356 units to be carried forward.</p> <p>Sir, we came to know that on 24/10/24, there is a public hearing at Nizamabad and request you to kindly give us a chance to discuss and explain the matter there. Sir, this is a problem for all small-scale industries, and as you are well aware that industries are going through a very difficult time, we thus request you to kindly look into the matter and decide in favor of the industries.</p>	<p>As per the Regulation No.06 of 2016 issued by the Hon'ble Commission on 16.11.2016 where in it was mentioned under clause No.10.2 (d) that "The net quantum of electricity units carried over to the next Billing period; and Provided that if the quantum of electricity exported exceeds the quantum imported during the Billing Period, the excess quantum shall be carried forward to the next Billing Period as credited Units of electricity and the eligible consumer shall get a monthly minimum bill;</p> <p>Provided further that if the quantum of electricity Units imported by the Eligible Consumer during any Billing Period exceeds the quantum exported, the Distribution Licensee shall raise its invoice for the net electricity consumption after adjusting the credited Units of electricity.</p> <p>As per the above Regulation the excess export units over and above the import units during September'2024 was carry forwarded to October'2024 and monthly minimum bill was issued to the consumer. Hence the bill issued to HT SC No.ADB-185 during September'2024 is genuine and in accordance with the above Regulation.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by Sri Srihari Dukkpati (Fellow – Prayas Energy Group), Unit-III A&B, Devgiri, Joshi Rail Museum Lane, Kothrud, Pune, Maharashtra- 411038.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>Process Suggestions</p> <p><u>Limitation of time</u></p> <p>Eight petitions are to undergo a public regulatory process in a short period of 90 days.1 Petitions were available on the website in mid-September, objections are to be filed by 11/10/2024 and public hearing is proposed in the 4th week of October and the term of the current Honourable Commission ends in end October. The relevant MYT Regulation (2 of 2023) was issued on 31/12/2023 and petitions were to be filed by 31/01/2024. From the available documents, it appears that petitions have been submitted from Jul 2024 onwards, but, after iterations including those with Hon'ble TGERC, the public process has started only in mid-September.</p> <p>Utilities have given reasons for the delay and requested to condone the delay. But it is impossible for the public to provide quality inputs to so many petitions in such a short time. Fresh petitions for FY26 are due on 30/11/2024, which is only 2 months away.</p> <p>We do hope that this is not repeated in future by the DISCOMs and in case of delay, Hon'ble TGERC would initiate Suo-motu tariff revision process, with available data. **license conditions</p>	<p>The decision to initiate Suo-moto tariff revision process lies solely with the Hon'ble Commission</p>

	<p><u>Petitions</u></p> <p>Petitions are expected to be prepared as per the 2023 MYT Regulations and there are some welcome improvements. Utilities have provided spreadsheet files for supply, wires, transmission and GENCO submissions. A few observations based on a quick study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DISCOM RSB & DB formats have 30+ sheets listed, but Form 15 to Form 22 are not available for TGNPDCL • There is difference in the way both DISCOMs have provided data in the ARR/FPT petition. For example, in the performance parameters, TGSP provides consolidated accident data for two years (FY23 and FY24) and gives break up across public, department staff and contract staff. TGNP gives FY23 and FY24 data separately, but does not give such a break-up. TGNP, in response to Directives (pp 96-97 of the supply petition), provides causes of electrical accidents of FY24. TGSP does not provide such data. TGNP DISCOM business petition has Additional information has Annexures, giving details of Smart metering plan for next 5 years. TGSP does not have this. 	<p>No comments</p>
<p>2</p>	<p>ARR and tariff petitions of DISCOMs</p> <p><u>Power purchase – improving the energy mix</u></p> <p>Telangana power mix is heavily based on coal-based generation. Table 1 gives the proposed mix as per the TGERC Resource Plan order (dated 29/12/2023) and Table 2 is a summary based on the current DISCOM supply petitions. It can be seen that there is no proposed plan to increase the proportion of Renewable energy, as is the national trend, to address climate challenges and reduce power purchase cost.</p> <p>Table 1: Supply mix as per Resource Plan order</p>	<p>The amount indicated as the sale of surplus power is net of the power purchase cost and is the difference between the average market rate and the average variable cost of the respective stations. Hence, the value indicated is the revenue of DISCOMs from the sale of surplus power net of power purchase cost.</p>

Source	FY25	FY29
Thermal	78%	79%
Hydro	3%	3%
NCE	19%	18%
Energy MU	96,641	1,03,534

Table 2: Supply mix as per DISCOM petitions

Source	FY25	FY29
Thermal	72%	71%
Hydro	7%	5%
NCE	16%	19%
Market	6%	5%
Energy MU	85,235	1,19,060
Surplus MU	11.1%	-
APPC Rs/U	5.27	5.62

There is a slight reduction in the proportion of thermal (compared to Resource plan), but that could be attributed to the market purchase. As can be seen from Table 2, the Average Power Purchase (APPC) will increase in the coming years, due to coal domination. These are projected costs, and actual cost could be higher. The projected proportion of NCE in FY29 is low at 19% against the MoP's RPPO target of 41.36% in FY29.

The proportion of thermal power would further increase if the proposed 800 MW plant at Ramagundem as a JV between GENCO and SCCL materialises. On the other hand, there have been news reports of TG renewable energy, proposing addition of 20,000 MW of RE capacity in TG by FY30

But as per the petitions, there is expected power surplus in FY25, which is 11% of dispatched energy. Petition also indicates that revenue from surplus sale in FY25 is at Rs. 2.32/Unit, much lower than the APPC. Even though no surplus is indicated in subsequent years, the reason could be the optimistic sales

<p>forecast. Planning for such high amounts of costly base capacity which may not be dispatched, will only add to costs.</p> <p>There is a need to revisit the power purchase plan of DISCOMs, with reasonable sales forecast (as mentioned in the next section). To optimise power purchase cost, it is good to plan for higher proportion of renewable, with required storage for grid balancing. DISCOMs should not enter into long term PPAs with any plants which are not part of an optimised Resource Plan. If needed, the current Resource Plan could be revised through a Regulatory process.</p> <p>TGGENCO also has to play a significant role in energy transition. Their thermal power plants need to become more flexible and they can also plan renewable energy projects.</p>													
<p><u>Sales forecast</u></p> <p>Sales forecast is even higher than the recently finalised Resource Plan order. During the public hearings on Resource Plan, Prayas had submitted that four major categories (LT Domestic, LT Agriculture, HT Industry and HT Commercial) drive sales and had advised close scrutiny of the forecast in these categories. The projections in the petition appear quite optimistic from Table 3, which gives the growth rate adopted for FY25 by TGSPDCL.</p> <p>Table 3: Growth rate considered by TGSPDCL for FY25</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>FY25</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>LT Domestic</td> <td>5.97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LT commercial</td> <td>17.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LT Agriculture</td> <td>1.39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HT 132 kV comml</td> <td>36.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HT 132 Industry</td> <td>30.99</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	FY25	LT Domestic	5.97	LT commercial	17.7	LT Agriculture	1.39	HT 132 kV comml	36.5	HT 132 Industry	30.99	<p>The licensees have almost achieved/exceeded the sales approved in Resource Plan for FY 2024-25 in FY 2023-24 itself. Hence the licensees have not considered sales as per resource plan for projections for 5th Control period. Moreover, the licensees have estimated sales for 5th Control period based on FY 2023-24 actual sales as compared to resource plan projections which are based on FY 2022-23 H1 actuals and H2 projections</p> <p>There is a rise in industrial and commercial activity post COVID and the licensee expects the same trend to continue in the future. Moreover, increase of IT parks/SEZ/Industries/pharma city etc. is anticipated by licensees which results in higher industrial and commercial activities. Hence, Licensees feel it is prudent to consider higher growth rates for Industrial and</p>
Category	FY25												
LT Domestic	5.97												
LT commercial	17.7												
LT Agriculture	1.39												
HT 132 kV comml	36.5												
HT 132 Industry	30.99												

The highlighted growth rates appear quite optimistic. Figures are equally high for subsequent years also. From this petition, annual growth rates of HT Agriculture have been reduced, compared to 10%, that was considered in the Resource Plan order. The category-wise growth rates are not that high for TGNPDCL.

NPDCL Sales: In fact, over the years, proportion of NPDCL appears to be reducing, from 30% in FY18 to 23% in FY29, as seen in Table 4. As per the Resource Plan order, this proportion is 27.5% in FY29.

Table 4: Declining sales proportion of NPDCL

% Sales/Year	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29
TGNPDCL	30	30	32	33	30	28	28	27	26	25	24	23
TGSPDCL	70	70	68	67	70	72	72	73	74	75	76	77
Total MU	50,562	57,538	58,522	57,049	61,154	67,791	74,442	75,895	81,409	89,331	97,932	1,07,291

We request DISCOMs to provide an explanation for this reducing trend of TGNPDCL sales and the deviation from the Resource Plan order.

commercial consumption, given the expected increase in these activities. Any deviation in actual sales as compared to approved sales, which would result in deviation of Power Purchase cost as well as revenue would be adjusted in True-up filings.

Historically LIS sales have been erratic. There has been a reduction in Actual LIS sales for FY 2023-24 as compared to approved sales. The reasons are multifold: Delay in commissioning of projects, Lower than expected Monsoon etc. Hence, the licensee has considered LIS sales projections for FY 2024-25 to be same as FY 2023-24. Any deviation in actual sales as compared to approved sales, which would result in deviation of Power Purchase cost as well as revenue would be adjusted in True-up filings

The sales of SPDCL and NPDCL have been determined independently based on their respective historical actual sales and growth rates and hence the sales pattern of both DISCOMs needs to be looked at independently


As for LT Agriculture, the growth rate considered is lower than what was used in Resource Plan order. But it is reported that consumption in FY24 was very high (30% over FY23 figure for TGSP and 20% for TGNP). Issues about Agriculture estimation have been raised many times, and the Hon'ble TGERC had directed DISCOMs to meter all Agriculture DTs to improve the estimate. DISCOMs have been committing to carry out this, but in the petitions this year, they have clearly indicated that DT metering is not in the agenda. This is clear from the response to TGERC's directive, as shown below.

The discoms proposed to segregate the AGL feeders for better apportioning of AGL consumptions after approval of RDSS scheme of MoP, GoI to reduce the cost of AGL DTR metering.

	<p>New Directive 5:</p> <p><i>“The Commission directs the TGDISCOMs to achieve 100% Agricultural DTR metering within a period of twelve (12) months and to furnish the quarterly progress on the status of implementation in this regard.”</i></p> <p>TGSPDCL Response: <i>“Under RDSS, MoP has laid emphasis on Segregation of Agriculture feeders through which all the agriculture loads will be segregated onto separate feeders. All the feeders in TGSPDCL were already equipped with feeder meters (DLMS). Now that under RDSS, all feeder meters are to be metered with communicable & AMI/AMR meters. If we take up segregation of Agriculture feeders under RDSS, we can assess the agriculture consumption with feeder meter data itself without fixing meters to agriculture DTRs” (Report is submitted to Hon’ble TGERC on 19/8/2023)</i></p> <p>It is unfortunate that the DISCOMs are backing up from the relatively easy task of metering DTs. RDSS proposals will need approval from Gol and feeder separation will take many years to be implemented.</p> <p>As has been requested last year too, Hon’ble TGERC could consider setting up a committee to revise the current methods of estimation of agriculture consumption, since this has a significant bearing on subsidy and DISCOM AT&C losses.</p>	
	<p>Open access: There is another important related point about open access in TG. From the petitions, we gather that there is low or no growth in OA consumption or revenue. Hon’ble TGERC may wish to review the implementation of its Open Access and Green Open Access Regulations in the state. Tracking and reporting of Open Access consumption in the state is important from a DISCOM planning perspective. Going forward, with the</p>	<p>The data made available as additional information to the Hon’ble Commission. The decrease in revenue from OA consumptions is due to exemption of additional surcharge to GEOA consumers passed by the Hon’ble commission in its order.</p>

notification of Green Energy Open Access regulations, reporting of RE and non-RE based sales migration will also be integral, across varying ranges of contracted demand. Separate reporting of RE and non-RE OA, along with their tenure, is already a practice that Maharashtra and Rajasthan ERC follow as part of their tariff formats. Bihar ERC also reports the number of applications received and processed, along with the total quantum of power availed by OA in the year (in MUs). Given these good practices being followed in other states, TS DISCOMs are requested to submit data as per the following suggested format given in Annexure 1

Figure 1: Sales trend of TG DISCOMs



To summarise, sales forecast of DISCOMs appear highly optimistic. The CAGR of total sales in TG in the period FY18-23 was 6% and the CAGR for FY25-29 as

As explained earlier, the licensees have almost achieved/exceeded the sales approved in Resource Plan for FY 2024-25 in FY 2023-24 itself. Hence the licensees have not considered sales as per resource plan for projections for 5th Control period. Moreover, the licensees have estimated sales for 5th Control period based on FY 2023-24 actual sales as compared to resource plan projections which are based on FY 2022-23 H1 actuals and H2 projections. Any deviation in actual sales as compared to approved sales, which would result in deviation of Power Purchase cost as well as revenue would be adjusted in True-up filings

	<p>per the Resource Plan order (issued as recently as December 2023) was close to it, at 5.7%. DISCOM petitions submitted as part of the Resource plan process also had CAGR for FY25-29 as 5.87%. But as per the DISCOM petitions, CAGR for FY25-29 is 9%. Figure 1 plots the sales trends – actuals for FY18-23, Resource Plan order for FY25-29 and DISCOM petition for the same period. There is a need for DISCOMs to rigorously review the sales forecast. We request the Hon'ble TGERC to direct the DISCOMs to undertake this within a specified time and if needed revise the Resource Plan.</p>	
	<p>Tariff proposals</p> <p>Petitions propose upward revision of Fixed Charges (FC) for high domestic (> 300 Units/month), and many other categories. Percentage increase of FC is higher for LT, compared to HT. The basis for such an increase could be provided.</p>	<p>The licensee would bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Commission that projected Average Cost of Supply for FY 2024-25 for the licensee is higher than approved Average Cost of Supply for FY 2023-24. In order to ensure cost recovery, the licensees have proposed to increase in tariff in light of increase of costs.</p> <p>In the current tariff structure only 30% of the fixed cost is being recovered through fixed charges. The fixed charges constitute the fixed revenue of DISCOMs and are not reflective of the fixed costs incurred by them. Hence the DISCOMs request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the proposed tariffs. Full recovery of cost incurred by DISCOMs will enable delivery of quality, reliable and uninterrupted power supply to its consumers</p>
	<p>Tariff proposals</p> <p>Time of the Day tariff</p> <p>DISCOMs have proposed to continue the existing ToD framework, which gives 1 Rs energy charge penalty during eight (supposedly peak) hours (0600-1000,</p>	<p>The Discoms will take up the change in the TOD timings in the ensuing filings to balance the demand and supply.</p>

1800-2200) and 1 Rs energy charge rebate during eight (supposedly non-peak) hours (2200-0600). There is a need to revise this approach, based on a study of the daily and seasonal load and supply patterns to expand the scope and base of ToD. We give some suggestions in the following paragraphs.

A framework for ToD Tariffs was detailed in the recently notified Electricity (Rights of Consumers) Amendment Rules, 2023. The relevant section of these Rules, under the Electricity Act are quoted below:

“(8A) Time of Day Tariff

*The Time of Day tariff for **Commercial and Industrial consumers** having **maximum demand more than ten Kilowatt** shall be made effective from a date not later than **1st April, 2024** and for **other consumers** except agricultural consumers, the Time of Day tariff shall be made effective not later than **1st April, 2025** and a Time of Day tariff shall be made effective immediately after installation of smart meters, for the consumers with smart meters:*

*Provided that, the Time of Day Tariff specified by the State Commission for Commercial and **Industrial consumers** during **peak period** of the day shall not be less than **1.20** times the normal tariff and for other consumers, it shall not be less than 1.10 times the normal tariff:*

*Provided further that, tariff for **solar hours of the day**, specified by the State Commission shall be **atleast twenty percentless** than the normal tariff for that category of consumers:*

*Provided also that the Time of Day Tariff shall be applicable on **energy charge component** of the normal tariff:*

*Provided also that the duration of **peak hours shall not be more than solar***

hours as notified by the State Commission or State Load Despatch Centre.”
(emphasis added)

This framework is reflective of recent changes in demand and supply profiles in states, where low-cost renewable energy (RE), especially solar is available during day-time.

ToD tariffs reflective of demand-supply profiles also assist with cost reflective pricing for DISCOMs. In the long-run, if tariffs are reflective of costs in peak and off-peak periods, ToD tariffs can also help smoothening demand and reducing the requirement for additional investments and capacity.

However, the national framework should ideally be adapted to suit state context, demand supply profiles and existing metering infrastructure.

In this context, the following framework is suggested for Telangana:

- **Applicability:** ToD should be applicable on all HT consumers and LT Commercial and Industrial consumers with load > 20 kW. Within 2 years, ToD tariffs should be levied on all consumers with MD > say 2 kW using smart meters and consumers with RTPV especially net metering.
- **Framework:** Based on trends in Telangana’s demand, net demand (demand minus solar and wind generation), the following slots and rates (expressed as a % of energy charges) are proposed, as shown in Table 5:

Time-Slot	05:00-10:00	10:00-15:00	15:00- 20:00	20:00 -05:00
Rebate		20%		
Surcharge	20%		20%	

In the proposed structure, there is no penalty between 20:00 to 05:00 which

	<p>might also change with increase in demand and increased open access, captive, RTPV and banking requirements over time. Further seasonal variations in tariff are also likely. A seasonal increase in base tariff or a ToD slot-based mark-up based on a detailed study could also be considered.</p> <p>We request Hon'ble TGERC to consider taking up an exercise to revise the current ToD design to suit the interests of the DISCOMs and the consumers.</p>	
	<p><u>Grid support and standby charges</u></p> <p>DISCOMs have proposed introduction of Grid Support Charges (GSC) or Parallel Operation Charge (POC) for all captives – renewable or otherwise, co-located or otherwise. The proposal to calculate based on apportionment of R&M of network is reasonable, with the charge of Rs.19.37/kW/Month amounting to less than 5% of the FC for HT consumers and 15% for LT. Many states have been implementing a similar charge for captive.</p> <p>DISCOMs should indicate the revenue expected from GSC, which we estimate to be quite low as of now. We request Hon'ble TGERC to consider a lower GSC for RE captive plants.</p> <p>DISCOMs have proposed Standby charges for GEOA consumers, as temporary tariff or market price, whichever is higher. While this is reasonable, Hon'ble TGERC may wish to explore other more cost-reflective methods of implementing Standby charge, followed by states like Punjab and Maharashtra. In these states, GEOA consumers pay a commitment charge if they plan to depend on DISCOM, in addition to a high energy charge for use.</p> <p><i>Punjab: Punjab ERC has introduced a commitment charge framework, where OA consumers are required to pay monthly commitment charges (ranging from Rs. 35 to Rs. 60 per kVA, depending on the number of days for which standby</i></p>	<p>Based on the directions of Hon'ble commission on its order on grid support charges the discoms have proposed GSC in accordance with the methodology specified by the Hon'ble Commission in the order</p>

power is availed) regardless of whether standby power is used. For unplanned, standby power, the charge is 1.25 times of the tariff. With day ahead notice, the charge is the same as the tariff.

Maharashtra: Maharashtra ERC employs a four-tier differential standby charge system for captive consumers: i) 25% of the monthly demand charges when standby is not used, ii) 100% of the demand charges when standby is requested but not utilised, iii) 150% of the demand charges if recorded demand exceeds the contracted demand, and iv) 200% of the demand charges if standby is not opted for, but service is still provided.

Quality of supply & service

Performance reports in the DISCOM petition provide some details about quality of supply and service indicators. While these are good, providing spreadsheets for these Tables will ease study and analysis. As we have repeatedly pointed out, what matters is the trend of these parameters over the years, and analysis of the data to arrive at key aspects that affect the consumers.

Accident information is given by both DISCOMs, and as mentioned before, TGSP gives consolidated data for 2 years. We request the DISCOMs to provide data for past 3 years to check if the trend in accidents. Since there have been many directives and efforts by the DISCOMs, there should be gradual reduction of accidents.

TGNPDCL has given cause wise list of human and animal accidents in FY24 (pp 97 of TGNP petition). From a cursory analysis, of the 338 fatal human accidents, it appears that TGNPDCL is responsible for nearly 30%. This needs detailed explanation. Hon'ble TGERC had directed DISCOMs to conduct Root

The data has been provided based on the formats approved by the hon'ble commission.

The abstract of fatal electrical accidents occurred to humans and an animal, during past 4 Financial Years in TGNPDCL is as follows:

Sl. No	Financial Year	No of Human Fatal electrical accidents	No of Animal Fatal electrical accidents	Total(Nos.)
1	2020-21	567	1001	1568
2	2021-22	539	1116	1655
3	2022-23	521	856	1377
4	2023-24	465	791	1256
5	2024-25 Upto Sep-24	225	516	741
Total		2317	4280	6591

From the above table, It may be inferred that number of fatal electrical accidents has come down over a period of past 4

<p>cause analysis of accidents, as per Earlier Directive 8. In their reply, TGSP has stated that: <i>“The report on the root cause analysis of electrical accidents during the second half H2 (i.e.Oct’2023 to Mar’24) of FY-2023-24 and the preventive measures adopted has been submitted to the Hon’ble Commission vide Lr.No.CGM (RAC)/SE (RAC)/ DE (RAC)/ F.C31/ D.No.191/24, Dated: 22.06.2024.”</i></p> <p>We request the Hon’ble TGERC to make such reports of TGSP and TGNP available to the public by publication on website. This would help to get professional and local organisations involved in the efforts of DISCOMs to reduce accidents.</p> <p>From FY21, REC has been publishing Annual Consumer Service Rating (CSR) reports of DISCOMs, with the latest report available for FY23. These reports cover feeder outages, DT failures, Metering, Billing & Collection (MBC) complaints, Fuse out complaints etc. CSR reports are based on detailed inputs provided by the respective DISCOMs. Some DISCOMs have been uploading these detailed inputs on their websites. For example, APCPDCL website has spreadsheet versions of these inputs circle-wise & month-wise, such as Fuse out call details with summary, urban, industrial & rural feeder outage with hours of supply/interruptions/ SAIFI/SAIDI calculation, MBC complaint data etc. We request Hon’ble TGERC to direct the TG DISCOMs to provide similar information on their websites. Tracking such granular data over the years is important to ensure that there is ensure improvement of consumer quality of supply & service.</p> <p>Since there are many complaints regarding quality of supply and service, Hon’ble TGERC could consider revising the SoP Regulations of 2016. Considering the advances in metering and information technology, it is now possible to monitor violations of standards in a more granular fashion. There</p>	<p>years. This is achieved by taking up following measures by TGNPDCL.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) TGNPDCL has appointed Divisional Engineer/Technical of circles as Divisional Engineer/ Technical and Nodal safety officer to educate the employees to reduce the possibility departmental fatal/non-fatal accidents to our staff, unmanned workers and unauthorized personnel during execution of works in the field and directed to implement safety measures strictly to avoid electrical accidents and make zero accident in our TGNPDCL (ii) Conducting meeting with agricultural consumers in their fields in ‘Polambata’ by field Engineers and creating awareness among them to follow safety rules while operating with switch boards, starters of motors (iii) Conducting safety awareness programs with consumers in villages, raising height of distribution transformers where and explaining about causes of electrical accidents like substandard electrical house wiring, low quality domestic appliances, not providing earthing at houses and switch boards, (iv) Distribution of pamphlets to consumers at more accidents prone areas. (v) Conducting awareness programs in schools and Colleges in Mahabubabad Circle with PPTs. Similar action is being carried out in other circles also. (vi) Conducting PEP talk with O&M staff by Officers, AE/OP, ADE/OP, DE/OP and DE/Technical’s. (vii) All the O&M staff are provided appropriate “PPE” (Personnel Protective Equipment) i.e., Hard Hat, Gloves, Portable earthing short circuiting kits, safety shoes, insulated tools, Voltage detector, etc..
--	---

	<p>should be efforts to tighten the performance benchmarks, based on analysis of SoP reports submitted by DISCOMs, especially since a portion of RoE is now linked to performance.</p>	<p>As per the directions of the Hon'ble Commission, the DISCOMs are paying the ex-gratia to the victims, however the Commission has disallowed such expenses in the DISCOM filings.</p> <p>TG Discoms have been communicating the SOP reports to the Hon'ble Commission as per the schedules and the same are made available in the Hon'ble Commission's website.</p> <p>The information has been provided to the Hon'ble Commission as per the formats prescribed by the Hon'ble Commission</p>
3	<p>MYT Petitions on Discom Network Business</p> <p>DISCOM has proposed uniform wheeling charge of Rs 440/kVA/month for 33 kV, 11 kV and LT. This is as opposed to voltage based wheeling charge and loss in the previous MYT order. In Section 5 of the petition on Rationale of Wheeling charges, DISCOM has argued that Distributed RE (DRE), storage and wind-solar hybrid would increase network losses, due to reverse power flow. From the Additional information (Replies to TGERC queries), DISCOMs have not given any computation on the extent of reverse power flow and resultant increase in network losses. Conventional wisdom on DRE is that they help to reduce network losses, since they are located closer to consumption points. Are the DISCOMs expecting significant DRE installations, with capacity exceeding contracted load? Are distributed storage facilities being planned, which may increase reverse power flow? As per Net metering regulations, RTS is permitted only to some extent of loading of the DTR (50% to maximum 100%). Therefore, it is not clear how the duration and current would increase normal flows to increase losses. We request the DISCOMs to provide detailed calculations on this. Transmission is an interconnected grid and there is some logic in having similar wheeling charges for all voltages. It is not clear how the</p>	<p>Government of India has a vision of increasing the share of RE to 50% of its total power capacity by 2030. As a result of which there is going to be increase in RE uptake from technologies such as solar and Wind. Further, this is also likely to improve the share of distributed RE generation where consumers would become prosumers. Distributed RE projects that are going to be grid connected would be injecting power into the grid. It is to noted that as more and more households would be turning into prosumers, power flow would take place from LT side to higher voltage side during times of lower demand. As losses on the LT side are higher as compared to losses on the HT side, therefore it will lead higher losses for the Discoms as more generation start taking place on the LT side of the network. Further, ISTS network, STU network and the discom network are connected to each otherhence the same logic that applies to Transmission network may also be extended to distribution network as India's grid is unified.</p> <p>The distribution licensee will take the steps to measure the</p>

	<p>same logic is being applied for distribution network.</p>	<p>actual quantum of reverse power and will be made available in the next filings depending on where the distributed generations are getting added on/ point of injection.</p>																																								
	<p>Capex plans in the petitions of TGSP and TGNP mention the proposed plan to install smart meters for all non-agriculture consumers in the next five years. 42% of the total 28,402 Cr total capex of TGSP from FY25-29 and 30% of the Rs 11,816 Cr total capex of TGNP are earmarked for smart meters. Additional information provided by TGNP gives some details of the smart meter roll out plan, as indicated in Table 6.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Type of Meter</th> <th>Unit Rate Rs</th> <th>Qty Nos</th> <th>Cost Rs Cr</th> <th>% of cost</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sph whole current Smart Meter - Consumer Meter</td> <td>6,000</td> <td>55,50,500</td> <td>3,330</td> <td>94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3ph whole current smart Meter - Consumer Meter</td> <td>6,000</td> <td>1,27,496</td> <td>76</td> <td>2.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3Ph LT -CT operated Smart Meter - Consumer Meter</td> <td>6,000</td> <td>19,917</td> <td>12</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3Ph LT -CT operated Smart Meter - DT Meter</td> <td>23,000</td> <td>39,366</td> <td>91</td> <td>2.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3Ph CT/PT operated Smart Meter - Boundary Meter</td> <td>42,000</td> <td>152</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3Ph CT/PT operated Smart Meter feeder Meter</td> <td>42,000</td> <td>11,926</td> <td>50</td> <td>1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td></td> <td>57,49,357</td> <td>3,560</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>It is clear that the investment is significant and the Gol financial support for smart meter is low. From Table 5, it is also clear that 94% of the cost is for single phase consumer meter. The petition does not provide any cost benefit analysis of this investment, which would have to be made by the DISCOMs, hence borne by the State or consumers. The cost for DT metering is quite low, and it is surprising why the DISCOM is not ready to take it up, without waiting for RDSS project approval. We request response to the following questions:</p>	Type of Meter	Unit Rate Rs	Qty Nos	Cost Rs Cr	% of cost	Sph whole current Smart Meter - Consumer Meter	6,000	55,50,500	3,330	94	3ph whole current smart Meter - Consumer Meter	6,000	1,27,496	76	2.1	3Ph LT -CT operated Smart Meter - Consumer Meter	6,000	19,917	12	0	3Ph LT -CT operated Smart Meter - DT Meter	23,000	39,366	91	2.5	3Ph CT/PT operated Smart Meter - Boundary Meter	42,000	152	1	0.0	3Ph CT/PT operated Smart Meter feeder Meter	42,000	11,926	50	1.4	Total		57,49,357	3,560		<p>Installation of smart meters / replacement of existing energy meters with smart meters is a measure that will bring in more efficiency in Discoms' billing and collection process. This will ultimately lead to better service delivery by Discoms and ultimately the benefit for the same will be passed on to the consumers. As smart meters installation is a country wide exercise being done basis guidelines / policy measures by Ministry of Power, Government of India, it is apt that TGDiscoms budget the capital expenditure that would be incurred for smart meters installation for its consumers.</p>
Type of Meter	Unit Rate Rs	Qty Nos	Cost Rs Cr	% of cost																																						
Sph whole current Smart Meter - Consumer Meter	6,000	55,50,500	3,330	94																																						
3ph whole current smart Meter - Consumer Meter	6,000	1,27,496	76	2.1																																						
3Ph LT -CT operated Smart Meter - Consumer Meter	6,000	19,917	12	0																																						
3Ph LT -CT operated Smart Meter - DT Meter	23,000	39,366	91	2.5																																						
3Ph CT/PT operated Smart Meter - Boundary Meter	42,000	152	1	0.0																																						
3Ph CT/PT operated Smart Meter feeder Meter	42,000	11,926	50	1.4																																						
Total		57,49,357	3,560																																							

	<p>a) Is the proposal for Smart metering approved by the Hon'ble TGERC? If so, what is the roll-out plan?</p> <p>b) Is the costing under Capex/ Totex? If Totex, what is the framework for cost-passthrough, prudence check and performance evaluation?</p> <p>c) Have the DISCOMs provided a cost benefit analysis of the Smart metering proposal? If so, request to share the studies.</p> <p>d) Has an Advanced Metering Infrastructure Service Provider (AMISP) been appointed? If so, can the contract along with the Service Level Agreement (SLAs) be shared?</p>	
--	--	--

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్టీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై కొందేల సారా రెడ్డి , నిజామాబాదు గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాధానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాధానాలు
1.	తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్ర విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ మండలి భవనాన్ని నిర్మించి మీ సేవలు విస్తృతం చేయడానికి మీరుస్వంత భవనం లో స్థిరమైనందుకు మిమ్మల్ని అభినందిస్తున్నాము.	—
2.	ఈ భవనము కోసం స్థలం ఇచ్చిన జెన్నోను, సహకరించిన GHMC, బలంగా సుందరంగా నిర్మించిన కాంట్రాక్టర్లు కు కృతజ్ఞతలు.	—
3.	ERC లో నూతనం గా నియమించబడ్డ సిబ్బందికి శుభాభినందనలు తెలుపుతూ సత్వరమే సేవలు అందించుటకు ప్రక్షితులుగా కావాలని కోరుతున్నాము.	—
4.	ఆర్టిజన్ ఉద్యోగుల జీతభత్యాలను పెంచాలి. సీనియర్ ఉద్యోగస్తులకు ఉన్న జీతభత్యాలపై చరమ (సీలింగ్) అవధి ఉండాలి.	వేతన సవరణ చర్చల కమిటీ'2022 నివేదిక ప్రకారం మరియు తెలంగాణ పవర్ యుటిలిటీల యాజమాన్యాలు, తెలంగాణ పవర్ యుటిలిటీలలో ప్రాతినిధ్యం వహిస్తున్న యూనియన్ల మధ్య కుదిరిన సెటిల్మెంట్ మెమోరాండం ప్రకారం హైదరాబాద్, కార్మిక జాయింట్ కమిషనర్ (జంట నగరాలు) పారిశ్రామిక వివాదాల చట్టం, 1947 మరియు తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్ర పారిశ్రామిక వివాదాల నియమాలు, 1958

		యొక్క నిబంధనల ప్రకారం ఉద్యోగులు మరియు చేతివృత్తుల వారి జీతాలు మరియు అలవెన్సులు పెంచబడ్డాయి
5.	రైతులు నూతన (ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు) కనెక్షన్ కోసం డిడిలు తీసినప్పుడు రూపంలో వేలు లక్షలు కట్టవలసిందిగా నోటీసులు పంపుతున్నారు. దానివల్ల రైతులకు వ్యవసాయ రంగంలో తొలి అప్పు విద్యుత్ కోసమే అవుతుంది. సామగ్రి కూలీల వ్యయం పెరిగినందువల్ల రైతులు చెల్లించే డిడి కి రెట్టింపు చేసిననీసము మూడు LT పోల్ల దూరానికి అదనపు ఛార్జీలు లేకుండా కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వాలి.	డిపార్ట్మెంట్ నిబంధనల ప్రకారం ఈ క్రింది విధంగా అంచనా వ్యయం మించి ఉంటే వినియోగదారుడు ORC రూపంలో ఛార్జీలను చెల్లించాలి: i) అంచనా లో HT లైన్, LT లైన్ & DTR ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.70,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది. ii) అంచనా లో LT లైన్ మాత్రమే ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.45,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది. పై సూచనలు అన్ని SE/OP లకు Memo.No. CGM©/GM©/ADE©/D.No.676, Dt.01-11-2016 ద్వారా జారీ చేయబడ్డాయి & దాని కాపీ ఇక్కడ జతచేయబడింది.
6.	DTR దిమ్మెలు రైతులే నిర్మించుకుంటున్నారు దానిని ఎస్టిమేషన్ లో నుండి తీసివేయాలి. దిమ్మె కోసం కేటాయించిన డబ్బులు అవసరమైనచో ఇతర సామగ్రి కోసం ఉపయోగించాలి.	DTR దిమ్మెలు డిపార్ట్మెంటు ద్వారా నిర్మించడం జరుగుచున్నది.
7.	ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ నుండి రైతు కనెక్షన్ వరకు గల ప్రక్రియలో మేము ఏమి చేయాలి? డిస్కం ఏం చేస్తుంది?.	వ్యవసాయ సర్వీస్ లను విడుదల చేసే విధానం: i. వినియోగదారుడు మీ-సేవ/NPDCL పోర్టల్లో వారికి అవసరమైన లోడ్కు అవసరమైన ఛార్జీలను చెల్లించి దరఖాస్తులను నమోదు

		<p>చేసుకోవాలి.</p> <p>ii. మీ-సేవ/NPDCL పోర్టల్లో దరఖాస్తును నమోదు చేసిన తర్వాత, దరఖాస్తుతోపాటు పత్రాలు సంబంధిత అసిస్టెంట్ డివిజనల్ ఇంజనీర్ లాగిన్ కి పరిశీలన మరియు సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్ కోసం పంపబడతాయి.</p> <p>iii. అసిస్టెంట్ డివిజనల్ ఇంజనీర్/ఆపరేషన్ అదే దరఖాస్తు ను సంబంధిత సెక్షన్ ఆఫీస్ లాగిన్లకు పత్రాలతో పాటు పంపిస్తారు.</p> <p>iv. సెక్షన్ ఆఫీసర్ సైట్ లొకేషన్తో పాటు ఆధార్ కార్డ్, పట్టా పాస్ బుక్, సి-ఫారమ్ మొదలైన అన్ని పత్రాలను ధృవీకరిస్తారు.</p> <p>v. వినియోగదారుకు ఎటువంటి మౌలిక సదుపాయాలు అవసరం లేకుంటే, సక్రమంగా సర్వీస్ నంబర్ను కేటాయించి కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వబడుతుంది మరియు EBSలో అప్లోడ్ చేయడానికి నెలవారీ సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్ రిలీజ్ రిటర్న్ లో EROకి పంపబడుతుంది.</p> <p>vi. వినియోగదారునికి మౌలిక సదుపాయాలు (LT లైన్, 11KV లైన్ & DTR మొదలైనవి) అవసరమైతే, ఈ అప్లికేషన్ ఫస్ట్ ఇన్ ఫస్ట్ అవుట్లో అంచనాను రూపొందించడానికి SAPకి పోస్ట్ చేయబడుతుంది (అంటే, సెక్షన్ స్థాయిలో సీనియారిటీ జాబితాలో జోడించబడుతుంది).</p> <p>vii. సీనియారిటీ జాబితా ప్రకారం అంచనా డబ్ల్యుబిఎస్ నంబర్</p>
--	--	---

		<p>స్పష్టించబడుతుంది మరియు కాంపిటెంట్ అథారిటీల ద్వారా మంజూరు కోసం పంపబడుతుంది.</p> <p>viii. అధికార ప్రతినిధి బృందం ప్రకారం అంచనా మంజూరు చేయబడుతుంది మరియు అంచనా వ్యయం మించి ఉంటే ORC చెల్లింపు కోసం డిమాండ్ నోటీసు వినియోగదారునికి జారీ చేయబడుతుంది.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ అంచనా లో HT లైన్, LT లైన్ & DTR ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.70,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది. ➤ అంచనా లో LT లైన్ మాత్రమే ఉంటే, వ్యయం ప్రతి సర్వీస్ కు రూ.45,000/- TGNPDCL భరిస్తుంది. <p>ix. అంచనాను మంజూరు చేసిన తర్వాత, పద్ధతి ప్రకారం కాంట్రాక్టర్ ఒప్పందాన్ని తీసుకోవటం జరుగుతుంది.</p> <p>x. డివిజన్ కార్యాలయం నుండి ఒప్పందాన్ని అందించిన తర్వాత AE/ఆపరేషన్ ద్వారా వర్క్ ఆర్డర్ తీసుకోబడుతుంది.</p> <p>xi. వర్క్ ఆర్డర్ను విడుదల చేసిన తర్వాత, స్టోర్ల నుండి మెటీరియల్ డ్రా చేయబడుతుంది మరియు పని అమలు చేయబడుతుంది.</p> <p>xii. వినియోగదారుడు వ్యవసాయ మోటార్ సామర్థ్యానికి సరిపడు కెపాసిటర్ ను అమర్చుకోవాలి.</p> <p>xiii. తర్వాత సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్కు నంబర్ను కేటాయించి, EBSలో అప్లోడ్</p>
--	--	--

		చేయడానికి నెలవారీ సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్ రిలీజ్ రిటర్న్ లో EROకి పంపబడుతుంది.
8.	Toll Free నెంబర్లు 1800 425 3600, 1800 425 0028 లను పునరుద్ధరించాలి. Toll Free నెంబర్లు క్రియాత్మకం (active) చేస్తూ ప్రచారం చేయాలి. ప్రతి ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ గడ్డె పై ప్రధాన కూడల్లో ప్రజలు రద్దీగా ఉండే చోట్లలో టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్లు రాయాలి. టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్ కు ఫోన్ చేస్తే మీ AE గారికి చెప్పారా అని అడుగుతున్నారు.కాబట్టి ఇలా ఆడగకుండా బాధ్యతను వారికి అర్థం చేయించండి. విద్యుత్తుకు సంబంధించిన ప్రతి కంప్లైంట్ టోల్ ఫ్రీ ద్వారానే జరిగే విధంగా చర్యలు చేపట్టి పని పూర్తి అయ్యేవరకు పర్యవేక్షణ చేస్తూ సంబంధిత అధికారులను సమాయత్తం చేయాలి.	<p>1. టోల్ ఫ్రీ నంబర్స్ 1800 425 0028 & 1912 వాడకంలో ఉన్నాయి.</p> <p>2. అన్ని విద్యుత్ కార్యాలయాల్లో , సబ్ స్టేషన్ లలో , గ్రామ పంచాయతీ కార్యాలయాల వద్ద మరియు ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ల గడ్డెలపై టోల్ ఫ్రీ నంబర్లు వ్రాయబడ్డాయి.</p> <p>3. వినియోగదారుడు టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్ కు ఫోన్ చేస్తే కాల్ సెంటర్ ఏజెంట్స్ తన ఫిర్యాదు నమోదు చేసుకొని ఆ సమాచారాన్ని సంబంధిత అధికారికి తెలియచేస్తారు.</p> <p>4. విద్యుత్తుకు సంబంధించిన ప్రతి ఫిర్యాదు టోల్ ఫ్రీ నెంబర్ ద్వారా నమోదు చేసుకొని అది పూర్తి అయ్యే వరకు సంబంధిత అధికారులతో విచారణ చేయడం జరుగుతుంది.</p> <p>5. వినియోగదారుల ఫిర్యాదులను ఇబ్బంది లేకుండా పరిష్కరించేందుకు, TGNPDCL అదనంగా 15 మంది సిబ్బందిని CCC సెంటర్లో పని చేయడానికి కేటాయించింది.</p> <p>6. CCC సిబ్బంది, క్షేత్రస్థాయి సిబ్బంది సమన్వయంతో వినియోగదారుల ఫిర్యాదులను సమర్థవంతంగా పరిష్కరించటం జరుగుతుంది.</p>
9.	మొబైల్ అప్లికేషన్ App ద్వారా ఏ విధంగా సమస్యలను పరిష్కరించుకోవచ్చో విరివిగా ప్రచారం చేయాలి.TGSPDCL లో కూడా Mobile App ను ప్రవేశపెట్టాలి.	వినియోగదారుల ఫిర్యాదులను నమోదు చేయడానికి TGNPDCL మొబైల్ యాప్ ను అభివృద్ధి చేసింది. ఈ యాప్ ను గూగుల్ ప్లే స్టోర్ నుండి డౌన్లోడ్ చేసుకోవచ్చు.

<p>10.</p>	<p>ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు, స్తంభాలు మరియు ఇతర సామగ్రి రైతుల ద్వారా ట్రాక్టర్లలో తరలింప చేస్తున్నారు. ఈ పని చేస్తూ ఎందరో ప్రాణాలు పోగొట్టుకుంటున్నారు. కాంట్రాక్టర్ల ద్వారా విద్యుత్ సిబ్బంది ద్వారా జరగవలసిన పనులను రైతులతో చేయించి, రైతుల మరణానికి కారకులైన వారు హంతకులే రైతుల యొక్క అవసరాలు, అమాయకత్వాన్ని వాడుకొని ప్రమాదాలకు గురిచేసి హత్య చేస్తున్నారు. ఫీజులు పోయినప్పుడు సకాలంలో సిబ్బంది స్పందించ నందువల్లపంట నష్టం జరుగుతుందనే ఆవేదనతో ఫీజుల మరమ్మత్తు చేస్తూ ప్రాణాలు పోగొట్టుకుంటున్న వారివి కూడా హత్యలే. వీటిని రైతుల యొక్క తొందరపాటు మరణాలుగా భావించటం కడు సోచనీయం. దానిని నివారించాలి పోల్ల రవాణా చేయించే అధికారులపై కాంట్రాక్టర్ల పై క్రిమినల్ కేసులు పెట్టాలి. ఆపరేషన్ సిబ్బందికి బిల్ కలెక్షన్ బాధ్యతలు అప్పగించి పని భారం పెంచరాదు.</p>	<p>TGNPDCL సిబ్బంది మాత్రమే లైన్లు మరియు డిటీఆర్ల మరమ్మత్తుల కోసం పనిని చేపడతారు కాని రైతులు చేయకూడదు అనే ఈ సందేశం అన్ని రకాల సమాచార మాధ్యమాల ద్వారా విస్తృతంగా ప్రచారం చేయబడుచున్నది.</p> <p>పోల్స్, డిటీఆర్లను డిపార్ట్మెంట్ మాత్రమే రవాణా చేస్తోంది.</p> <p>ఓ&ఎం సిబ్బందికి నిర్దేశిత నిబంధనల ప్రకారం మాత్రమే పనులు అప్పగిస్తున్నారు.</p>
<p>11.</p>	<p>రైతుల ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు కాలిపోయినప్పుడు విద్యుత్ శాఖ వారే తమ వాహనాలలో తెచ్చి బిగించాలి. ఎట్టి పరిస్థితులలో కూడా రైతులను ముట్టుకోనియ్య రాదు. 60 శాతం DTRలు రవాణా చేసామని ARR(NPDCL ARR Page No 93) లో తెలిపారు. ఇవి అన్నీ పట్టణ - నగర ప్రాంతాలవే, గ్రామీణDTRలు రవాణా చేయటం లేదు.</p>	<p>చిన్న సామర్థ్యం గల 10/15KVA, 16KVA మరియు 25KVA DTR ల రవాణా కొరకు 2 MT పికప్ వ్యాన్లు ఉపయోగించబడుతున్నాయి మరియు అధిక సామర్థ్యం గల DTRలు అంటే 63KVA మరియు 100 KVA DTRలు రవాణా కొరకు 3 MT పికప్ వ్యాన్లు ఉపయోగించబడుతున్నాయి.</p>
<p>12.</p>	<p>లూజు లైన్లను సరి చేస్తూ కాలం చెల్లిన పాత సామగ్రిని తొలగించి కొత్తవి బిగించాలి.</p>	<p>ఇది నిరంతర ప్రక్రియ మరియు డిపార్ట్మెంట్ ఈ పనిని క్రమం తప్పకుండా నిర్వహిస్తుంది. ఇటీవల 35641 లొకేషన్లలో లూజ్ లైన్లు సరిచేయబడినవి</p>

		మరియు గుర్తించబడిన ప్రమదాహిత పరికరాలు రిఫ్లెక్స్ మెంట్ చేయబడ్డాయి.
13.	వినియోగదారునికి కేటగిరి ల విషయంలో అవగాహన కల్పించాలి. అవగాహన కలిపించకుండానే కేసులు పెడుతున్నారు. ఉదా: CHAP CUTTAR లు, గో సంపదకు నీరు తాగించటము.	<p>గౌరవనీయులైన TGERC ఆదేశాల మేరకు మాత్రమే టారిఫ్ వర్గీకరణ జరుగుతోంది. పక్కాగా అమలు చేయాలని క్షేత్రస్థాయి సిబ్బందికి ఆదేశాలు జారీ చేయటం జరిగినది.</p> <p>DPE సిబ్బంది తనిఖీ సమయంలో, సరఫరా యొక్క అనధికారిక వినియోగం గుర్తించబడితే, సర్వీస్ యొక్క వాస్తవ కేటగిరి కి సంబంధించి వినియోగదారులకు సరైన అవగాహన కలిపించటం జరుగుచున్నది. ఇంకా, గృహ జ్యోతి స్కీమ్లో ప్రయోజనాలను పొందడం కోసం, కొత్త మీటర్ సర్వీస్ కనెక్షన్లను తీసుకోవడం కోసం, వినియోగదారులకు అవగాహన కల్పించడం కోసం DPE వింగ్ ద్వారా అవగాహన కార్యక్రమాలు నిర్వహించబడుచున్నవి.</p>
14.	అత్యంత ఎక్కువ ఉన్న టారిఫ్ అడ్వర్టైజ్మెంట్ హోల్డింగ్స్ (Shops) వ్యాపార స్థలాలు దుకాణాల టారిఫ్ లు వేరు. వినియోగదారుల నుంచి అత్యధిక ధరలు వసూలు చేసే విమానాశ్రయాలు వారికి అత్యధిక టారిఫ్ గల హోల్డింగు లు ప్రదర్శించే వారు అనుమతి లేకుండా ఇతర కేటగిరీలలో వాడుకుంటున్నారు ఇలాంటి వారిని విడిచిపెట్టి చాప్ కట్టర్ (గడ్డిని ముక్కలు చేసే యంత్రం) ఉపయోగించే రైతులపై కేసులు బుక్ చేయడం విచారకరం. Airport కేటగిరీని వేరు చేయాలి. Airport లోని హోటల్స్ షాప్స్ హోల్డింగ్స్ కేటగిరీని వేరు చేయాలి. బస్టాండ్ రైల్వే స్టేషన్ల సేవలు వేరు చేయాలి. Airport సేవలు వేరు	<p>DPE సిబ్బంది తనిఖీ సమయంలో, సరఫరా యొక్క అనధికారిక వినియోగం గుర్తించబడితే, సర్వీస్ యొక్క వాస్తవ కేటగిరి కి సంబంధించి వినియోగదారులకు సరైన అవగాహన కలిపించటం జరుగుచున్నది మరియు అనుమతులు లేకుండా ఇతర కేటగిరీలలో వాడే వినియోగదారులు ఎవరైనా వారి పై కేసులు (Malpractice) బుక్ చేయడం జరుగుచున్నది.</p> <p>ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2024 - 25 సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు ధరల ప్రతిపాదన లో HT-III కేటగిరి ధరలను , HT-II కేటగిరి ధరలతో సమానంగా</p>

	చేయాలి.	ప్రతిపాదించడం జరిగినది.
15.	టారిఫ్ లవారీగా కేటగిరీలు అన్ని డిస్కం ఆఫీసులలో ప్రదర్శన చేయాలి. ప్రచారం కూడా చేయాలి.	టారిఫ్ ఆర్డర్లు TGNPDCL వెబ్‌సైట్‌లో ఉంచబడినవి మరియు విద్యుత్ బిల్లు వెనుక భాగంలో టారిఫ్ ల వారిగా కేటగిరీలు తెలియచేయటం జరుగుచున్నది.
16.	కనెక్షన్ రిలీజ్ చేసిన తరువాత లిఖితపూర్వకంగా లేఖ ఇవ్వాలి. అంచనా (ఎస్టిమేట్) కాపీలో ఉన్న సామగ్రి, మరియు డ్రా చేసిన సామగ్రి, వాడిన సామగ్రి వేరువేరు గా ఉంటున్నాయి. వినియోగదారుడికి వాడిన సామగ్రి యొక్క వివరాలతో పాటు release లెటర్ ఇవ్వాలి.	<p>అంచనా వ్యయం మంజూరు అయిన తరువాత అందులో పొందు పరచిన సామగ్రి అనగా అవసరమైన స్తంభాలు, DTR వివరాలు వినియోగదారులకి SMS రూపం లో వస్తుంది.</p> <p>స్టోర్ నుండి సామగ్రి తీసుకున్నాక వాటికి సంబంధించిన వివరాలు SMS ద్వారా తెలియ చేయటం జరుగుచున్నది.</p> <p>TG-IPASS & Non TG-IPASS ధరఖాస్తుల రిలీజ్ సర్టిఫికేట్స్ వెబ్ సైట్ లో పొందుపరచటం జరుగుతుంది, వినియోగదారులు వెబ్ సైట్ నుండి వాటిని సేకరించవచ్చు.</p>
17.	CGRF వారి పర్యటనలు పెంచాలి, ముందస్తు ప్రచారం జరగాలి.	<p>i) CGRF ఫోరమ్ తెలంగాణ స్టేట్ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ యొక్క 2015 రెగ్యులేషన్ నంబర్ 03లోని క్లాజ్ 2.3లో పేర్కొన్న విధంగా స్థానిక కోర్టులను నిర్వహిస్తోంది.</p> <p>ii) ప్రచారానికి సంబంధించి, స్థానిక కోర్టులను నిర్వహించే సెక్షన్ లను ముందుగానే గర్హించి, దిన పత్రికలలో ముందస్తుగా తేదీలను ప్రకటించటం జరుగుచున్నది.10</p>

18.	CGRF ఆర్డర్ల అమలు కొరకు డిస్కాంట్ల ప్రత్యేక వ్యవస్థ ను ఏర్పాటు చేసి, పని పూర్తి అయిన తరువాత వినియోగ దారుడితో తప్పక దృవీకరించు కోవాలి.	CGRF ఆదేశాలను ఎప్పటికప్పుడు DISCOM లోని వ్యవస్థ పరిశీలిస్తున్నది.
19.	ఆఫీసుల్లోనే పనిచేస్తూ పర్యవేక్షణ కొరకు బయటకు వెళ్ళని వారి కార్ల సౌకర్యాన్ని రద్దు చేసి సామూహిక బస్సు సౌకర్యం కల్పించాలి.	అధికారులకు బస్సు సౌకర్యం కల్పించడం సాధ్యం కాదు. డిపార్టుమెంటు పరంగా కార్లను వినియోగించే అధికారులకు రవాణా భత్యం మరియు ప్రయాణ భత్యాలు చెల్లించడం లేదు..
20.	సబ్ స్టేషన్ నుండి ప్రతి రైతు కనెక్షన్ వరకు న్యూట్రల్ వైరు లాగాలి	దశలవారీగా పనులు చేపట్టనున్నారు
21.	రైతుల యొక్క సర్వే నెంబర్లు, సర్వే నెంబర్లను అనుకొని ఉన్న సహజ నీటి వనరులైన ఒరెలు, వాగులు, కాలువలలో మోటారు పెట్టుకునేందుకు రైతులు డిడి చెల్లిస్తే అట్టి కనెక్షన్ ఇచ్చిన అధికారులకు నోటీసులు పంపిస్తున్నారు క్రిందికి వ్యధాగా పోతున్న నీటిని రైతులు వాడుకుంటున్నప్పుడు, అధిక వర్షాలు కురిసినప్పుడు సహజ నీటి ప్రవాహం ఎక్కువైతే నష్టపోయేది రైతే. నీరు అధికమైనప్పుడు భూమి కోతకు గురి అవుతుంది. నీటి అవసరమున్నప్పుడు ఆ నీటిని వాడుకుంటాడు. అది రైతు హక్కు. ఇట్టి వసతి కొరకై విద్యుత్ కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వాలి.	AGL దరఖాస్తుదారు నీటిపారుదల అధికారుల నుండి అభ్యంతరం లేని సర్టిఫికేట్ (NOC) పొందిన తర్వాత మరియు జిల్లా కలెక్టర్ సమ్మతితో చెరువులు, వరద కాలువల ద్వారా ఒక ప్రదేశం నుండి మరొక ప్రదేశానికి నీటిని పంపింగ్ చేసిన తర్వాత విద్యుత్ కనెక్షన్ కోసం దరఖాస్తు చేసుకోవచ్చు.
22.	శ్రీరామ్ సాగర్ ప్రాజెక్టు వరద కాలువ పై నూతన కనెక్షన్లు ఇవ్వడం లేదు. రైతులకు జీవనాధారమైన అత్యంత విలువైన భూమిని వరద కాలువ కోసమే ఇచ్చిన రైతులకు ఆ నీటిని వాడుకోవటానికి	గురుత్వాకర్షణ ద్వారా నీటిపారుదల అవసరాల కోసం చెరువులలో నీటిని నింపడానికి ఇరిగేషన్ కాలువను ఉపయోగిస్తారు. ఒకవేళ నీటిపారుదల కాలువ

	అడ్డుపడటం విచారకరం. గత ప్రభుత్వం రైతులు మోటార్ల ద్వారా నీరందించుకున్న ఎకరాలను తామే సాగులోకి తీసుకు వచ్చిన విధంగా ప్రకటించుకుంది. రైతులు పంట రక్షణ కొరకు ఎక్కడి నుంచి అయినా నీటిని తరలించవచ్చని చట్టంలో ఉంది. అందుకని అడిగిన వెంటనే నీటివనరుల వద్ద కూడా కనెక్షన్ ఇవ్వాలి	నుండి నేరుగా AGL కనెక్షన్ ద్వారా నీటిని పంప్ చేయవలసి వస్తే, నీటిపారుదల శాఖ నుండి NOC తీసుకోవటం తప్పనిసరి.
23.	డిపార్ట్మెంట్ వారి నిర్లక్ష్యం కారణంగా మరణానికి గురి అవుతున్న "రైతు హత్యలను" ఆపాలి. విద్యుత్ షాక్ కు గురి అయిన చనిపోయిన వారికి ఇచ్చే ఆర్థిక సహాయాన్ని రెట్టింపు చేయాలి. మరణించిన పశువులకు ఇచ్చే ఆర్థిక సాయాన్ని లక్ష రూపాయలుగా చేయాలి. గొర్రెలు మేకలకు Rs 12000/- చేయాలి.	ఎక్స్ గ్రేషియా చెల్లింపునకు గౌరవప్రదమైన TGERC ఆదేశాలను DISCOM అనుసరిస్తుంది.
24.	విద్యుత్ షాక్ కు గురి అయి ఆసుపత్రిలో చేరి ప్రాణం దక్కిన వారి ఆస్పత్రి ఖర్చులను డిపార్ట్మెంట్ వారు భరించాలి. వికలాంగులుగా మారితే అతనికి జీవన భృతిని ఇవ్వాలి.	డ్యూటీలో ఉన్నప్పుడు ఎలక్ట్రికల్ నాన్-ఫాటల్ యాక్సిడెంట్కు గురైన ఉద్యోగులు/ఆర్టిసన్ లకు TGNPDCL ద్వారా గుర్తింపు పొందిన ఆసుపత్రిలో ఇన్-పేషెంట్గా ఉన్నప్పుడు మెడికల్ క్రెడిట్ కార్డ్ సౌకర్యాన్ని TGNPDCL అందిస్తోంది. ఎలక్ట్రికల్ నాన్-ఫాటల్ యాక్సిడెంట్కు గురైన Un-manned కార్మికులకు బోర్డు ఆమోదం ప్రకారం మెడికల్ క్రెడిట్ కార్డ్ సౌకర్యం కూడా అందించబడుతుంది.
25.	సిజిఆర్ఎఫ్ ఫోర్ట్ మెంబర్ కు రోజువారీ సిట్టింగ్ Fee ప్రస్తుతం ఉన్న దానిని రెట్టింపు చేయాలి.	స్వతంత్ర సభ్యుని యొక్క సిట్టింగ్ రుసుము లేదా ఇతర అలవెన్సులతో కూడిన వేతనం గౌరవనీయమైన TGERC ద్వారా ఎప్పటికప్పుడు నిర్ణయించబడుతుంది మరియు లైసెన్సుదారుచే భరించబడుతుంది.
26.	ప్రయాణ ఖర్చులు బస్సు రైలు చార్జీలు మరియు వాస్తవ ప్రయాణ	G.O. Ms.No.60, Dt:02-05-2015, r/wలో జారీ చేసిన ఉత్తర్వుల ప్రకారం

	సమయం లో అయ్యే భోజన నివాస ఖర్చులు చెల్లించాలి. దీనికిగాను ఒక కిలో మీటర్ కు ఇంత మొత్తం అని నిర్ణయించాలి.	మరియు TOO Ms.No.155, Dt:17-08-2015, NOO Ms.No.171, Dt:31-08-2015 ప్రకారం ప్రయాణ మరియు రోజువారీ భత్యాలు మరియు బస & బోర్డింగ్ ఛార్జీలు ఇప్పటికే నిర్ణయించబడ్డాయి మరియు చెల్లించబడుతున్నాయి.
27.	ప్రభుత్వ రంగంలో విద్యుత్ సేవలు కొనసాగాలంటే కాలానుగుణంగా పెరిగిన ధరల వేతనాలు విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఇతర ఖర్చులు పెరిగిన విధంగానే టారిఫ్ కూడా, చెల్లించ గలిగే స్తోమతను బట్టి పెంచాలి. సుదీర్ఘకాలం పెంచకుండా ఉంటే డిస్కంల మనుగడ కష్టం అయ్యి విద్యుత్తు సరఫరా ప్రైవేటు రంగానికి బదిలీ అయ్యే అవకాశం ఉంది.	ప్రభుత్వ రంగంలో విద్యుత్ సేవలు కొనసాగించడానికి, విద్యుత్ వినియోగదారుల ఆర్థిక స్తోమతను బట్టి టారిఫ్ ప్రతిపాదనలు నిర్ణయించి గౌరవనీయమైన కమీషన్ ముందు పోందు పరచటం జరిగినది.
28.	HD DOMESTIC వినియోగదారులనుతప్పదోవ పట్టించి 33kv సబ్ స్టేషన్ పెట్టుకోవాల్సి వస్తున్నది.నగరాలలో భూమి కొరత కారణంగా 33 kv సబ్ స్టేషన్ పెట్టుకోలేరు. వాస్తవ వినియోగాన్ని మాత్రమే లెక్కించాలి. కనెక్టెడ్ వినియోగాన్ని లెక్కించరాదు. ఫైర్ ఎక్స్‌ప్రెంటు ను లెక్కించరాదు.	—
29.	TSSPDCL, TSNPDCL CMD లు గా ఐవిఎస్ అధికారులు సేవలు అందించడానికి వచ్చి, వినియోగదారునికి సత్వర నిజాయితీ సేవలు అందించే ప్రయత్నం చేస్తున్నందుకు వారికి ధన్యవాదాలు.	—
30.	TSNPDCL వారు కాలిపోయిన DTR లు రవాణా ఎంత పర్సెంటేజి చేశాము అని, దుర్మరణాలకు కారణాలేంటి అని అన్ని వివరాలు వ్రాశారు వారికి ధన్యవాదాలు, TSSPDCL వారు కూడా వివరాలు	—

	ఇవ్వాలి, కరెంటు స్తంభాలు పడి చనిపోయిన రైతుల లెక్క కూడా ఇవ్వాలి. సెస్ సిరిసిల్ల వారు ARR లో వారి లెక్కలు మినహా ఎటువంటి సేవల వివరాలు ఇవ్వలేదు. వారు కూడా అన్ని వివరాలు ఇవ్వాలి.	
31.	క్యాటగిరి వివరాలు అన్ని విద్యుత్తు ఆఫీసుల్లో ప్రదర్శించాలి.	TGNPDCL వెబ్ సైట్ లో (www.tgnpdcl.com) అన్ని కేటగిరి ల వివరాలు పొందు పరచబడ్డాయి, వినియోగదారులు వాటిని ఉచితంగా పరిశీలించవచ్చును.
32.	వ్యవసాయ విద్యుత్ సరఫరా కాస్టు ఎంత, టారిఫ్ ఎంత, ప్రస్తుతం ప్రభుత్వంచెల్లిస్తున్నది ఎంత తెలియచేయాలి.	గౌరవనీయమైన కమిషన్ వారు ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2023-24 కు జారీ చేసిన టారిఫ్ ఆర్డర్ ప్రకారం 2023-24 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి TGNPDCL కి సంబంధించిన వ్యవసాయ విద్యుత్ సరఫరా కాస్ట్ రూ.7,332 కోట్లు (యూనిట్ ఒక్కంటికి రూ. 8.73 / -) అందులో ప్రభుత్వం వ్యవసాయ సబ్సిడీ క్రింద చెల్లిస్తున్నది రూ. 6,733 కోట్లు.
33.	ప్రస్తుత నీటిపారుదల శాఖ వారు HD LIFT ఇరిగేషన్ వారు చెల్లించాల్సిన బిల్లులు వెంట వెంటనే వసూలు చేయాలి.	పెండింగ్లో ఉన్న బకాయిల చెల్లింపు కోసం నీటిపారుదల శాఖతో క్రమం తప్పకుండా చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం జరుగుచున్నది.
34.	హైదరాబాద్ సౌత్ సర్కిల్ కు సప్లై చేసే విద్యుత్తు ఎంత,వసూలు చేసేది ఎంత వివరాలు ఇస్తూ, వీరి బిల్లులు సరిగా వసూలు చేసే విధంగా చూడాలి.	—

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Distribution Business ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period and Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Raw Rice Millers Welfare Association.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>Please find enclosed here with our objections for increase in electricity tariff for HT Industries processing farm produce.</p> <p>Further we are of option to attend before the commission and represent our objections our objections for increase in Electricity Tariff for Agro based HT Industries processing farm produce</p>	<p>The objector in its mail has not provided the attachment containing the objections. Hence no comments are required.</p>

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్టీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై అన్నదేవి పర్వరాములు, గ్రామము -చంద్రంపేట జిల్లా - రాజన్న సిరిసిల్ల గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకుసమాదానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNDCL - సమాదానాలు
1.	<p>రైతులకు సంబంధించిన AGL ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు కాలిపోతున్నాయి ఈ విషయము గురించి గా రోజుల క్రింద నుండి చెస్పారికి చెప్పినా ఎటువంటి చర్యలు తీసుకోవడము లేదు. ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు రోడ్డు పక్కన వేయకుండా రైతులకు ఇబ్బంది కరంగా పత్తి చేనులో మరియు పొలము లో వేసినారు. ఈ ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు కాలిపోయినపుడు మార్పడం చాలా ఇబ్బందికరంగా ఉన్నది. కావున మా రైతులందరియందు దయ తలచి ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్లు రోడ్డుకు వేయగలరని మా రైతులందరి యొక్క మనవి. ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ కాలిపోయినపుడు లోపల ఉండడం వలన నెల రోజులు అయినా ట్రాన్స్ఫార్మర్ బిగించడం లేదు . దానివలన కరెంటు సప్లై లేక పంట నష్టం జరుగుచున్నది . అధికారుల నిర్లక్ష్యం వలన పంట నష్టం జరుగుతున్నది. ఈ పంట నష్టం అధికారులే భరించాలి అని మా యొక్క మనవి.</p>	<p>లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.</p>

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్టీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై సీతారామాంజనేయ శాస్త్రి, సీనియర్ జర్నలిస్ట్, బాగ్ లింగంపల్లి, హైదరాబాద్ గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాదానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNDCL - సమాదానాలు
	<p>విద్యుత్ చార్జీల నిర్ధారణ, సవరణ, విధింపునకు పలు అంశాలను పరిశీలించాల్సి ఉంటుంది. అలాగే అంతర్గత సామర్థ్యాన్ని పెంచుకుంటే, నిర్వహణ వ్యయం తగ్గి యూనిట్ చార్జీలను మరింత తగ్గించవచ్చు, కానీ ప్రతి ఏటా కరెంటు చార్జీలను పెంచుతూ ఉన్నారే తప్ప, ఏనాడూ ఒక్క పైసా కూడా తగ్గించిన దాఖలాలు లేవు. ప్రభుత్వాలు తీసుకొనే అసంబద్ధ నిర్ణయాలను డిస్కంలు అమలు చేస్తూ, నష్టాలపాలవుతున్నాయి. దానికి కారణాలు డిస్కంల ఉన్నతాధికారులకు తెలిసినా, వాటిపై నిర్మోహమాటంగా, చట్ట ప్రకారం వ్యవహరించడంలో విఫలం అవుతున్నారు. 2014 జూన్ నుంచి 2023 నవంబర్ వరకు వినియోగదారులకు 24 గంటల విద్యుత్, వ్యవసాయానికి, ఉచిత విద్యుత్ కోసం అధిక ధరలకు కరెంటును కొనుగోలు చేసిన విషయం తెలిసినదే.</p> <p>ఈ ఆర్స్ కి సకాలంలో ఏఆర్ ఆర్ లు కూడా సమర్పించలేదు. విద్యుత్ చట్టాలను ఏ మాత్రం పరిగణన లోకి తీసుకోకుండా డిస్కంలు వ్యవహరించిన విషయం మీకు తెలిసినదే. పై కాలవ్యవధిలో</p>	<p>విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు మరియు సరఫరా వ్యయం పెరుగుతున్నందు వలన విద్యుత్ చార్జీలు పెంచడం జరుగుతున్నది. అది కూడా గౌరవనీయులైన విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారు బహిరంగ విచారణ జరిపిన తరువాత వారి ఆదేశాలమేరకు మాత్రమే పెంచటం జరుగుతుంది. గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారు ఆమోదించిన ధరలకు లోబడి మాత్రమే విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు చేయటం జరుగుతున్నది. విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు వ్యయం పెరగటం వలన మాత్రమే విద్యుత్ చార్జీలను పెంచటానికి ప్రతిపాదనలను గౌరవ కమిషన్ వారికి సమర్పించటం జరిగినది.</p> <p>ఎవరైనా వినియోగదారులు సకాలంలో బిల్లులు చెల్లించని పక్షంలో ఆలస్య చెల్లింపు రుసుము విధించటం జరుగుతుంది. 2024-25 ARR ప్రతిపాదనలను ఆలస్యముగా సమర్పించటం వలన గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారు విధించిన జరిమానాను టిజిఎన్సీడిసీఎల్ వారు చెల్లించటం జరిగినది.</p>

ఈ ఆర్కికూడా డిస్కంలను గాడిలో పెట్టేందుకు తూ తూ మంత్రంగా ప్రయత్నాలు చేసిందే తప్ప, ఎక్కడా వాటిని శాసించే పద్ధతిలో వ్యవహరించలేదు. ఈ విషయాలన్నీ తమకు తెలియనివి కావు. తాజాగా డిస్కంల 2024 - 25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సర వార్షిక ఆదాయ వ్యయాల ప్రతిపాదనల్లో చార్జీల పెంపును కోరాయి. వాటిని అనుమతించవద్దని విజ్ఞప్తి చేస్తున్నాను. డిస్కంలకు అతిపెద్ద డిఫాల్టర్లుగా కేంద్ర, రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వ రంగ సంస్థలు ఉన్నాయి. వాటినుంచి కరెంటు బిల్లులు వసూలు చేయకుండా కేవలం బిల్లులు కడుతున్న సామాన్య వినియోగదారుల నుంచే అధిక మొత్తంలో వసూళ్లు చేస్తున్నారు. ఇది సరైనది కాదు. ఏఆర్ఆర్ లు, ఇతర ప్రతిపాదనల్ని సకాలంలో ఈ ఆర్కికి సమర్పించకుంటే జరిమానాలు విధిస్తామని ఈ ఆర్కి చైర్మన్ గారు తెలిపి ఉన్నారు. ఆయా జరిమానాలను విద్యుత్ పంపిణి సంస్థలకు విధించకుండా, ఏఆర్ఆర్ లు, ఇతర ప్రతిపాదనలను సకాలంలో సమర్పించని అధికారులు, డిస్కంల సీఎమ్ డి ల నుంచి వ్యక్తిగతంగా వసూలు చేసి, ఆ తప్పిదాలను వారి సర్వీసు రికార్డుల్లో నమోదు చేయాలని మనవి. డిస్కంలకు జరిమానాలు విధిస్తే, వాటిని తమ ఆడిట్ రిపోర్టుల్లో చూపి, తిరిగి వినియోగదారుల నుంచే సంస్థలు వసూలు చేసుకుంటాయి. దాని వల్ల నష్టపోయేది వినియోగదారులే తప్ప డిస్కంలు కాదు. వినియోగదారులకు ఎలాంటి సంభందం లేని నిర్వహణ లోపాలకు కూడా వారు ఎందుకు అధిక చార్జీల రూపంలో డబ్బు చెల్లించాలి?

1

టీజీఎస్పీడిసీల్,టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్సంస్థలు 2014 జూన్ నుంచి 2023 నవంబర్ వరకు ప్రైవేటు విద్యుత్ ఉత్పత్తి సంస్థలు , పవర్ ఎక్స్‌జిజి ల నుంచి స్వల్పకాలిక కొనుగోళ్ల విధానం ద్వారా ఎన్ని మిలియన్ యూనిట్ల విద్యుత్ కొన్నారు ? యూనిట్ విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు గరిష్ట సగటు ధర ఎంతో వెల్లడించాలని విజ్ఞప్తి .

2014 జూన్ నుంచి 2023 నవంబర్ వరకు విద్యుత్ కొనుగోళ్ళకు ఉన్న విధివిధానాలు ఏంటి ?వాటిలో ఎప్పుడెప్పుడు ఏమేమి సవరణలు చేశారు ?

2023 డిసెంబర్ నుంచి ఇప్పటి వరకు ప్రైవేటు విద్యుత్ సంస్థల నుంచి స్వల్పకాలిక విద్యుత్ కొనుగోళ్లు ఎన్ని మిలియన్ యూనిట్లు చేశారు ?యూనిట్ విద్యుత్ గరిష్ట సగటు ధర ఎంత ?

2023 డిసెంబర్ తర్వాత విద్యుత్ కొనుగోళ్ల విధివిధానాల్లో ఏవైనా మార్పులు చేశారా ?చేస్తే అవి ఏంటి ? మార్పుల కోసం ఏదైనా కమిటీ ని నియమించారా? ఆ కమిటీ చేసిన సిఫార్సులు ఏంటి ?

2023-24 సంవత్సరానికి ముందు కాలానికి విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఖర్చు ఆయా సంవత్సరముల ట్రూ అప్ ఫైలింగ్ లలో గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారికి సమర్పించటం జరిగినది. వాటి పై గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారు ఉత్తర్వులు కూడా జారీ చేయటం జరిగినది. 2023-24 సంవత్సరానికి విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఖర్చు దిగువ పట్టికలో చూపబడినది.

వివరములు	మిలియన్ యూనిట్లలో	రూ కోట్ల లో	యూనిట్ ఒకటికి రూపాయలలో
షార్ట్ టర్మ్ కొనుగోలు ఖర్చు	1600.64	8565.74	5.35
సింగరేణి ఖర్చు	8286.35	4439.96	5.36
సెంట్ కార్ప్ ఖర్చు	6375.17	3219.24	5.05

గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారు ఆమోదించిన విద్యుత్ ఉత్పత్తి సంస్థలనుండి విద్యుత్ ను కొనుగోలు చేయటం జరిగినది.

5.	టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్, టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్ సంస్థల్లో 2014 జూన్ నుంచి 2023 నవంబర్ వరకు సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం (ఆర్ టి ఐ) ద్వారా వచ్చిన దరఖాస్తులపై లీగల్ ఒపీనియన్ కోసం ఎంత ఖర్చు చేశారు ? దాని వాళ్ళ సంస్థకు చేకూరిన ఆర్థిక ప్రయోజనాలు ఏమిటి ?	కార్పొరేట్ కార్యాలయంలో 2014 జూన్ నుంచి 2023 నవంబర్ వరకు సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం ద్వారా (ఆర్ టి ఐ)వచ్చిన దరఖాస్తులపై లీగల్ ఒపీనియన్ కు ఎటువంటి ఖర్చు చేయబడలేదు .																											
6.	టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్, టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్ సంస్థల్లో 2023 డిసెంబర్ నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం (ఆర్ టి ఐ) ద్వారా ఎన్ని దరఖాస్తులు వచ్చాయి ? ఎన్నింటికి సమాధానాలు ఇచ్చారు ? ఎన్ని పెండింగ్ లో ఉన్నాయి? ఆర్ టి ఐ దరఖాస్తులపై లీగల్ ఒపీనియన్ కోసం 2023 డిసెంబర్ నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు ఎంత ఖర్చు చేశారు ? దాని వాళ్ళ సంస్థకు చేకూరిన ఆర్థిక ప్రయోజనాలు ఏమిటి ?	సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం ప్రకారం ప్రతి క్యాలెండర్ సంవత్సరం సమాచారాన్ని 4 త్రైమాసికాలుగా విభజించి ప్రతి త్రైమాసిక సమాచారం గౌరవ తెలంగాణ ప్రభుత్వం లోని ఇంధన శాఖకు నివేదించడం జరుగుతుంది ఇందులో భాగంగా టీజీ ఎస్పీడిసీఎల్ సంస్థలో 17 సర్కిల్ , కార్పొరేట్ కార్యాలయం లో నియమించబడిన పౌర సమాచార అధికారి , అప్సీలేట్ అధికారులు ఇచ్చిన సమాచారం ప్రకారం 1 జనవరి 2024 నుంచి 30 జూన్ 2024 అనగా దరఖాస్తులు వచ్చినవి (రెండు త్రైమాసికాలు క్యాలెండర్ సంవత్సరం లోనివి)548, సమాధానాలు ఇచ్చినవి 524, పెండింగ్ లో ఉన్నవి 24 . 2023 డిసెంబర్ నుంచి ఇప్పటి వరకు లీగల్ ఒపీనియన్ కు ఎటువంటి ఖర్చు చేయబడలేదు.																											
7.	రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం ప్రకటించిన గృహజ్యోతి పథకం కింద లబ్ధిదారులు వినియోగించుకున్న విద్యుత్ వినియోగాన్ని నెలల వారీగా వివరాలు తెలుపగలరు.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Month/Year</th> <th>Total Domestic Consumers</th> <th>No. of Beneficiaries enrolled under Gruha Jyothi</th> <th>No. of Beneficiaries issued bills (< 200 units)</th> <th>Average No. of Units Consumed</th> <th>Total No. of Units Consumed (in MU)</th> <th>Subsidy Amount/ (In Crs)</th> <th>Subsidy Amount/ Received from Govt (in Crs)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Mar-24</td> <td>42,01,126</td> <td>21,11,611</td> <td>20,57,796</td> <td>66.00</td> <td>135.81</td> <td>58.62</td> <td>58.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Apr-24</td> <td>42,01,126</td> <td>21,11,611</td> <td>19,87,423</td> <td>81.18</td> <td>161.33</td> <td>69.56</td> <td>69.56</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No	Month/Year	Total Domestic Consumers	No. of Beneficiaries enrolled under Gruha Jyothi	No. of Beneficiaries issued bills (< 200 units)	Average No. of Units Consumed	Total No. of Units Consumed (in MU)	Subsidy Amount/ (In Crs)	Subsidy Amount/ Received from Govt (in Crs)	1	Mar-24	42,01,126	21,11,611	20,57,796	66.00	135.81	58.62	58.62	2	Apr-24	42,01,126	21,11,611	19,87,423	81.18	161.33	69.56	69.56
Sl. No	Month/Year		Total Domestic Consumers	No. of Beneficiaries enrolled under Gruha Jyothi	No. of Beneficiaries issued bills (< 200 units)	Average No. of Units Consumed	Total No. of Units Consumed (in MU)	Subsidy Amount/ (In Crs)	Subsidy Amount/ Received from Govt (in Crs)																				
1	Mar-24	42,01,126	21,11,611	20,57,796	66.00	135.81	58.62	58.62																					
2	Apr-24	42,01,126	21,11,611	19,87,423	81.18	161.33	69.56	69.56																					
8.	గృహజ్యోతి పథకం ద్వారా రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం నుంచి టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్, టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్ సంస్థలకు రావాల్సిన బకాయిలు ఎన్ని? ఈ పథకం అమల్లోకి వచ్చినప్పటి నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు ప్రభుత్వం ఎంత సొమ్ము చెల్లించింది? నెలల వారీగా వివరాలు తెలుపగలరు.																												

13	<p>గృహజ్యోతి పథకం లబ్ధిదారుల్లో ఇండ్ల యజమానులు ఎంతమంది ఉన్నారు? కిరాయి, లీజుదారులు ఎందరు ఉన్నారు?</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>May-24</td> <td>42,11,216</td> <td>21,11,611</td> <td>19,26,719</td> <td>87.42</td> <td>168.43</td> <td>73.50</td> <td>73.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Jun-24</td> <td>42,19,754</td> <td>22,31,191</td> <td>19,97,971</td> <td>91.31</td> <td>182.43</td> <td>80.37</td> <td>80.37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>July-24</td> <td>42,28,928</td> <td>23,02,437</td> <td>21,50,532</td> <td>87.06</td> <td>187.23</td> <td>82.10</td> <td>82.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Aug-24</td> <td>42,38,496</td> <td>23,72,799</td> <td>22,74,428</td> <td>85.40</td> <td>194.24</td> <td>84.33</td> <td>84.33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>Sept-24</td> <td>42,46,838</td> <td>23,95,080</td> <td>22,72,619</td> <td>92.02</td> <td>209.13</td> <td>90.93</td> <td>90.83</td> </tr> </table>	3	May-24	42,11,216	21,11,611	19,26,719	87.42	168.43	73.50	73.50	4	Jun-24	42,19,754	22,31,191	19,97,971	91.31	182.43	80.37	80.37	5	July-24	42,28,928	23,02,437	21,50,532	87.06	187.23	82.10	82.10	6	Aug-24	42,38,496	23,72,799	22,74,428	85.40	194.24	84.33	84.33	7	Sept-24	42,46,838	23,95,080	22,72,619	92.02	209.13	90.93	90.83
3	May-24	42,11,216	21,11,611	19,26,719	87.42	168.43	73.50	73.50																																							
4	Jun-24	42,19,754	22,31,191	19,97,971	91.31	182.43	80.37	80.37																																							
5	July-24	42,28,928	23,02,437	21,50,532	87.06	187.23	82.10	82.10																																							
6	Aug-24	42,38,496	23,72,799	22,74,428	85.40	194.24	84.33	84.33																																							
7	Sept-24	42,46,838	23,95,080	22,72,619	92.02	209.13	90.93	90.83																																							
9.	<p>2014 జూన్ నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్, టీజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్ సంస్థల్లో అవినీతి నిరోధకశాఖకు పట్టుబడిన అధికారులు ఎందరు? వారిలో తిరిగి పోస్టింగులు పొందినవారు ఎందరు? ఎంతమంది ఉద్యోగులపై క్రమశిక్షణా చర్యలు తీసుకున్నారు?</p>	<p>టిజీఎస్పీడిసీఎల్ లో అవినీతి నిరోధక శాఖ కు పట్టు బడిన అధికారుల వివరాలు Annexure-I లో పొందుపర్చడం జరిగినది.</p>																																													
10.	<p>2014 జూన్ నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు వ్యవసాయానికి ఉచిత విద్యుత్ పథకం ద్వారా ఎంత కరెంటును సరఫరా చేస్తున్నారు? సంవత్సరాల వారీగా ఆ వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు. ఆ విద్యుత్ కు సంబంధించి ప్రభుత్వం చెల్లించిన సొమ్ము వివరాలను కూడా సంవత్సరాల వారీగా తెలుపగలరు. వ్యవసాయానికి ఉచిత విద్యుత్ కు సంబంధించి డిస్కంలకు నష్టాలు ఏమైనా వస్తున్నాయా? వస్తే, వాటి వివరాలు ఏంటి?</p>	<p>2023-24 కు ముందు సంవత్సరం వరకు వ్యవసాయ రంగానికి సంబంధించిన విద్యుత్ వాడకం యొక్క వివరాలను ఆయా సంవత్సరాల ఫైలింగ్ లలో గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారికి సమర్పించటం జరిగినది. 2023-24 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి వ్యవసాయ రంగానికి విద్యుత్ వినియోగము 9447 మిలియన్ యూనిట్లుగా లెక్కించటం జరిగినది.</p>																																													

		<p>2022-23 సంవత్సరానికి సబ్సిడీ రూపంలో తెలంగాణ ప్రభుత్వము టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్ కు 5574.27 కోట్ల రూపాయలను చెల్లించటం జరిగినది.</p> <p>2023-24 సంవత్సరానికి సబ్సిడీ రూపంలో తెలంగాణ ప్రభుత్వము టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్ కు 6732.98 కోట్ల రూపాయలను చెల్లించటం జరిగినది.</p>
11.	<p>2014 జూన్ నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్, టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్ సంస్థలు తమ వార్షిక ఆదాయ అవసరాల ప్రతిపాదనల్ని (ఏఆర్ఆర్) తెలంగాణ విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ మండలి (టీజీఈఆర్సీ) కి ఎప్పుడెప్పుడు సమర్పించాయి? సంవత్సరాల వారీగా ఆ వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు.</p>	<p>2014 నుండి 2024 వరకు అన్ని వార్షిక ఆదాయ అవసరాల ప్రతిపాదనలను గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారికి సమర్పించటం జరిగినది. కానీ గౌరవ విద్యుత్ కమిషన్ వారు 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాల వార్షిక ఆదాయ అవసరాల ప్రతిపాదనల్ని తీరస్కరించటం జరిగినది.</p>
12.	<p>టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్, టీజీఎస్పీడిసిఎల్ సంస్థలు 2023 డిసెంబర్ నుంచి ఇప్పటివరకు ఏ ఏ ఆర్థిక సంస్థల నుంచి ఎంత అప్పులు చేశాయి? వాటిని దేనికోసం వినియోగించాయి. వడ్డీ రేట్లు ఎంత? ఆ వివరాలను తెలుపగలరు.</p>	
	<p>పై విషయాలపై డిస్కంల వివరణ తీసుకొని, ఏఆర్ఆర్ లపై మీ తుది నిర్ణయాన్ని ప్రకటించగలరు. డిస్కంలు కేంద్ర ప్రభుత్వం ప్రకటించిన 'ఉదయ' పథకంలో చేరి, రుణాలన్నింటినీ బదలాయింపు చేసుకున్న తర్వాత కూడా ఆయా సంస్థలకు మళ్ళీ అప్పులు ఎందుకు చేయాల్సి వచ్చిందో విద్యుత్ వినియోగదారులకు తెలియాల్సిన అవసరం ఉన్నది. వాటిపై వివరణ ఇప్పించగలరు. విద్యుత్ కొనుగోళ్ల విషయంలో గత ప్రభుత్వం అనుసరించిన విధానాలు లోపభూయిష్టంగా ఉన్నాయని ఇప్పటి ప్రభుత్వం పలు వేదికలపై చెప్పి ఉన్నది. అందువల్ల విద్యుత్</p>	<p>విద్యుత్ ఉత్పత్తి సంస్థలకు, విద్యుత్ ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ సంస్థలకు మరియు విద్యుత్ అమ్మకము సంస్థలకు విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఖర్చులు, విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఒప్పందము ప్రకారము చెల్లించుటకై అప్పులు చేయడమైనది. కేంద్ర ప్రభుత్వ నిబంధనల ప్రకారము విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు ఖర్చులు సమయము ప్రకారము చెల్లింపులు చేయనిచో విద్యుత్ ఉత్పత్తి సంస్థల నుండి విద్యుత్ సరఫరాను నిలిపివేయడమవుతుంది.</p> <p>వినియోగదారులు సకాలములో తమ విద్యుత్ వినియోగ చార్జీలు చెల్లించక పోవడము కారణముగా మరియు వినియోగదారులకు విద్యుత్ సరఫరాను</p>

<p>కొనుగోళ్లపై ఇప్పటి ప్రభుత్వ హయాంలో ఎలాంటి చర్యలు చేపట్టారో వివరించగలరు. వాటివల్ల విద్యుత్ వినియోగదారులకు ఎలాంటి ప్రయోజనాలు చేకూరుతాయో తెలుపగలరు. దయచేసి నా పిటిషన్ ను పరిగణన లోకి తీసుకొని, బహిరంగ విచారణలో మాట్లాడే అవకాశం కల్పించగలరని విజ్ఞప్తి.</p>	<p>అందించుటకొరకై తాత్కాలిక రుణాలు తీసుకొని విద్యుత్ కొనుగోలు చెల్లింపులు చేయడము జరుగుచున్నది.</p>
--	---

Annexure-I

Statement showing the details of employees caught red handed by ACB officials of Telangana Police during the 4th control period with details of reinstatement and action taken against the accused officers

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
1804/2019	Shaik Nazimuddin, Ex. LI O/o AE/OP/Karakagudem	14.06.2019	Suspended w.e.f. 14.06.2019 and suspension orders issued on 25.06.2019.	Prosecution sanction order furnished to DG/ACB vide vide Lr.No. Dt: 01.04.2021	Re-instated into service vide Memo.DE(EL) Dt.21- 08-2021 and joined at Pinapaka Section on 23.08.2021.	
3594/2019	Shaik Sujavuddin, Ex. LI O/o.AE/Op/Town- IV/Khammam	07-12-2019	Suspended w.e.f. 07.12.2019 and suspension orders issued on 12.12.2019.	Signed prosecution sanction order furnished to DG/ACB vide vide Lr.No. Dt: 26.03.2021	Re-instated in to service and joined at Karepally Section on 16.02.2022.	DE/Enq.I appointed as EO by SE/KMM. EO has issued charge sheet. The LI has filed WP 32409 of 2023 and order is received from High Court to Pril. Secretary to Govt.. A Letter from Principal Secretary to Energy Department, Telangana, Hyd received to file counter affidavit against the WP filed by the LI.

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
4607/2020	Sri Bora Krishna Rao, AAE/Op/Adilabad North	29.12.2020	All (3) accused officers were placed under suspension w.e.f. 29.12.2020	Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 04.04.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 9.1.2023 as AAE/Tech/DO/Mulugu – Joined on 24.01.2023FN	Charge sheet filed by ACB on 16.05.2023 against 3 accused officers – The case is pending trial vide C.C.No.3/2024 On the other end, based on the fact finding report of DE/OP/Adilabad vide letter dt: 3.6.2021, the SE/QC&Enq was appointed as Enquiry Officer vide Procs dt: 28.7.2021 to conduct departmental enquiry – Show cause notices were issued to AE, AAE & LM proposing 'Major Punishment' as per Regulation 5 of D&A Regulation.
	Sri Ather Srinivas, AE/Comml O/o. DE/Op/Adilabad	-do-		Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 04.04.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 9.1.2023 as AE/Tech/DO/M'bad – Joined on 23.01.2023FN	
	Sri Dege Prakash, LM O/o. AAE/Op/Adilabad North	-do-		Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 20.3.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 18.1.2023 by SE/ADB – Joined as LM/Op/Gudihathno or on 01.02.2023FN	

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
4728/2021	Sri Kasarla Raj Kumar AAE/Op/Eklaspur	06.11.2021 AN	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 07.11.2021	Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 09.03.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 10.7.2023 as AAE/Tech/MRT&Construction/ Bhupalpally - - Joined on 13.07.2023	The DE/Enq.II was appointed as E.O. vide proceedings dt: 20.03.2023 to conduct departmental enquiry against the AAE -- Enquiry report is due.
4416/2021	Sri Chintala Govardhan, Ex.Sub-Engineer O/o AAE/Op/Bachodu	30.10.2021	Suspended w.e.f. 30.10.2021AN and suspension order issued on 02.11.2021.	Signed prosecution sanction order furnished to DG/ACB vide Lr.No. Dt: 29.04.2023	Re-instated in to service and joined at Madhira Rural on 27.07.2023.	Instructions issued to SE/KMM to initiate departmental action against the AO on 19.04.2023. DE/Enq.I appointed as EO on 15.5.23 by SE/KMM. EO has issued charge sheet on 20.6.2023. EO submitted ER to SE/KMM on 13.10.23. Action taken report on Enquiry Report is not yet received from SE/Op/Khammam.
1038/2022	Sri Tejavath Bhadrariah, Ex-DE/Op/ Yellareddy & ADE(U/s) now ADE/Comml/CO/Jangaon	04.03.2022	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 05.03.2022	Prosecution sanction accorded by Discom Board and communicated to DG/ACB vide Lr.No. dt:30.08.2023	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 21.09.2024 as ADE/Comml/CO /Jangaon - Joined on 23.09.2024 FN.	The GM/Comml/NPDCL/Hanumakonda was appointed as an Enquiry Officer to conduct departmental enquiry under Regulation 10(2)(a) of D&A Regulations against Sri T. Bhadrariah vide Proc. Dt: 30.08.2023. The enquiry report is yet to be received.

File No.	Name of the Employee & Designation	Date of Trap by ACB Officials	Date of Suspension	Prosecution sanction orders	Date of Reinstatement	Action taken
597/2022	Sri Potharla Sateesh LM/Op/Gundlasingaram O/o. DE/T/Hanumakonda	11.02.2022	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 11.02.2022	Prosecution sanction orders issued to DG/ACB vide Procs.dt: 03.10.2023 by DE/T/HNK	Reinstated into service vide orders dt: 29.7.2023 as LM at 33/11 KV SS / NIT – Joined on 01.08.2023FN	The SE/Op/Hanumakonda has appointed the DE/Enq.1 as E.O. vide proceedings dt: 02.03.2024 to conduct departmental enquiry against the LM -- EO issued chare sheet on 6.3.2024 – Enquiry report is due.
2754/2024	Sri D. Sharath Kumar, AE/Op/Ashwaraopet	16.05.2024	Suspended w.e.f. 17.05.2024 AN and suspension order issued on 22.05.2024.		Not Re-instated	Caveat petition was filed vide Caveat SR.No.3487 of 2024 on 04.06.2024 to prevent the AO in obtaining the stay against his suspension. Accused Officer was under suspension w.e.f. 17.05.2024.
4800/2024	Sri Maloth Hussain Naik, DE/Op/Station Ghanpur	31.08.2024	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 31.08.2024AN	No prosecution sanction orders issued	Not reinstated	Caveat filed before the Hon'ble High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad vide Caveat SR No.6060/2024 dt: 25.09.2024 to prevent the accused officer in obtaining the stay against his suspension – Arrested on 31.08.2024, remanded to judicial custody on 1.9.24 and released from District Jail, KMM on 7.10.2024.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Distribution Business ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period and Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by M/s Sai Venkata Agro Industries Pvt. Ltd., Mulkalla, Mancherial.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>At the time of setting up our new industry (cotton ginning and pressing factory) at Village Mulkalla, Mancherial Division, our power requirement load was 800 KVA. This load was sanctioned to our industry at 33 KV level in the month of November, 2009. Accordingly, our power bills were eligible and required to be billed with 33 KV tariff in accordance with the Tariff Order for 2010-11 and onwards. But mistakenly, our electricity bills were issued with 11 KV tariff instead of 33 KV tariff. A copy of the Tariff Order 2010-11 is enclosed herewith for your kind perusal. In the said order, it is very clearly mentioned that the tariff shall be levied as per the actual supply voltage. Thus, as per the Tariff Order for 2010-11 and subsequent years, our industry had to be charged the power bills at the tariff of 33 KV and not for 11 KV. We did not observe the rate and paid the bills issued with 11 KV tariff. Later on, when we made two representations, the first one on 04.07.2014 and the second one on 06.10.2015 to revise our bills with the 33 KV tariff. In the first representation, our bills were not revised. But in the second representation, the bills were revised with 33 KV tariff, and the excess billed amount was withdrawn, and the matter was settled.</p> <p>But to our surprise, the demand for the adjusted amount was again raised consequent to the objections made by your Internal Auditors. Then, we again made a request to remove the demand amount as the audit objection was not proper in accordance with the clear guidelines given in the Tariff Order 2010-11. Sir, we firmly believe that the Department is bound to collect</p>	<p>In compliance to the Hon'ble High Court of Telangana order against WP.No. 41056 of 2014, the speaking orders were issued to M/s. Sai Venkata Agro Industries Pvt Ltd, Sc.No MCL-035 Mulkalla(V), Mancherial district, vide Lr.No.SE/OP/MNCL/SAO/JAO(HT)/SA/D.No.311/24,Dt.25.05.2024 that your representation dated 04.07.2014 is here by rejected.</p>

the power charges strictly in accordance with the tariff order only and not more than the prescribed charges. But even then, our bonafide request was not considered by the Department.

We were then left with no other option but to file a case at the CGRF and Hon'ble CGRF agreed with our view and was pleased to pass an order in C.G. No. 41 of 2016 in our favour. We then approached the Superintendent Engineer for the implementation of the order, but he did not implement the order of the CGRF and instead filed a case before the Hon'ble High Court against the order of the CGRF.

OUR SUBMISSIONS

Sir, the Department is duty-bound to strictly collect the power charges as per the Tariff Order issued by the ERC and nothing more than the prescribed tariff. There is no dispute that our service agreement was for an 11 KV tariff in the year 2009 in accordance with the conditions laid in the sanction letter, and we have paid the bills accordingly because in the Tariff Order for the year 2009-10, there was no mention about the tariff based on actual supply voltage in the said order.

However, the Tariff Order for the year 2010-11 as applicable from 01.08.2010 makes it very clear to collect the charges as per the actual supply voltage. Therefore, in our case, it should be collected as per 33 KV as it is the actual supply voltage. Sir, when the Tariff rules for the year 2010-11 came into force with effect from 01.08.2010, the Department is duty-bound to

implement the new rules in all aspects. In our case, the Department has implemented only the increased per unit charges of power without implementing the changed rule with regard to tariff billing as per the actual supply voltage. Thus, the Department has violated and not followed the ERC Tariff Order for the year 2010-11 and onwards.

Sir, we believe that instead of we making a request from our side, the Department should have suo moto implemented the new Tariff Order and revised our bills with effect from 01.08.2010 but was finally done only after our second representation made on 06.10.2015.

OUR PRESENT REQUEST

You may be aware that the cotton industry has suffered huge losses and the majority of the units in our area have gone bankrupt. We too are no exception to it and have already closed our industry due to huge losses and financial crunch. Nevertheless, we are ready to pay the power dues as per the Tariff Order for 2010-11 and close the matter permanently. Hence, we kindly request you to consider our bonafide request and issue us revised bills in accordance with the Tariff Order for 2010-11. We also request you to kindly withdraw the appeal filed before the Honorable High Court and kindly implement the order passed by the Hon'ble CGRF in C.G. No. 41 of 2016. If any amount is further payable after removing the shortfall, we are ready to pay the same and close the matter forever. We may be given a chance to explain our grievance during the public hearing to be held at Nizamabad on 24.10.2024.

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్చార్జీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై ద్వైప దేవయ్య, భారతీయ కిసాన్ సంఘ్, గ్రామము - రాజన్నపేట, మండలము - ఎల్లారెడ్డిపేట జిల్లా : రాజన్న సిరిసిల్ల గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాదానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాదానాలు
1.	సిరిసిల్ల సెస్సు లో జరుగుచున్న ఇబ్బందుల గురించి సెస్సు ఉద్యోగులు గ్రామ మండల స్థాయిలో సరైన వేలకు పనిచేయకపోవడము వలన రైతు లకు ఇబ్బందులగురించి కరెంటు పోయిన సరైన టైముకు ఇవ్వడము లేదు.	లైసెన్సీ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
2.	సెస్సు పరిధిలో అన్ని గ్రామములలో లూజు లైన్లు ఉన్నాతమరు ఇంతకు ముందు చెప్పినప్పటికీ కూడా సరిచేయడములేదు .	లైసెన్సీ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
3.	సెన్స్ ను NPDCL లో కలుపవలసినదిగా విజ్ఞప్తి చేస్తూ ఇది చాలా నష్టాలలో ఉన్నది. దీని వలన వినియోగదారులకు చాలా ఇబ్బంది గా ఉన్నది మరియు సిబిఐ ఎంక్వైరీ చేయించగలరని మనవి .	లైసెన్సీ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
4.	నాణ్యమైన విద్యుత్ లేకుండా ఎలాంటి ఎగ్జాము వ్రాయకుండా ఉద్యోగము తీసుకోవడము మరియు 25, 30 సంవత్సరముల నుండి ఒకే చోట సెస్సు లో ఉంటున్నారు . అందుకని వారు చెప్పినదే వేదము. ప్రభుత్వ సిద్ధాంతపరంగా వారి చదువులకు తగినట్టుగా ఉద్యోగము ఉండాలి. పరిశీలించి తగు చర్య తీసుకోగలరని మనవి చేయుచున్నాను .	లైసెన్సీ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.

5.	ఇంకా చాలా వివరాలు ఉన్నాయి. మీకు సభలో తెలుపగలము. దయ చేసి నాకు సమయము ఇవ్వగలరని మీతో విజ్ఞప్తి చేయుచున్నాము.	—
----	---	---

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్ట్ ప్రతిపాదనలపై ఓరుగంటి రామకృష్ణ, సిరిసిల్ల జిల్లా - రాజన్న సిరిసిల్ల గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాదానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు/సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాదానాలు
1.	సెస్సు ఉద్యోగులను ఒకే చోట చాలా సంవత్సరాలు ఉంచటం మూలంగా కొంతమంది అండదండలతోటి రైతుల వద్ద డబ్బులు వసూలు చేస్తూ చిట్టిలు నడుపుతున్నారు . అలాంటి చిట్టిల గురించి చాలాసార్లు తెలుపడం జరిగింది . ట్రాన్స్ఫర్మర్ కాలిపోతే తేవడం గురించి ఇలాంటి వాటికి పాల్పడుతున్నారు .	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
2.	రైతులకు పొలంవద్ద పడిపోయిన ట్రాన్స్ఫర్మర్ కాలిపోయినా సకాలంలో సేవలు అందించడంలేదు తద్వారా రైతులు చాలా నష్టపోతున్నారు	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
3.	ఇంటి మీటర్ గురించి కేటగిరి-I తీసుకున్నప్పుడు రేట్లను పెంచారు సామాన్య ప్రజలకు ఇబ్బంది గా ఉంది	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
4.	నూతన ఇంటి నిర్మాణం చేసుకుంటున్న సమయములో కేటగిరి-II కింద ఇస్తున్నారు దాన్ని కేటగిరి-I ఇవ్వాలి	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
5.	నేను సంఘ సభ్యుడైనను సెస్సు గురించి ఎలాంటి సమాచారం అడిగిన ఇవ్వడం లేదు. CESS సహకార సంఘ సభ్యత్వం ఇవ్వక మరీ ఎవరికీ ఇస్తారు.	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
6.	కరెంటు సప్లయి సరైన సమయం లో అందించనియెడల రైతులకు ఎలాంటి పంట నష్టం జరిగినా ఆ సంబంధిత అధికారులు హెల్పర్ నుండి	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.

	ఎండ్ వరకు నష్టపరిహారం రైతులకు ఇప్పించాలి అధికారులకు జరిమానా వేయాలి.	
--	--	--

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by M/s. MPL Steel Industries Private Ltd, Regd. Off: 13-6-439/1/A/111/12&11, MPL House, Pillar No. 75, Balaji Nagar, Mehadipatnam Ring Road, Hyderabad-500006.

S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting Telangana industry from time and again. TSERC constituted on 3rd November 2014, with prime focus of protecting the interests of the consumers.</p>	-
2	<p>We wish to draw your attention to the severe crisis already faced by the steel industry in Telangana. Any further increase in charges, including ARR, Wheeling Charges, and Cross Subsidy surcharge, additional surcharges, will place heavy burden on our industry, which is currently struggling to remain viable in an increasingly competitive market.</p> <p>We are deeply concerned about the proposed increases in ARR, Wheeling, and Cross Subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge, which will place an unsustainable burden on the steel industry. This increase will severely affect our industry's viability, which is already facing economic pressures.</p> <p>We are particularly concerned about the effect on Green Energy. Solar energy is being generated at an impressive rate of approximately 1,20,000 units per month per MW, but with the proposed wheeling charges an additional burden of approximately ₹3 per unit is levied by which there is a real risk of discouraging further investment in green energy. This not only goes against the spirit of promoting renewable energy but also puts the steel industry in Telangana at a disadvantage in comparison to other states</p>	<p>Discoms have proposed uniform wheeling charges across voltage levels similar to intra state and inter- State transmission charges to encourage open access consumption across all voltage levels. Moreover, having uniform wheeling charges will lead to simplified tariff structure, bring in transparency in billing process and would help in streamlining the process of grid planning going further. This would also help in improving administrative efficiency and lead to better resource allocation and lesser disputes related to undue variations in wheeling charges being levied on consumers.</p> <p>In case of injection of RE power at one voltage system and withdrawal at other voltage system, the proposal for uniform wheeling losses will help the open access consumers in reduction of energy losses on the scheduled energy unlike the voltage wise losses in the conventional system. This will benefit the open access consumers at all voltage levels. The Hon' ble Commission has exempted the applicability of Additional surcharge on the GEOA consumers in the order issued on additional surcharge.</p>

<p>in terms of renewable energy adoption and sustainability initiatives.</p> <p>The proposal of standby charges on green energy open access consumers equivalent to Temporary Supply Tariffs based on the extent of open access energy and demand, further discourages the adoption of green energy. This makes open access unfeasible, hindering both economic and environmental progress in Telangana.</p> <p>We urge the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the proposed charges to ensure a conducive environment for the industry.</p> <p>We request an opportunity to present these concerns in detail during the upcoming public hearings.</p>	<p>However, as per the Electricity (Promoting Renewable Energy through Green Energy Open Access) Rules 2022, the additional surcharge is exempted for GOEA consumers and stand by charges are applicable for all the OA consumers including GEOA. Hence, the DISCOM has proposed the stand by charges in its fillings.</p>
---	--

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by M/s. Mahalakshmi Profiles Pvt. Ltd., Regd. Off: MPL House, 13-6-439/1/A/111/10 & 11, Balaji Nagar, Pillar No. 75, Mehadipatnam Ring Road, Hyderabad-500006.

S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting Telangana industry from time and again. TSERC constituted on 3rd November 2014, with prime focus of protecting the interests of the consumers. Inlight of this, we trust that the Commission will carefully consider our concerns in line with this mandate.</p> <p>We wish to draw your attention to the severe crisis already faced by the steel industry in Telangana. Any further increase in charges, including ARR, Wheeling Charges, and Cross Subsidy surcharge, additional surcharges, will place heavy burden on our industry, which is currently struggling to remain viable in an increasingly competitive market.</p> <p>We are deeply concerned about the proposed increases in ARR, Wheeling, and Cross Subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge, which will place an unsustainable burden on the steel industry. This increase will severely affect our industry's viability, which is already facing economic pressures.</p>	<p>Discoms have proposed uniform wheeling charges across voltage levels similar to intra state and inter-state transmission charges to encourage open access consumption across all voltage levels. Moreover, having uniform wheeling charges will lead to simplified tariff structure, bring in transparency in billing process and would help in streamlining the process of grid planning going further. This would also help in improving administrative efficiency and lead to better resource allocation and lesser disputes related to undue variations in wheeling charges being levied on consumers.</p>

We also request that the difference in charges for 11KV, 32KV, and 132KV be maintained at earlier levels to ensure fairness and competitiveness across various industries. 132KV has significantly lower transmission losses compared to 11KV and 33KV, and industries have invested heavily in the infrastructure for 132KV. It is crucial that these differences be recognized in the tariff structure.

We urge the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the proposed charges to ensure a conducive environment for the industry.

We request an opportunity to present these concerns in detail during the upcoming public hearings.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by M/s. Rayalaseema Industries (India) Pvt. Ltd., Regd. & Corporate Office: B301, 8-2-618/2A to C, Road No.11, Banjarahills, Hyderabad-500034.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting Telangana industry from time and again. TSERC constituted on 3rd November 2014, with prime focus of protecting the interests of the consumers. In light of this, we trust that the Commission will carefully consider our concerns in line with this mandate.</p>	-
2	<p>We wish to draw your attention to the severe crisis already faced by the steel industry in Telangana. Any further increase in charges, including ARR, Wheeling Charges, and Cross Subsidy surcharge, additional surcharges, will place heavy burden on our industry, which is currently struggling to remain viable in an increasingly competitive market.</p> <p>We are deeply concerned about the proposed increases in ARR, Wheeling, and Cross Subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge, which will place an unsustainable burden on the steel industry. This increase will severely affect our industry's viability, which is already facing economic pressures.</p> <p>We urge the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the proposed charges to ensure a conducive environment for the industry.</p> <p>We request an opportunity to present these concerns in detail during the upcoming public hearings.</p>	<p>Discoms have proposed uniform wheeling charges across voltage levels similar to intra state and inter- State transmission charges to encourage open access consumption across all voltage levels. Moreover, having uniform wheeling charges will lead to simplified tariff structure, bring in transparency in billing process and would help in streamlining the process of grid planning going further. This would also help in improving administrative efficiency and lead to better resource allocation and lesser disputes related to undue variations in wheeling charges being levied on consumers.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by M/s. SCAN ENERGY & POWER LIMITED Office: B301, 8-2-618/2A to C, 3rd Floor, Delta Seacon, Road No.11, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad-500034.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
	<p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting Telangana industry from time and again. TSERC constituted on 3rd November 2014, with prime focus of protecting the interests of the consumers. In light of this, we trust that the Commission will carefully consider our concerns in line with this mandate.</p>	-
2	<p>We wish to draw your attention to the severe crisis already faced by the steel industry in Telangana. Any further increase in charges, including ARR, Wheeling Charges, and Cross Subsidy surcharge, additional surcharges, will place heavy burden on our industry, which is currently struggling to remain viable in an increasingly competitive market.</p> <p>We are deeply concerned about the proposed increases in ARR, Wheeling, and Cross Subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge, which will place an unsustainable burden on the steel industry. This increase will severely affect our industry's viability, which is already facing economic pressures.</p> <p>We urge the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the proposed charges to ensure a conducive environment for the industry.</p> <p>We request an opportunity to present these concerns in detail during the upcoming public hearings.</p>	<p>Discoms have proposed uniform wheeling charges across voltage levels similar to intra state and inter- State transmission charges to encourage open access consumption across all voltage levels. Moreover, having uniform wheeling charges will lead to simplified tariff structure, bring in transparency in billing process and would help in streamlining the process of grid planning going further. This would also help in improving administrative efficiency and lead to better resource allocation and lesser disputes related to undue variations in wheeling charges being levied on consumers.</p>

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by M/s. Anand Ispat Udyog Ltd., Office: 5-8-363 to 365, A&B, 3rd Floor, Chirag Ali Lane, Adid Road, Hyderabad-500001.

S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting Telangana industry from time and again. TSERC constituted on 3rd November 2014, with prime focus of protecting the interests of the consumers. Inlight of this, we trust that the Commission will carefully consider our concerns in line with this mandate.</p> <p>We wish to draw your attention to the severe crisis already faced by the steel industry in Telangana. Any further increase in charges, including ARR, Wheeling Charges, and Cross Subsidy surcharge, additional surcharges, will place heavy burden on our industry, which is currently struggling to remain viable in an increasingly competitive market.</p> <p>We are deeply concerned about the proposedincreases in ARR, Wheeling, and Cross Subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge, which will place an unsustainable burden on the steel industry. This increase will severely affect our industry’s viability, which is already facing economic pressures.</p>	<p>Discoms have proposed uniform wheeling charges across voltage levels similar to intra state and inter-state transmission charges to encourage open access consumption across all voltage levels. Moreover, having uniform wheeling charges will lead to simplified tariff structure, bring in transparency in billing process and would help in streamlining the process of grid planning going further. This would also help in improving administrative efficiency and lead to better resource allocation and lesser disputes related to undue variations in wheeling charges being levied on consumers.</p>

We also request that the difference in charges for 11KV, 32KV, and 132KV be maintained at earlier levels to ensure fairness and competitiveness across various industries. 132KV has significantly lower transmission losses compared to 11KV and 33KV, and industries have invested heavily in the infrastructure for 132KV. It is crucial that these differences be recognized in the tariff structure.

We urge the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the proposed charges to ensure a conducive environment for the industry.

We request an opportunity to present these concerns in detail during the upcoming public hearings.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 and Distribution ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period by M/s. DILIP RE-ROLLING PVT LTD, Office: 21-1-414, Rikabgunj, Hyderabad-500002.

S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>We are very much thankful to your good office for supporting Telangana industry from time and again. TSERC constituted on 3rd November 2014, with prime focus of protecting the interests of the consumers. Inlight of this, we trust that the Commission will carefully consider our concerns in line with this mandate.</p> <p>We wish to draw your attention to the severe crisis already faced by the steel industry in Telangana. Any further increase in charges, including ARR, Wheeling Charges, and Cross Subsidy surcharge, additional surcharges, will place heavy burden on our industry, which is currently struggling to remain viable in an increasingly competitive market.</p> <p>We are deeply concerned about the proposedincreases in ARR, Wheeling, and Cross Subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge, which will place an unsustainable burden on the steel industry. This increase will severely affect our industry’s viability, which is already facing economic pressures.</p>	<p>Discoms have proposed uniform wheeling charges across voltage levels similar to intra state and inter-state transmission charges to encourage open access consumption across all voltage levels. Moreover, having uniform wheeling charges will lead to simplified tariff structure, bring in transparency in billing process and would help in streamlining the process of grid planning going further. This would also help in improving administrative efficiency and lead to better resource allocation and lesser disputes related to undue variations in wheeling charges being levied on consumers.</p>

We also request that the difference in charges for 11KV, 32KV, and 132KV be maintained at earlier levels to ensure fairness and competitiveness across various industries. 132KV has significantly lower transmission losses compared to 11KV and 33KV, and industries have invested heavily in the infrastructure for 132KV. It is crucial that these differences be recognized in the tariff structure.

We urge the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the proposed charges to ensure a conducive environment for the industry.

We request an opportunity to present these concerns in detail during the upcoming public hearings.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Distribution Business ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period and Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by M/s. Jeevaka Industries Pvt. Ltd., Adm. Office, 11-6-27/17, 1st Floor, Opp: IDPL Factory, Balanagar, Hyderabad-500037.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>The instant petitions have been filed by TG discoms for the determination of ARR & Wheeling Tariffs for Distribution Business for FY 2024-29 and determination of ARR for Retail Supply Business for FY 2024-29 & Tariff Proposals for FY 2024-25. The instant petition has been filed by both the discoms under the Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation, 2023 (MYT Regulations 2023).</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
2	<p>Multi-year Tariff for FY 2024-29 – Regulation 6 of the TSERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation, 2023 provides for the filing of the MYT petition by 30th November. The relevant extracts of the same are reproduced as under:</p> <p><i>“6 Procedure for filing Petition</i></p> <p><i>6.2 The petitions to be filed for each Control Period under this Regulation area under:</i></p> <p><i>b) Multi Year Tariff petition shall be filed by 30th November of the year preceding the first year of the Control Period by transmission licensee, distribution licensee (for wheeling business) and SLDC comprising:</i></p> <p><i>i. True-up of preceding year;</i></p> <p><i>ii. Aggregate Revenue Requirement for each year of the Control Period;</i></p> <p><i>iii. Proposal of Tariff and Charges for each year of the Control Period.</i></p> <p><i>c) Multi Year Tariff petition shall be filed by 30th November of the year preceding the first year of the Control Period by distribution licensee (for retail supply business) comprising:</i></p> <p><i>i. True-up of preceding year;</i></p>	<p>When the Regulation No.2 of 2023 was notified on 30.12.2023, the TGDISCOMs were seeking clarifications on certain clauses of the new MYT Regulation No.2 of 2023 as there was huge impact on the financials of the DISCOMs and also there was a change in regulatory regime because of which DISCOMs needed to collect additional data for preparing the petitions, then the TGDISCOMs have initiated the process of collecting the required data from the various wings for which it took time.</p> <p>Subsequently, the Gruhajyothi Scheme was announced by the GoTG which further delayed the process of Filings of ARR. Meanwhile, Model code of conduct came into force, due to which the TGDISCOMs could not file the ARR filings. The DISCOMs have duly submitted the condonation of delay petition before the Hon’ble Commission stating these reasons.</p> <p>The filings of true up application would be filed separately along with Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2023-24.</p>

	<p><i>ii. Aggregate Revenue Requirement for each year of the Control Period;</i></p> <p><i>iii. Revenue from retail sale of electricity at existing tariffs & charges and projected revenue gap for the first year of the Control Period;</i></p> <p><i>iv. Proposal of consumer category wise retail supply tariff and charges for first year of the Control Period:</i></p> <p>Provided that the Multi Year Tariff petitions for the Control Period commencing from 01.04.2024 shall be filed by generating entity, transmission licensee, distribution licensee and SLDC on or before 31.01.2024."</p> <p>Based on the above, it is clear that the instant petition lacks on 2 fronts:</p> <p>The Licensees have not filed the application for the True up of previous year (which is FY 2022-23) for Distribution and Retail Supply business (ref Regulation 6.2(b)(i) and 6.2(c)(i) respectively).</p> <p>The Petitioner has filed the instant Petition after a prolonged delay of ~10 months (July 2024 and September 2024 respectively for Distribution and RST business)) which in the opinion of the Objector is not appropriate. The reasoning put forth by the Licensees seems to be an afterthought to cover up for the substantial delay caused at the Licensee's end.</p> <p>On account of instant filings in non-compliance of the MYT Regulations 2023, the Petitioner's submissions merit non-consideration</p>	
3	<p>The Hon'ble Commission in the Order dated 07.06.2024 in the matter of Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business for FY 2022-23 has approved a Revenue Surplus of Rs. 1736.34 crore and Rs. 2227.42 Crore for TGSPDCL and TGNPDCL respectively. The relevant extracts from such Order is as under:</p> <p><i>"4.12 RECOVERY OF REVENUE GAP/(SURPLUS)</i></p> <p><i>4.12.1 As per Clause 10.5 of Regulation 04 of 2005 the Commission directs the Applicant to include and propose the adjustment mechanism of the total approved</i></p>	<p>Clause 4.12.1 of order dated 07.06.2024 on Annual Performance Review of Distribution Business states that the adjustment mechanism of the total approved revenue surplus is to be proposed in the end of control period review petition for 4th Control Period. The last petition for 4th</p>

	<p><i>revenue surplus for TGSPDCL for Rs. 1736.34 crore (gap of Rs.20.54 crore for FY 2019-20, surplus of Rs. 253.05 crore for FY 2020-21, surplus of Rs. 1114.66 crore for FY 2021-22 and surplus of Rs. 389.17 Crore for FY 2022-23) and revenue surplus for TGNPDCL for Rs. 2227.42 Crore (surplus of Rs.384.76 Crore for FY 2019-20, surplus of Rs. 354.02, surplus ofRs. 634.03 Crore and surplus of Rs 854.62 Crore) in its end of control period review petition for 4th control period. This Order is corrected and signed on this the 7th day of June, 2024."</i></p> <p>It is mentioned that the impact of Revenue Gap pertaining to the True up of FY 2019-20 to FY 2022-23 has to be passed in the Retail supply business. The Hon'ble Commission is sincerely submitted to consider the impact of True up of Distribution business in the RST for FY 2024-25</p>	<p>Control period i.e., for FY 2023-24 is yet to be filed by TG Discoms wherein the adjustment mechanism would be provided as per directions given in the aforementioned order.</p>
4	<p>The petitioner (TGSPDCL) has claimed asset additions to the tune of Rs. 31,589 Crore for the Control period FY 2025-29 which is significantly high compared to the actual Capital Cost capitalized by the Distribution Licensees in the previous control period (FY 2019-24). Notably, such high level of projections for Capital cost is injurious to the financial health of the Distribution licensees as non-capitalization of the projected capital cost would effectively result into the revenue surplus which is against the principles of reasonable cost recovery</p>	<p>This issue is not pertains to TGNPDCL</p>

**5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయ వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ
ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయ వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్టీ ప్రతిపాదనలపై
ఎల్ . రమణ, MLC గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాధానాలు**

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు /సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాధానాలు
1.	<p>మా మనవి ఏమనగా. మేము సిరిసిల్ల పద్మశాలి కులమునకు చెందినవారు తెలంగాణలో ఉన్న పద్మశాలీయులు 40% మంది ప్రజలు సిరిసిల్ల జిల్లాలో నివసించుచున్నారు. వారి కుల వృత్తి ఐన చేనేత పవర్ లూమ్స్ రంగం లో పని చేసుకుంటూ జీవనోపాధి పొందుచున్నారు. సిరిసిల్ల జిల్లాలో 25,494 పవర్ లూమ్స్, మిగతా తెలంగాణ అన్ని జిల్లాలో కలిసి 10,394 పవర్ లూమ్స్ కలవు. మా యొక్క జీవనోపాధి కడు పేదరికంలో నడుస్తుంది. సిరిసిల్ల పట్టణం లో 1999 నుండి ఇప్పటి వారికి 386 మంది చేనేత, పవర్ లూమ్ కార్మికులు ఆకలి చావులతో చనిపోయినారు. మరియు ఇతర జిల్లాలో సుమారు 30 మంది వారికి చేనేత పవర్ లూమ్ కార్మికులు చనిపోయినారు, ప్రస్తుతం ప్రభుత్వం విద్యుత్ ను 4వ కేటగిరి క్రింద 10 HP వరకు సబ్సిడీ వర్తింప జేస్తున్నది. కానీ ప్రస్తుతం చేనేత పవర్ లూమ్ కార్మికుల పరిస్థితి దయనియ స్థితి లో వున్నది కనుక వారి యొక్క జీవనోపాధి మెరుగు పరుచుట కొరకు ప్రభుత్వం ప్రయత్నం చేయగా , తెలిసినది ఏమనగా వారికి ప్రస్తుతం ఇస్తున్న సబ్సిడీ తో కూడిన 10 HP విద్యుత్ సరిపోవడం లేదు. కనుక సబ్సిడీ తో కూడిన</p>	<p>ప్రస్తుతం పవర్ లూమ్ కుటీర పరిశ్రమలకు 10HP వరకు విద్యుత్ ను వాడుకొనుటకు LT-IVA కుటీర పరిశ్రమల విభాగం క్రింద బిల్లు చేయడం జరుగుతున్నది మరియు ఈ LT-IVA విభాగం లో ఎనర్జీ చార్జీలు యూనిట్ కు ఒక్కటికి రూ. 4-00/- మరియు స్థిర చార్జీలు 1 kw కి రూ .20 నెలకి వసులు చేయటం జరుగుచున్నది. 2016-17 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం నుండి ఈ కుటీర పరిశ్రమలకు చార్జీలను పెంచలేదు మరియు LT-IVA కేటగిరి సబ్సిడీ కేటగిరి. ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరం 2024-25 డిస్కం లు సమర్పించిన టారిఫ్ ప్రతిపాదనలలో ఒక యూనిట్ సప్లై చేయడానికి అయ్యే సగటు వ్యయం రూ. 8.31/- కానీ Lt-IVA కుటీర పరిశ్రమలకు యూనిట్ ఒక్కంటికి ఎనర్జీ చార్జీలను రూ . 4/- మరియు స్థిర చార్జీలను రూ. 20 చొప్పున ఎలాంటి పెంపులేకుండా ప్రతిపాదించటం జరిగినది. పవర్ లూమ్స్ కుటీర పరిశ్రమలకి 10HP నుండి 20-25 HP వరకు పెంచటం అనే విషయం ప్రభుత్వ పరిధిలోని అంశం మరియు డిస్కం లు ఇప్పటికే నష్టాలలో కూరుకు పోయి విన్నవి కావున ఇంకా LT-IVA లోడ్ స్టాయి 10HP నుండి 20-25 HP పెంచడం వలన డిస్కం లు ఇంకా నష్టాలలో కూరుకుపోతాయి.</p>

<p>విద్యుత్ 4వ కేటగిరి క్రింద 20-25 HP ల వరకు ప్రభత్వం ఇచ్చినచో , వారి యొక్క జీవనోపాధి మెరుగు పడే అవకాశం కలదు. ప్రస్తుతం ప్రభుత్వ పరిశీలనలో ఉన్నది. కనుక మీరు కూడా సువీసీడి తో కూడిన విద్యుత్ 20-25 HP ల వరకు విద్యుత్ సబ్సిడీ అందించగలని మనవి.</p>	
---	--

5వ నియంత్రణ కాలము యొక్క ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరాలకు (2024-25 నుండి 2028-29వరకు) రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారము కోసము సమగ్ర ఆదాయ ఆవశ్యకత మరియు 2024-25 ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరానికి రిటైల్ సప్లయి వ్యాపారానికి, ధరల ప్రతిపాదనలు & క్రాస్ సబ్సిడీ సర్పార్ట్ ప్రతిపాదనలపై బియ్యం కార్ శ్రీనివాస్, భారతీయ కిసాన్ సంఘ్, జిల్లా కార్యదర్శి, గ్రామము-సిరిసిల్ల, జిల్లా : రాజన్న సిరిసిల్ల గారి సూచనలు/సలహాలకు సమాదానాలు

క్రమసంఖ్య	అభ్యంతరాలు/సూచనలు	TGNPDCL - సమాదానాలు
1.	సెస్సు లో మనగింగ్ డైరెక్టరు పోస్టును సహకార డిపార్టుమెంటు నుంచి వస్తున్నారు. కానీ ఇది అనగా సెస్సు సంస్థ టెక్నికల్ పై ఆధారపడి ఉన్నది. ఏ డిపార్టుమెంటు వారు అయితే డిపార్టుమెంటు వారికి పూర్తి అవగాహన ఉంటది. కావున వెంటనే NPDCL/SPDCL నుండి తీసుకుంటే అతనికి టెక్నికల్ గురించి అవగాహన ఉంటది. కాబట్టి బాగుంటది. వెంటనే చర్య తీసుకోగలరు.	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
2.	CESS వారు గృహ విద్యుత్ వినియోగదారులకు ప్రతి నెల జారీ చేయుచున్నటువంటి బిల్లులలో యావరేజీ అనే పదము పెట్టి వినియోగదారులను ఆర్థిక ఇబ్బందులకు గురి చేయుచు 24 లేక 25 రోజులకు తీసిన రీడింగు 31 రోజులకు అని బిల్లులు ఎక్కువ చేయుచున్నారు. ఈ విషయము పై CGRF నిజామాబాదు నందు కేసు కూడా వేయగా వారు అన్ని విషయములను పరిశీలించి యావరేజీ అనే పదము తీసివేయాలని తీర్పును వెలువరించారు . కావున ఇదివరకు ఎక్కువ వేసి వసూలు చేసిన కొన్నే లక్షల రూపాయలు వెంటనే వారి వారి విద్యుత్ వినియోగదారులకు వాపసు ఇవ్వవలసినదిగా	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.

	<p>కోరనైనది.ఇందుగురించి యావరేజ్ అనే పదము ఏ రులు ప్రకారము ఉన్నది అనే CESS Office వారికి RTI Act ప్రకారముగా అడుగుతే పొంతన లేని సమాధానము ఇచ్చినారు . అయినా ఈ బిల్లులలో యావరేజ్ అనే పదము తీసివేయాలని సలహా.</p>	
3.	<p>CESS లో అప్పటి అసిస్టెంట్ హెల్పర్ లలో ఒకరైన లింగము అనే అతని సర్టిఫికేటు దొంగ సర్టిఫికేటు అని అతనిపై చర్య తీసుకున్నారు. బాగానే ఉన్నది. కానీ అతనితో పాటు ఇంకా ఉన్న వారి పై ఏమి చర్య తీసుకున్నారో తెలుపగలరు. ఈ విషయము ప్రజలకు తెలుపవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.</p>	<p>లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.</p>
4.	<p>CESS లో కారుణ్య నియామకాలు అమలు చేయుచున్నారు సంతోషించతగిన విషయము. కానీ కారుణ్య నియామకాలపై ఉద్యోగమూ పొందిన వారిలో అటు తండ్రి ఇటు కొడుకులు పనిచేయుచున్నారు. ఇది ఏ విధముగా సమంజసము. దీనిపై ఎన్నో అనుమానాలకు తావు ఇస్తున్నది. అందుకు బహిరంగముగా విచారణ జరిపి శ్వేతపత్రము విడుదల చేయవలసినదిగా మనవి.</p>	<p>లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.</p>
5.	<p>రామ్ రెడ్డి అనే వ్యక్తి వేములవాడతను నలుబది వేల జీతము తో పనిచేయుచున్నట్లు తెలుస్తున్నది, ఇతను ఇదివరకే CESS ఉద్యోగిగా రిటైరు అయిన వ్యక్తి. అతను ఏ ఉద్యోగ నియమ నిబంధనల ప్రకారము చేయుచున్నాడు. ఆఫీసులో ఇంతమంది ఉన్నారు ఎవరికి లేని ఉద్యోగ అర్హతలు ఇతనికే ఉన్నవా తెలియాలి. ఇతను పది పదిహేను సంవత్సరముల నుండి చేయుచున్నాడు అంటే ఎంత పెద్ద మొత్తము ప్రజా ధనాన్ని CESS కోల్పోతుంది అంటే ఇది పాలక వర్గానికి</p>	<p>లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.</p>

	సంబంధము ఉన్నదని పౌరులందరికీ అర్థమౌతుంది. ఈ విషయము ప్రజలకు తెలియవలసి ఉన్నది తెలుపగలరు. లేనిచో పలు అనుమానాలు కలుగుతవి.	
6.	CESS AO Post ను కూడా Co-operative department వారే ఉండురు. ఇన్ని రోజులు ఖాళీ ఉంచకూడదు. తొందరగా భర్తీ చేయవలసినదిగా కోరనైనది.	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
7.	సెస్సు వాళ్ళు నాణ్యత లేని మీటర్లు తేవడము వలన అధిక బిల్లులు వచ్చి వినియోగదారులు ఇబ్బందులకు గురి అవుతున్నారు . ఇండు గురించి నా సలహా ఏమిటంటే నష్టాన్ని వారు వాడినటువంటి ఐస్ స్టాండర్డ్ మీటర్లనే ఇచ్చట కూడా ఉపయోగించాలని నా సలహా.	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.
8.	పైన చెప్పినటువంటి అవకతవకలు నిర్మూలించాలంటే తక్షణమే ఈ CESS ను NPDCL / SPDCL లో విలీనము చేయవలసిన అవసరము ఉన్నది .	లైసెన్స్ పరిధి లో లేని అంశం.

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Distribution Business ARR & Wheeling Tariff Proposals for 5th Control Period and Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Sri A. Ramesh Yadav, National Secretary to All India Yadav Mahasabha, r/o H.No. 14-158, Shamshabad, R.R.Dist.

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>This is to bring to kind notice of the Hon'ble Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission of the following "objections" for FPT with a Categorization" of supply of Power to "Dairy Farms, Sheep Farms and Goat Farms" in "Commercial Industry Category". Our organization represents the Yadav Community of which major people's professions are Dairy Farming, Sheep & Goat rearing. That maintaining of Dairy Farms and rearing of sheep & goats is involved much hard work. The work in dairy farm starts at 4 AM and continued till night 9 pm. Thus, our community people not only loaded with Physical strain, but also loaded with Financial burdens.</p> <p>Our community persons are facing much trouble in maintaining Dairy Farms, with increasing of Feed rates, Labor Costs, transportation of milk and its Distribution to house to house. Thus, incurring much expenditure. Added to fuel the Electricity charges are also draining the pockets of our community people. This is the area where our objections before this Hon'ble Commission are Focused.</p> <p>As of now TGSPDCL and TGNPDCL, in total Telangana State, are charging for Dairy, Sheep & Goat units as per Commercial Category tariff. In fact Dairy farming, rearing of Sheep & Goat is profession-based one, and requires special skills. I can proudly say that our Yadav Community people do and will possess such specialized skills.</p>	<p>TG Discoms acknowledge the request from the All India Yadav Mahasabha regarding the categorization of Dairy, Sheep, and Goat farming units under the Commercial Category for tariff purposes. We understand the challenges your community faces due to rising feed costs, labor expenses, transportation of dairy products, and the significant impact that electricity charges are having on your operations.</p> <p>As indicated by the objector, dairy farming and the rearing of sheep and goats are specialized professions requiring significant skill, and we respect the expertise and commitment of your community in these activities. Your request to reclassify these activities under the Agriculture Sector, or at the very least as Agro-Based/Cottage Industry, with a corresponding tariff adjustment, has been duly noted.</p> <p>However, it is important to clarify that any changes to tariff categorization fall within the purview of the Hon'ble Commission, which has the sole authority to review and amend tariff structures based on established guidelines and</p>

<p>Our Dairy, Sheep & Goat farming come under "AGRICULTURE ACTIVITY". Without this Dairy, Sheep & Goat farming, Agriculture activity cannot be imagined.</p> <p>Agriculture is a broad term that refers to the cultivation of crops and raising of animals to produce food and materials for people.</p> <p>Thus our Dairy, Sheep & Goat farming can be and must be termed as Agriculture activity, and thereby charging the power consumption for such activity must be as per the tariff prescribed for Agriculture.</p> <p>Even for the sake of argument, without admitting that some sections say Dairy farming and rearing of sheep & goat farming come under Agro-based Activity/Cottage Industry. In such event, also our Dairy, Sheep & Goat farming come under Cottage Industry, Agro-based Activity but not "Commercial Industry." I say our said profession comes under the Agriculture sector only.</p> <p>WE seriously oppose such categorization of Dairy, Sheep & Goat Farming as "Industry (Commercial)," and imposition of tariff ranging @ Rs 8/- to Rs 11/-.</p> <p>Recently, I visited one of the dairy farms at Nagole, Hyderabad, of Sri Chintala Kiran Yadav, who is the State Vice President of our Yadav Youth Wing of Telangana State. He showed me his dairy farm bill, which clearly shows that billing was under Non-Domestic/Commercial, for the power consumed for Dairy farming. I can cite thousands of such irregular billings by power distribution companies in Telangana state.</p>	<p>regulatory frameworks. Your request will be forwarded to the relevant authorities for their due consideration.</p> <p>The Hon'ble Commission has accepted to admit submissions from the objectors during the public hearing process as well.</p>
--	---

<p>Therefore, I pray this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to direct the above power distribution companies to recognize Dairy, Sheep, and Goat Farming as the "Agriculture Sector" for the purpose of computation of tariff for power consumed by our brother Yadav Community people, and to charge @ Rs: 2.50 ps per unit.</p> <p>If our objection/prayer cannot be considered for any reason, without prejudice to our above demand, at least (for the time being), I pray this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to direct the above power distribution companies to levy the tariff for the power consumed for Dairy, Sheep, and Goat Farming, considering it as Agro-Based activity/Cottage Industry, and to impose only Rs: 4/- per Unit of power consumption, by our Yadav Community people.</p>	
---	--

Replies to the Objections/Suggestions raised on Retail Supply Business ARR for 5th Control Period & FPT including Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the FY 2024-25 by Y. Chandra Shekar rao, M.com LLB,Advocate, 31-S.L.N. Swamy Colony, Hyd, Road,Nalgonda- 508 001 .

S.No.	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	<p>FOUR HOURS/ONE UNIT --- COST Rs.248/-</p> <p>In ERC 2016, I requested to issue CC bills basing on days, but not of calendar month. The Hon'ble Bench directed and was implemented from the succeeding month itself.</p> <p>Now, I request to order to bill 30days / 24 hours basis, as 4 hours delay in obtaining reading, will lead units from 200 to 201 and CC bill will raise to Rs.1008-00 from Rs.860-00</p>	Not pertains to TGNPDCL.
2	<p>RED FLAG TO CONSUMERS::</p> <p>Now, CC Bills of LT services are being issued under HT category, whenever the connected load exceeds contracted load, duly flagging. There on, further CC bills are also being issued under HT category, though the connected load is less than the contracted load.</p> <p>To get appropriate bill under LT again, the consumer has to run to the ADE to DE to SE/Oprn., along with Divl. Eng./MRT. and there on to Corporate Office, Hyderabad, touching more than 45</p>	Not pertains to TGNPDCL.

	<p>employees. Number of cases are being filed before CGRF and also at various legal plat-forms. One of the live example is SC No.,616400242 Angadipet, Chandur Mandal, For January, 2021 CC bill was issued under HT category for exceeding contract load, and there on continued HT bills up to July, 2022, though the load is less than the contract load. CGRF ordered in case No.663/22-23, to revise from Feb.21 to July 22. But, our company filed appeal in WP No.33682/23, before Hon'ble High Court, Hyderabad, which is still pending. Though there is no wrong on the part of the consumer, the consumer is being subjected to trouble from Feb.2022, which seems to be incorrect. As such I request the kind authority to order to issue CC bill for the month under HT category, where it exceeds the contracted load, and do justice to the consumers.</p>	
3	<p>SECTION 135 OF ELEC. ACT. The DPE wing booking theft cases under Sec.135 Of I. E. Act. levying penalty nearly of Rs. One lakh, in each case, The people involved are only to pay as the levied penalty in full or to file a case in the civil Court, They are filing case in civil court and getting directions to the Company, as there is no provision to appeal to the departmental officials. As such the company is not getting revenue on one side and officials are subjected to round courts. Therefore, I request your good office to review the Section 135 and recommend appropriate relief,</p>	Not pertains to TGNPDCL.
4	<p>'SET ASIDE' -----EVEN AFTER 17 YEARS. I submit that during September, 2007, Internal Audit</p>	Not pertains to TGNPDCL.

	<p>levied a short fall of nearly Rs.35,000-00 on the plea of defective capacitors, against more than 20 LT services, in ERO Nalgonda. Aggrieved, the consumers approached Hon. ble High Court, Hyderabad, and got interim directions in Feb. 2008, and final orders in November, 2010, directing 'the impugned notice of shortfall is set aside'. Basing on these orders, the consumers are paying their CCbills regularly, leaving levied shortfall and accrued surcharge there on. But the department did not implement the Hon.'ble High court orders in rightspirit, but displaying on the CC bills, the arrears with surcharge, accumulated month after month, Now it reached Rs.1,70,000-00, of each service.</p> <p>I request your kind authority to direct to withdraw /finalize these cases, in the light of the judgment, and relieve the consumers burden of 17 years.</p>	
5	<p>Three Phase sanction/ single phase supply:</p> <p>In Nalgonda district three phase services were released on Agricultural feeder, and being billed for three phase supply. But in reality, they are getting three phase supply for eight hours, and restsixteen hours they are getting single phase supply. This amounts to deficiency in service, and being questioned in a large scale.</p>	Not pertains to TGNPDCL.